



Mitigating transmission in a shared meeting room scenario

Daniel Miller



Introduction

- QMRA¹ modelling was performed by Dstl using M3IVT² to simulate exposure in a meeting room scenario with:
 - Two, two hour meetings
 (9:00-11:00 and 12:00-14:00)
 - Six occupants per meeting
 - One infectious in first meeting who begins with contaminated hands and speaks (but doesn't cough or sneeze)
 - Ventilation of 3.42 air changes per hour (0.1015 m³·s⁻¹ and 16.9 L·s⁻¹·person⁻¹)
 - Occupants touch door handles and possibly drink container as they enter
- 500 stochastic simulations are performed for each scenario

PROTECT Researcher Symposium 5-6 May 2022







Viral Transmission

Which route of transmission produces the largest dose?

- Figure (R) shows the dose received by occupants split by route of transmission and occupant group
- Order and magnitude depends upon the initial hand contamination of the infected occupant and their respiratory activity¹
- The fomite dose is dominant
 - Outliers of the fomite route are the highest of all routes (and provide the highest risk)
 - Fomite dose is the highest risk for meeting 2 occupants (in this scenario)
 - Exception is the median close-range dose which is highest for meeting 1 occupants < 2m
 - Airborne dose is small in comparison

PROTECT Researcher Symposium 5-6 May 2022



contamination comes from a distribution



dstl The Science Inside

How can we mitigate fomite transmission?





PROTECT Researcher Symposium 5-6 May 2022

Conclusions

- For the assumptions used here, fomite doses were found to produce the highest doses (and therefore likely present the highest risk to occupants).
- Mask wearing significantly reduced the fomite dose received
- Reducing touching of shared surfaces (particularly door handles) was found to be particularly effective at reducing the average dose for meeting one occupants.
- Cleaning hands and surfaces was found to significantly reduce the risk for meeting two occupants.
- It should be noted that airborne exposure was found to be more significant when sneezing and a higher viral load were included.
- Further work is currently in progress using an office environment with multiple zones (see Hugh Gallagher's poster).
- Additional information on the meeting room scenario modelling may be found within [1].



¹Parker, ST, Miller, D, Cooper, H, Lloyd-Williams, S, Higgins, B, Gallagher, H. Quantitative Microbial Risk Assessment modelling of SARS-CoV-2 transmission in workplaces – FY21/22 final report. Customer report DSTL/CR138859 V1.0, Dstl, 2022



- Dstl's QMRA modelling team
- Colleagues from within NCS PROTECT who provided input:
 - Theme 1
 - Theme 2
 - Wide range of colleagues, including those in:
 - WP 2.1 QMRA and transmission modelling
 - WP 2.2.1 deposition rates
 - WP 2.2.4 surface transfer









Discover more

How impactful is reducing door touching?

dstl The Science Inside

Study



Animation explainer





- Multiple rooms —
- Groups of occupants with different entry/exit times and characteristics (viral load, hand size, touching frequency etc.)
- User specified and/or random (at a specified frequency) touching patterns to surfaces and mucous membranes
- Scheduled cleaning —
- A wide variety of "events" such as coughs, meetings and movement
- It can account for mechanistic effects on viral exposure including, but not limited to:
 - Distance to infectious individual
 - Mask wearing
 - Ventilation

Transmission (M3IVT)

Mechanistic Multi-route Model of Indoor Viral

- The Mechanistic Multi-route Model of Indoor Viral Transmission (M3IVT) is a QMRA model that estimates exposure to SARS-CoV-2 via three transmission routes:
 - Close-range (< 2m) —
 - Long range airborne
 - Fomite

The latest version of M3IVT can handle complex inputs including:





