

Business Case to create a Local Resilience Capability

This document introduces South Yorkshire's early thinking on a Business Case for the societal resilience work. We will discuss this document in Meeting 2, facilitated by the NCSR+ research team at The University of Manchester.

i) Introduction

Resilience as a societal endeavour is taking hold as a national ambition. The National Resilience Strategy and the Integrated Review of Security and Defence commit to whole-of-society resilience. For our LRF this means we should do even more to help society to be resilient.

Most in society are already quite resilient and can prepare for a disruption and self-help if it happens. But, some parts of society are less resilient to disruption, suffer more from its impacts, and have diverse needs for support that they cannot resolve themselves. These are 'target groups' for additional support and include those individuals, community groups, businesses, and organisations in society that are most at-risk, vulnerable, not prepared, unaware, or unable to leverage their agency to self-determine their own resilience to disruption.

Target groups may require extra help from local services such as partners in our LRF. By working even more closely with target groups and wider society, we will enhance societal resilience in general that will encourage self-help and helping others, and we will better understand the changing needs in target groups so that we can better pinpoint our services.

Societal resilience is the capability created by local systems to help people and places to adapt and advance in a changing environment. Our LRF is a critical component of that system and will continue to respond to local needs from a disruption, target effort to those most in need, and maintain local essential services and their infrastructure. But some disruptions are so big that the volume of needs they create outstrip what our LRF partners can, alone, realistically support. Here, society (with an enthusiasm to help others) has a crucial role to play in bolstering the official response so that emergency responders can focus on those most in need.

As an LRF, we can help society to channel these efforts strategically to get ready before a disruption to react to what may happen during and after it. To accomplish this, we will develop a system that operationalises societal resilience as a capability that can be activated by our LRF when additional support is required.

Local Resilience Capability will deliver functions to society and our target groups, including:

- preparing for a disruption by encouraging self-help and helping others and by integrating that capability into our LRF as the coordinating body
- responding to a disruption by coordinating requests for help and offers of support and by monitoring changing local needs
- recovering from a disruption by supporting society as it deals with the aftermath

ii) Vision for societal resilience

Our vision for societal resilience is:

To create a Local Resilience Capability for our LRF that will enhance our approach to societal resilience, so that our individuals, community groups, businesses, organisations, and voluntary sector can all play a meaningful part in building the resilience of our society.



iii) Aims and objectives

In pursuing this vision, our aim is to improve our LRF's approach to societal resilience to:

Target support to those in society who are most in [need] in disruptions and those who
maintain local business services and their infrastructure

Our objectives for this work are to:

- Support society to self-determine their future resilience to disruption (self-help)
- Activate those in society who can help themselves or help others
- Reduce demand to target resources onto those most in [need]
- Reduce risk and vulnerability to disruption

iv) Process for delivering the aims and objectives

To achieve our vision, aim, and objectives, our work will progress through 6 stages:

DESIGN				
Step 1	WHO	Establish the team for Local	Steps 1 and 2 will be completed	
		Resilience Capability and assess	relatively quickly by statutory agencies	
		current performance	and their partners that are already	
Step 2	WHY	Agree the business case for local	engaged in societal resilience activities	
		Resilience Capability		
Step 3	WHAT	Co-produce the strategy for Local	Step 3 is based on a co-production	
		Resilience Capability	approach with wider partners such as	
			the voluntary sector and businesses	
		IMPLEMENT		
Step 4	WHO	Develop instrumental collaborations	Steps 4, 5 & 6 are a continuous	
		for Local Resilience Capability	improvement cycle.	
Step 5	HOW	Manage Local Resilience Capability		
Step 6	DO	Deliver value to society through	4 5	
		Local Resilience Capability		
			6	

v) Benefits

Accomplishing these aims and objectives will bring benefits to the LRF and target groups, including:

Aim	Benefits from achieving this aim include being able to		
Target support to	Identify the changing [needs] of different people/places using local		
those in society	intelligence (e.g. residents, businesses, and those most in [need])		
who are most in	2. Challenge planning assumptions about who in society is in most [need]		
[need] during	(e.g. likely behaviours)		
disruptions	3. Ensure continuity of essential business services for those most in [need]		
	(e.g. healthcare, food supply) and of local essential infrastructure (e.g.		
	utilities, transport, communication, flood defences)		
	4. Best deploy usual and surge capacity of volunteers, resources, specialists		
	to those who are most in [need]		
Objectives	ojectives Outcomes from achieving these objectives include		



Support society to	1.	Increase local influence on mitigation, preparedness, response, recovery
self-determine		(e.g. place-based leaders, stakeholders, influencers)
their future	2.	Provide information to society so they can self-help in the right ways for
resilience to		chronic stresses and acute shocks
disruption (self-	3.	Enable representatives of society to co-produce local resilience by
help)		participating in planning, strategy, training, exercising, and learning
Activate those in	1.	Increase self-reliance and self-help of target groups
society who can	2.	Increase the coverage of those that help each other (with or without
help themselves or		LRF/EPG/RRP support)
help others	3.	Focus strategic collaborations onto shared activity
	4.	Improve continuity plans in target groups
	5.	Support and resource the provision of local mutual aid for target groups
	6.	Make volunteer onsite response quicker and safer (medical help, rescue, shelter)
Reduce demand to	1.	Increase preparedness and self-determination based on [need] (e.g.
target resources		determine how to measure/quantify local resilience)
onto those most in [need]	2.	Integrate better information about [needs] from target groups into emergency plans
	3.	Manage expectations of society on LRF/EPG/RRP's ability to meet all
		their demands without their support
Reduce risk and	1.	Better understand local risk and pinpoint vulnerability from different
vulnerability		perspectives
	2.	Target activities to reduce risk and vulnerability
	3.	Risk assessment for safer tasking (including accountability and liability)

vi) Target groups

The Societal Resilience Working Group has used the LRF's community risk register to identify target groups based on a combination of:

- risk
- vulnerability
- awareness of disruptions and how to prepare
- preparedness
- agency to self-determine their future resilience

We have initially identified five target groups, described in the table below. Two target groups have been prioritised for our initial work.

Target group	Risk	Expected [needs]	Scale of [needs]	Initial priority
Flood V area	Flood risk	Prepare propertiesClean-up	2,000 households	High
Caravan site 1	Concentration of vulnerable residents and care homes near industrial site	 Prepare grab bags Warning and informing Evacuation support Welfare 	500 medically vulnerable people	High



Community K	Concentration of hardly-reached people	Awareness raisingInformation provision	450 socially vulnerable people	Medium

Further work is required to understand more about these target groups – for example, their characteristics, type, and scale of [needs], and hidden resilience. We also intend to investigate which organisations are trusted by these target groups and explore opportunities for collaboration to enhance resilience to disruption in these groups.

Other target groups exist for our LRF but are of initial lower priority for this initial work.

vii) Risks

There are risks of not acting, and of acting, to enhance societal resilience:

Risks of doing nothing	Impact	Mitigation
Target groups and their	Compounding risks and	Work with partners to identify
[needs] not understood nor	vulnerabilities increases	target groups and their
prioritised	demand on LRF partners	[needs]
LRF capacity is not sufficient to	Demand rises, needs go	Encourage and coordinate
deal with demand during	unmet, society suffers,	society's response through LRF
disruptions	reputational damage to LRF partners	to maximise effectiveness and
Society does not respond to a	Society's local knowledge not	Establish liaison with
disruption	available to LRF partners	community groups to support LRF partners
Society responds to a	Society competing and	Work with society so their
disruption without alignment	conflicting with LRF partner	effort aligns to LRF activity
to the LRF	activity	
Risks of acting		
Action has less impact than	Wasted resource	Work with NCSR+'s 6 step
anticipated		approach to design and
		implement initiatives
Partners do not commit to	Disjointed effort and	Focus on instrumental
action	duplication	collaborations to maximise
		effectiveness
Partners promise action but do	Neglect	Replace partners with new
not deliver		instrumental collaborators
LRF partners' strategic support	Resources reallocated to new	Align to existing statutory
and championing wanes	priorities	obligations and strategic
		priorities
Society loses interest due to	Loss of intelligence and	Involve society in exercising
the lack of activation	capacity from society	and ongoing preparing to
		maintain commitment



viii) Timing and long-term commitment

Creating a Local Resilience Capability takes time and ongoing commitment. The Societal Resilience Working Group will deliver Steps 1-3 (see section iv) in Quarter 1 of 2023 – culminating in gaining the LRF's approval to implement the strategy (from Step 3).

Steps 4-6 will begin thereafter, with pilot projects being implemented during 2023 to focus on target groups with the most [need].

Evaluation and learning throughout 2023 will inform a decision on whether to expand Local Resilience Capability in subsequent years to different target groups. Follow on funding bids will support that endeavour.

ix) Investment required

To conduct this initial work requires the following investment:

Target group	Proposed actions	Required budget	Timing (year)
Flood V area	Action A		1
	Action B		1
	Action C		1
	Total		

Target group	Proposed actions	Required budget	Timing (year)
Caravan site 1	Action A		1
	Action B		2
	Action C		2
	Total		