**Faculty of Humanities**

**Student Service Success and Development**

**Collaborative Partnerships Review Form for Schools**

Please use this report form in conjunction with the guidance available from [Teaching and Learning Delivery](https://www.staffnet.manchester.ac.uk/tlso/quality/collaborative-validated-provision/)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **School** |  |
| **Year of review:** |  |
| **Name and country of Partner Organisation:** |  |
| **Type of Partnership/Activity (e.g. articulation agreement/flying faculty/dual delivery)** |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Background to Partnership and Activity undertaken***(please outline the nature of the partnership, type of collaborative activity and the origins of the agreement)* |
|  |

**Review Criteria and Assessment of Partnership**

# Please ensure that you clearly summarise any recommendations for the programme This will assist in review whether the partnership should continue

|  |
| --- |
| **1. Has the partnership achieved the aims outlined in the Approval to Proceed form and as agreed at most recent approval** (*please refer to the length of the agreement, whether this was a new agreement or continuation of an existing agreement. It would be beneficial to refer to the expected aims of the partnership at the outset and whether these were achieved. If not, please consider why this was the case and what lessons have been learn if the agreement were to continue)* |
|  |
| **2. Have the student number and financial targets as outlined in the agreement been met? (***Please* *make* *reference to both the* ta**r***get and the actual figures****)*** |
|  |
| **3. Teaching and Learning:** **What benefits has the proposal brought to the School, e.g. in terms of additional recruitment to PGT/PGR programmes, teaching enhancement, research?** (*please make reference to measurable benefits and relevant data where it is available)* |
|  |
| **4.**  **What financial benefits has the proposal brought to the School? Has the delivery of the collaboration been cost-effective?** |
|  |
| **5. Student Progression: What data is available on the quality of the intake and students, and what impact has this had on completion rates and degree classification?** *(provide the data and source where applicable)* |
|  |
| **5. Student Support: What data is available on the experience of students who are part of/come under the scope of the agreement?** *(provide the data and source where applicable)* |
|  |
| **7. What have been the overall benefits of the partnership to the progamme/school/faculty/university and are there opportunities for widening out the collaborative agreement? (***outline any benefits in support of the key strategic priorities of the school/faculty/univ; research collaboration & contributions to the research environment; improved T&L practices; joint activities; financial contributions)* |
|  |
| **8. Have any challenges been identified throughout the duration of the collaboration that need to be considered and addressed before deciding to continue with the approval?** *(please identify any specific challenges and what impact they have had on both the student and schools/university. Specific reference to how these will be addressed should be made)* |
|  |
| **9 Is there any good practice identified from this collaborative partnership that can be shared with wider colleagues?** *(please outline any good practice within this partnership that could be applied elsewhere within the wider faculty. This could include T&L activities, student support matters, monitoring student progression and engagement)* |
|  |
| **10. Any Matters Raised by the partner institution *(****please include details of any matters raised by the partner institute and how these have been / will be addressed).*  ***:*** |
|  |
| **11. Any Other Matters not Covered Above:** |
|  |

**SUMMARY**

|  |
| --- |
| **Recommendation. :** Please ensure this section is completed clearly by providing a summary of any recommendations with a clear identification of time scales, where possible and an overall recommendation as to whether the collaboration should continue. |
|  |
| **Signed: Position:**  **Date:** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Implementation Date: | November 2023 |
| Version: | v.1 |
| Supersedes: | n/a |
| Previous Review Dates: | n/a |
| Next Review Date: | September 2026 |
| Related Statutes, Ordinances, General Regulations / Policies |  |
| Related Procedures and Guidance | [Humanities Policy and Procedure for Collaborative Activity](https://documents.manchester.ac.uk/display.aspx?DocID=64962)  [Guidance and Procedures for the Quality Assurance of Collaborative Provision](http://www.tlso.manchester.ac.uk/quality-framework/collaborative/) |
| Policy Owner: | HTLC  HUMS PGR Committee |
| Lead Contact: | Emma Rose, HoTLSE  Jared Ruff, HoRBES |