

**School of Environment, Education and Development**

# **Manchester Institute of Education**

**Teach First PGDE**

**2019-2020 Programme Handbook**

<https://www.seed.manchester.ac.uk/student-intranet/>



## Welcome to the School of Environment, Education and Development

The School of Environment, Education and Development (SEED) was formed in August 2013 and forges an interdisciplinary partnership combining Geography and Planning and Environmental Management with the Global Development Institute (GDI), the Manchester School of Architecture and the Manchester Institute of Education, thus uniting research into social and environmental dimensions of human activity. Each department has its own character and the School seeks to retain this whilst building on our interdisciplinary strengths.

The **Global Development Institute (GDI)** is a culmination of an impressive history of development studies at The University of Manchester which has spanned more than 60 years and unites the strengths of the Institute for Development and Policy Management (IDPM) and the Brooks World Poverty Institute. IDPM was established in 1958 and became the UK's largest University-based International Development Studies centre, with over thirty Manchester-based academic and associated staff. Its objective is to promote social and economic development, particularly within lower-income countries and for disadvantaged groups, by enhancing the capabilities of individuals and organisations through education, training, consultancy, research and policy analysis. To build on this tradition, the University created in SEED the Brooks World Poverty Institute, a multidisciplinary centre of excellence researching poverty, poverty reduction, inequality and growth. In 2016, given the increasing global nature of addressing poverty and inequality, The University of Manchester united the strengths of the Institute for Development Policy and Management and the Brooks World Poverty Institute to create the Global Development Institute. GDI is the largest development focused teaching, with over 600 full-time postgraduate taught students, and research institute in Europe and emphasises the University's commitment to addressing global inequalities.

**Planning and Environmental Management** has a 60-year record of academic leadership in Planning education. It has innovative and high quality graduate and undergraduate programmes for professionals in town planning, urban studies, and environmental management. It is currently involved in research on real-world issues of sustainable urban development, environmental impact management, urban regeneration and design. The department has practice links with institutions, companies and communities engaged with environment and urbanism, particularly in north-west England.

**Geography** has been taught at Manchester for over one hundred years. There are currently over thirty-five members of staff within the School who have a wide range of teaching and research interests. It has a highly-rated international research reputation in a broad range of areas of human and physical geography. It has a large undergraduate population, and four taught Masters degrees.

The **Manchester Institute of Education** is the leading university provider of Initial Teacher Education in the North of England. It has a world-leading reputation for excellence in educational research, and is the leading provider of doctoral programmes in the North West.

The School also contains the *University of Manchester Architectural Research Centre (UMARC)*, part of the **Manchester School of Architecture (MSA)** which was created in 1996 by an amalgamation of the extant architecture schools in the University of Manchester and the Manchester Metropolitan University. UMARC draws upon a wide range of interdisciplinary research and teaching interests within the School and has strong research and practice links into urban design and sustainability.

I hope that you have a successful and enjoyable time in the School.

**Professor Martin Evans**

**Head of the School of Environment, Education and Development**

### **Statement on Dignity at Work and Study**

The University of Manchester is committed to creating a learning environment free of harassment, discrimination, victimisation and bullying, where everyone is treated with dignity and respect. The School of Environment, Education and Development will not tolerate bullying, harassment, discrimination or victimisation of any kind, either towards students and staff, or between them. Allegations of bullying, discrimination and harassment will be investigated and, if appropriate, disciplinary action will be taken.

More information can be found at:

<http://www.staffnet.manchester.ac.uk/equality-and-diversity/policies-and-guidance/dignity-at-work-and-study/>

### **Equality and diversity policy**

The University of Manchester aims to create a culture of diversity within its community providing a dynamic working and learning environment, where all members are valued for their contribution. The policy can be accessed via the following link: <http://documents.manchester.ac.uk/display.aspx?DocID=8361>.

The University's aim is to promote equality of opportunity for all, through the following objectives:

- Mainstreaming equality into the University's strategic and planning agenda;
- Complying with its legal obligations;
- Having an effective data monitoring and analysis process that supports this policy;
- Systematically assessing the impact on equality in our policies, procedures and practices at the University;
- Involving staff, students and other stakeholders in the development and delivery of our equality objectives;
- Ensuring that managers and staff undergo appropriate equality training and development for this role;
- Promoting equality and diversity through internal and external communications;
- Ensuring that both existing staff and students, as well as those who seek to apply to work or study with us, are treated fairly and that individuals are judged solely on merit and by reference to their skills, abilities, qualifications, aptitude and potential;
- Ensuring that staff, students and their unions' representatives are provided with appropriate forums e.g. the University Equality and Diversity Forum and staff network groups, to discuss equality and diversity issues and raise any concerns;
- Ensuring that all contractors and service providers operating on behalf of the University are aware of this policy and expected to adhere to it.

# Contents

---

<b>Section 1: Guide to Programme</b> .....	1
1.1 Welcome & Aims of the PGDE course.....	1
1.2 Getting the most from your PGDE .....	2
1.3 Using Blackboard.....	2
1.4 Attendance and unavoidable absence.....	2
1.5 Progress and the Participant Support Framework.....	2
1.6 Code of Professional Conduct.....	2
1.7 Warning System.....	3
1.8 Change of Academic Adviser .....	4
1.9 Assessment at the University of Manchester .....	4
1.10 Guidance on Written Work .....	5
1.11 Mitigating Circumstances .....	6
1.12 Grading system.....	7
1.13 Publication of Results on Completion of the Course.....	7
1.14 Moderation and External Examination .....	7
1.15 Relevant Legislation.....	7
1.16 Contact Details.....	8
<b>Section 2: General Information</b> .....	9
2.1 Administrative/Academic Team .....	9
2.2 Contacting the Administrative/Academic Team.....	9
2.3 Information Points.....	9
2.4 Communication.....	10
2.5 Monitoring Attendance and Wellbeing of Students.....	10
2.6 International Students with a Tier 4 Visa.....	10
2.7 Teaching & Learning Facilities.....	11
<b>Section 3: Student Experience</b> .....	16
3.1 Student Charter.....	16
3.2 Student Development.....	16
3.3 Student Support.....	19
3.4 Health & Safety (Including Risk Assessments) .....	23
3.5 Student Representation.....	27
3.6 Student Complaints.....	28
3.7 Dignity at Work & Study .....	29
3.8 Registration and Progression .....	29
3.9 Graduation.....	31
3.10 The University of Manchester Alumni Association.....	31
<b>Section 4: Assessment, Examination and Feedback</b> .....	33
4.1 Types of Assessment.....	33
4.2 Feedback.....	33
4.3 University Ordinances and Regulations for Postgraduate Taught Degrees.....	33
4.4 Coursework.....	33

4.5 Examinations .....	35
4.6 Mitigating Circumstances .....	36
4.7 Failure and Reassessment.....	38
4.8 Plagiarism and other forms of Academic Malpractice.....	39
4.9 Student Academic Appeals .....	42
4.10 External Examiners.....	43
<b>Section 5: Research Integrity and Ethics .....</b>	<b>44</b>
5.1 What research does it cover?.....	44
5.2 What happens if I have not applied for or obtained ethical approval? .....	44
<b>Section 6: Appendices .....</b>	<b>45</b>
Appendix 1 – Manchester Institute of Education Marking Criteria.....	45
Appendix 2 - Examination Regulations.....	49
Appendix 3 – Harvard Style Guide for References.....	56
Appendix 4 – SEED Word Count Policy .....	57
Postgraduate Plagiarism Declaration Form .....	58
Confirmation Of Receipt Of Programme Handbook Form .....	59
Section 7: Teach First PGDE Programme Specification & Unit Descriptors.....	60
Teach First PGDE Programme Specification.....	60
Teach First PGDE mark descriptors .....	78
Teach First Unit 1a: Summer Institute .....	82
Teach First Unit 2: Emerging Philosophy of Teaching and Learning.....	85
Teach First Unit 3: Leading Learning in Communities.....	89
Teach First Unit 1b: Recommendation for QTS .....	96
Teach First Unit 4: Collaborative Learning and Development.....	100
Teach First Unit 5: Extending Impact and Influencing Others.....	106

## **Section 1: Guide to Programme**

*Please note information in this handbook is summarised information from Course, PGT, NCTL and University of Manchester regulations and requirements that are all subject to change. Please refer to the original source and most recent guidance from each of the above as appropriate. These can be found on Blackboard.*

### **1.1 Welcome & Aims of the PGDE course**

#### **Welcome**

We would like to welcome you to The University of Manchester Teach First PGDE programme - a programme designed to help you develop a critical, reflective, professional identity as a teacher and as a colleague. As a Tutor team at The University of Manchester, we look forward to working with you in what we hope will be a rewarding, challenging and successful stage in your professional development.

