

## Environmental surface sampling for SARS-CoV-2 in food manufacturing workplaces

### **Rob Johnston**

Food, Water and Environmental Scientist



Barry Atkinson Senior Virologist WK Health Security Agency

## February 2020 – March 2021

UK Health Security Agency



### **Reactive response**

UKHSA provided environmental sampling as part of public health response

- Quarantine facilities
- > Hospitals

### **Expanded response**

Transition to wider testing as virus becomes endemic

- Public transport
- Workplace outbreaks
- Households
- Core infrastructure sites

Home Coronavirus Climate UK World Business Politics Tech Science Health Family & Educatio

UK England N. Ireland Scotland Alba Wales Cymru Isle of Man Guernsey Jersey Local News

### Coronavirus: Four new UK cases among ship evacuees

③ 23 February 2020

<



| The evacuees from the Diamond Princess cruise ship were taken to Arrowe Park Hospital on Saturday

## Early workplace responses

UK Health Security Agency



# Rationale for Field Investigations and Sampling

- Initial request from the Incident Management Team (IMT) following large increase in cases associated with chilled food workplace
- Support the Food Business Operator and the IMT to assist in considering the impact of air handling systems on potential to recirculate virus
- Observational overview of air handling systems serving chilled food manufacturer
- Assistance in identifying key contact points and management procedures

ID	Sector	Date
Site A:	Food Sector	Aug 2020
Site B:	Food sector	Nov 2020
Site C:	Food sector	Nov 2020
Site D:	Distribution	Feb 2021
Site E:	Core Infrastructure	Mar 2021
Site F:	Food sector	Apr 2021
Site G:	Core Infrastructure	May 2021
Site H:	Core Infrastructure	Dec 2021

## **Food Sector Investigations**





### Initial concerns due to percentage of recirculated air to chilled high care areas

Investigations into air handling units and chilled air distribution



Air Handling Units – for chilling air and recirculation to high care production areas



Filters for Air Handling Units – part of maintenance schedule but found to be absent in one site

## **Food Sector Investigations**

### UK Health Security Agency



### Initial concerns due to percentage of recirculated air to production areas

Investigations into air handling ducting





Swabbing of (filtered) chilled air distribution systems

## **Air Handling and Contact Points**





### Initial concerns due to total staff number and number of positive cases

Investigations into air handling and key contact points – showing pre-moistened sponge swabs in use



Air handling and ceiling ventilation



Swabbing of key contact points

## Swabbing – Staff Welfare and **Non-Production Areas**



### Swabbing and review of cleaning processes and procedures to optimise actions and interventions

Swabbing in canteen areas and contact points, eg clocking-in and/or biometric sign in



Swabbing key contact points in staff welfare areas; underside of chairs



**M** 

Swabbing of key contact points; clocking-in point

## The PROTECT study

**UK Health** Security

100



### Purpose

- Understand 'real world' transmission •
- Inform policy and practice •
- Rapid and responsive •
- Legacy impact •

### Context

Three routes of SARS-CoV-2 • transmission: surface (fomite), airborne (aerosol), and person-to-person (droplet)



## **PROTECT Themes**

### **Partners and funders**

- Part of COVID-19 National Core Studies programme coordinated by GO-Science
- Funded by HM Treasury until January 2023
- Led by HSE Chief Scientific Adviser Prof Andrew Curran
- Approx. 120 researchers from 21 academic and government institutions across the UK
- COVID-OUT: Understanding transmission and risk factors through investigation of outbreaks in a range of occupational settings



## **COVID-OUT**





#### Step 1: Identify workplace outbreaks

- UKHSA HPZone
- HSE databases (e.g. Riddor)

#### Step 2: Recruit site to COVID-OUT study

#### Step 3: Site visit

- Environmental surface sampling
- Ventilation assessment
- Assess COVID-prevention measures

#### Step 4: Worker recruitment

- Worker questionnaire
- Personal swabs (qPCR) 3 samples over 5 weeks
- Personal bloods (serology) 2 draws over 5 weeks

### **Data generation**

- Workplace environmental analysis
- Ventilation assessment
- Worker questionnaires (epi data)
- Analysis of control measures
- qPCR analysis of surface samples



- Viral isolation at CL3
- NGS analysis
- qPCR analysis of worker swabs
- Serology

### **Sample analysis**

WK Health Security Agency





Sample collection

Nucleic acid extraction



## **UKHSA led sampling**

UK Health Security Agency



## Aug 20 – Dec 21

### 8 workplaces

- 4 x food (F)
- 3 x core infrastructure (CI)
- 1 x distribution (D)

47/195 confirmed positive (24.1%)

Mainly high-touch point sites

5 sites with 20%+ samples positive

Site (Month)	Samples collected	Positive (%)	Suspect (%)	Negative (%)
Site A (F)	16	0	1	15
Aug 2020	10	(0.0%)	(6.25%)	(93.75%)
Site B (F)	10	8	1	7
Nov 2020	10	(50.0%)	(6.25%)	(43.75%)
Site C (F)	24	11	3	10
Nov 2020	24	(45.8%)	(12.5%)	(41.7%)
Site D (D)	21	7	4	20
Feb 2021	31	(22.6%)	(12.9%)	(64.5%)
Site E (CI)	32	13	2	17
Mar 2021		(40.6%)	(6.3%)	(53.1%)
Site F (F)	25	7	5	23
Apr 2021	55	(20.0%)	(14.3%)	(65.7%)
Site G (CI)	21	0	2	19
May 2021		(0.0%)	(9.5%)	(90.5%)
Site H (CI)	20	1	2	17
Dec 2021	20	(5.0%)	(10.0%)	(85.0%)
Total	195	47 (24.1%)	20 (10.3%)	128 (65.6%)

## What does 'positive' mean?

UK Health Security Agency



### **Does Ct value = transmission risk?**

There is a correlation, but it is not absolute

Rule of thumb



Reasoning? Ct >32 rarely generates WGS Ct >30 rarely results in isolation

Ct value	Level of contamination		
<25.0	Very high		
25.0-27.9	High		
28.0-31.9	Moderate		
32.0-34.9	Low		
>35.0	Very low		
Not detected (ND)	None		

## **UKHSA led sampling**

UK Health Security Agency



## Aug 20 – Dec 21

### 8 workplaces

- 4 x food (F)
- 3 x core infrastructure (CI)
- 1 x distribution (D)

Only 14/195 samples (7.2%) with potentially significant levels of RNA

2/195 samples (1.0%) with potentially significant levels of RNA (both above Ct 31.0 though)

Site (Month)	Samples collected	Ct >35.0 (includes –ve)	Ct 32.0-34.9	Ct <31.9
Site A (F)	16	16	0	0
Aug 2020		(100.0%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)
Site B (F) Nov 2020	16	15 (93.8%)	1 (6.3%)	0 (0.0%)
Site C (F)	24	16	6	2
Nov 2020		(66.7%)	(25.0%)	(8.3%)
Site D (D)	31	28	3	0
Feb 2021		(90.3%)	(9.7%)	(0.0%)
Site E (Cl)	32	28	4	0
Mar 2021		(87.5%)	(12.5%)	(0.0%)
Site F (F)	35	35	0	0
Apr 2021		(100.0%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)
Site G (CI)	21	21	0	0
May 2021		(100.0%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)
Site H (Cl)	20	20	0	0
Dec 2021		(100.0%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)
Total	195	179 (91.8%)	14 (7.2%)	2 (1.0%)

## **COVID-OUT** sampling

## Mar 21 – Feb 22

### 13 workplaces (11 sampled)

- 4 x manufacturing (M)
- 3 x food (F)
- 2 x office (O)
- 1 x distribution (D)
- 1 x core infrastructure (CI)

61/758 confirmed positive (8.0%)

Mainly high-touch point sites

2 sites with 20%+ samples positive

Agency				
Site	Samples collected	Positive	Suspect	Negative
(Month)		(%)	(%)	(%)
001 (M)	36	14	3	19
(March 2021)		(38.9%)	(8.3%)	(52.8%)
002 (M)	66	8	11	47
(March 2021)		(12.1%)	(16.7%)	(71.2%)
003 (D)	76	25	14	37
(May 2021)		(32.9%)	(18.4%)	(48.7%)
004 (O)	69	2	7	60
(May 2021)		(2.9%)	(10.1%)	(87.0%)
005 (F)	60	1	6	53
(June 2021)		(1.7%)	(10.0%)	(88.3%)
006 (NA)	NA	NA	NA	NA
007 (CI)	90	0	0	90
(July 2021)		(0.0%)	(0.0%)	(100.0%)
008 (O)	60	10	1	49
(September 2021)		(16.7%)	(1.7%)	(81.7%)
008 2 <sup>nd</sup> visit (O)	42	1	1	40
(September 2021)		(2.4%)	(2.4%)	(95.2%)
009 (M)	70	0	1	69
(October 2021)		(0.0%)	(1.4%)	(98.6%)
010 (M)	55	0	0	55
(November 2021)		(0.0%)	(0.0%)	(100.0%)
011 (NA)	NA	NA	NA	NA
012 (F)	65	0	0	65
(January 2022)		(0.0%)	(0.0%)	(100.0%)
013 (F)	69	0	0	69
(February 2022)		(0.0%)	(0.0%)	(100.0%)
Total	758	61 (8.0%)	44 (5.8%)	653 (86.2%)

**M** 

UK Health Security

## **COVID-OUT** sampling

### UK Health Security Agency



## Mar 21 – Feb 22

### 13 workplaces (11 sampled)

- 4 x manufacturing (M)
- 3 x food (F)
- 2 x office (O)
- 1 x distribution (D)
- 1 x core infrastructure (CI)

Only 15/758 samples (2.0%) with potentially significant levels of RNA

None with significant levels of RNA

Site (Month)	Samples collected	Ct >35.0 (includes –ve)	Ct 32.0-34.9	Ct <31.9
001 (M)	26	34	2	0
(March 2021)	30	(94.4%)	(5.6%)	(0.0%)
002 (M)	66	66	0	0
(March 2021)	00	(100.0%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)
003 (D)	76	69	7	0
(May 2021)	70	(90.8%)	(9.2%)	(0.0%)
004 (O)	69	69	0	0
(May 2021)	09	(100.0%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)
005 (F)	60	59	1	0
(June 2021)	00	(98.3%)	(1.7%)	(0.0%)
006 (NA)	NA	NA	NA	NA
007 (CI)	00	90	0	0
(July 2021)	90	(100.0%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)
008 (O)	60	55	5	0
(September 2021)	60	(91.7%)	(8.3%)	(0.0%)
008 2 <sup>nd</sup> visit (O)	40	42	0	0
(September 2021)	42	(100.0%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)
009 (M)	70	70	0	0
(October 2021)	70	(100.0%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)
010 (M)	55	55	0	0
(November 2021)	55	(100.0%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)
011	NΔ	NΔ	ΝΔ	NΔ
(NA)				
012 (F)	65	65	0	0
(January 2022)		(100.0%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)
013 (F)	69	69	0	0
(February 2022)	69	(100.0%)	(0.0%)	(0.0%)
Total	750	743	15	0
IUldi	/58	(98.0%)	(2.0%)	(0.0%)

### **Workplace outbreak overview 1**

UK Health Security Agency





## **Workplace outbreak overview 2**





UKHSA-led sampling found **24.1%** of samples positive for SARS-CoV-2 RNA COVID-OUT sampling found **8.0%** of samples positive for SARS-CoV-2 RNA

UKHSA-led sampling found **7.2%** of samples with potentially significant contamination and **1.0%** of samples indicating significant contamination

COVID-OUT sampling found 2.0% of samples with potentially significant contamination

Difference can be explained by many factors including time from notification to sampling and vaccination status during pandemic

Lowest Ct found to date from any workplace sampling is Ct 31.07 (food sector site)

11 positives sent for whole genome sequencing – none returned more than 50% sequence

## **Workplace outbreak overview 3**





### Site-specific data

- Surface sampling can identify weaknesses in cleaning regime
- Surface sampling can identify increased risk areas
- Data generated has led to sites updating procedures
- No samples identified to date inferring infectious virus present at the time of sampling

### Wider-trends

- Apparent decrease is positivity since summer 2021
- Numerous explanations for this including  $\uparrow$  vaccination rates
- Need to analyse personal worker samples + epi data before drawing conclusions
- Potential for location-specific risks to be overlooked

## Legacy activities

WK Health Security Agency



### **Optimisation of sampling methods**

- Validation of commercial swabs/sponges
- Housekeeping genes
- Control samples

### **Optimisation of molecular methods**

- Viability qPCR (platinum chloride / RNase A)
- Respiratory virus multiplex

### **Optimisation of virology methods**

- Analysis of recovery rates
- Isolation optimisation for environmental samples

### Transition to other respiratory viruses

- Influenza
- Seasonal coronaviruses
- EV-D68

### Establish flexible response team

- Imported high consequence pathogens
- Norovirus





Enterovirus D68: What is the mystery respiratory virus making US children sick?



UK Health Security Agency



### Food Sector has experienced impactful outbreaks

Many sectors similarly affected

### Positive samples typically in non-production (low care) areas

Elevated hygiene controls reduce contamination – only 1 positive sample in 'high care' areas

### A site is not a single entity – it is a collection of different areas

Risk assessments and control measures need to reflect differences between areas

### Likely that impact of COVID linked to staff interaction and infection control measures

Difficult to balance productivity with optimal working environment

### **Big question: What COVID-control measures should be kept?**

Control measures also effective against other pathogens

### **Acknowledgements**

**Allan Bennett Antony Spencer** Ian Nicholls **NCS PROTECT partners** COVID-OUT team **Ginny Moore** Thomas Pottage Nicola Yaxley Paz Aranega Bou UKHSA Biosafety team **UKHSA FWE laboratories** 

**X UK Health** Security Agency





### Thank you

**Rob Johnston** Food, Water and Environmental Scientist sites manchester.ac.uk/covid19-national-project

@PROTECT NCS

Barry Atkinson Senior Virologist



**UK Health** Security Agency

## **Post-Pandemic recommendations**

### UK Health Security Agency



### Not all COVID-control measures should be removed

- Many control measures effective against numerous pathogens
- Many control measures are cost-effective
- All sites should review the potential effectiveness going forwards
- Good hand hygiene and proportionate physical distancing should be promoted
- Location specific risk assessments can be extremely beneficial