#### **Aims of the PGDE course**

1. Provide the range of experiences necessary for the award of Qualified Teacher Status.
2. Develop the high attainments in participants' knowledge, understanding and skills needed for excellence in teaching specialist subjects.
3. Develop participants' professionalism.
4. Encourage participants to engage with lifelong learning and development.
5. Enable participants to reflect on their experiences and their reading.
6. Enable participants to use their reflections to inform their practices, principles and values.
7. Encourage participants to respect students from all social and cultural backgrounds and to value diversity in line with the Teach First vision of overcoming educational disadvantage.
8. Encourage Tutors, mentors and participants to model good practice in teaching and learning.
9. Ensure that Tutors use research and scholarship to inform ongoing course review and development and to consider teacher education in the Teach First context.
10. Encourage collaborative enquiry across the partnership to situate initial teacher education as part of continuing professional development, and school development.

This mini handbook is intended to provide you with important information and guidelines related to your course of study, and it is a useful starting point to the PGDE course. In addition to this handbook, you should also access the Teach First Programme Guide, Main Handbook and further resources on Blackboard.

The full programme specification and accompanying course unit outlines can be found on Blackboard.

If you need further clarification on any matters raised in this handbook, please do not hesitate to talk to your Tutor. We wish you every success.

**Louisa Dawes**

*Teach First Course Leader - on behalf of the PGDE team of academic and administrative staff*

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification**

### **1.2 Getting the most from your PGDE**

1. **Stay positive** with the young people you teach, and give them confidence. Your main role is to educate them, not to judge them. Be realistic about their level of organization - have spare pens and paper ready.
2. **Be organised.** Buy a diary or a teacher planner and learn to use it. Don't procrastinate: get things done early, step by step. This includes preparing evidence for your portfolio, and especially lesson planning.
3. **Try out creative ideas in your lessons.** This is your year to be adventurous. If ideas work, you can share and reuse them. If not, so what? The person who never made mistakes never made anything.
4. **Share resources with your peers.** Create a Dropbox for resources that have been used and worked well, and adapt what others have created. Leave copies for your mentor. Take care over copyright.
5. **Support each other.** Everyone goes through tough patches in learning to teach.
6. **Ask questions,** but try not to keep asking the same thing. That can be irritating.
7. **Back up your work.** You will create a lot more work for yourself if you lose lesson plans, assignments, etc.
8. **Don't be surprised to find you've changed.** The Teach First PGDE is a highly-regarded qualification with good reason. Getting to the end of the course is both challenging and incredibly rewarding, and in some ways you will be a different person at the end of it.

### **1.3 Using Blackboard**

Blackboard is the University Virtual Learning Environment (VLE) and is the main means for communication and administration for the Teach First Programme. You will be given information early on in the course to support your use of Blackboard. If in any doubt about using Blackboard, please contact [teachfirst@manchester.ac.uk](mailto:teachfirst@manchester.ac.uk).

Blackboard is the main means of communication with the whole of the Teach First cohort, and you should check it regularly. Group discussions and individual messages will usually be sent via email. From the point of registration on the programme, the main address that we will contact you on will be your University of Manchester email address (ending @postgrad.manchester.ac.uk). Please let your Tutor and the ITET Programmes Office ([teachfirst@manchester.ac.uk](mailto:teachfirst@manchester.ac.uk)) know immediately if you change any of your contact details, as we do not want you to miss important information.

### **1.4 Attendance and unavoidable absence**

The Teach First Programme is a professional as well as an academic programme. Attendance at all University-based sessions is a requirement. Failure to attend may affect your progress. Where absence is unavoidable, the following procedures must be followed:

- a) Absence from University: contact your Tutor and PDL before 8am with the reason.
- b) Absence from school: **follow your school's absence policy.**

### **1.5 Progress and the Participant Support Framework**

Participants may require extra support at different stages of the programme. The Participant Support Framework (PSF) is used to coordinate this. Support could be needed for a range of reasons, but implementation of the PSF will always be focused on helping participants make the greatest progress and have the greatest impact possible.

Please refer to the Teach First NW Programme Guide for further details of the PSF support system.

### **1.6 Code of Professional Conduct**

2. It is expected that all participants who are on a programme leading to a recommendation of Qualified Teacher Status (QTS) at The University of Manchester conduct themselves in a professional manner. This code of professional conduct is additional and complementary to The University of Manchester Student Regulations and the policies and practices of schools hosting participants for professional placements.

## THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER

### Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification

3. This code of conduct is informed by The *Teachers' Standards* (2012), the current Initial Teacher Training Criteria, the Disclosure and Barring Services legislation and Keeping Children Safe in Education legislation. It reflects the reasonable behaviour expected of a trainee teacher as a professional.
4. Knowledge of and adherence to this code of professional conduct are key responsibilities of a participant on the Teach First Programme at The University of Manchester. This code sets out the expectations of you as you engage with a professional programme.

It is expected that you will:

- Show respect for others including all university and school staff, other participants, children and parents.
- Access, read and follow all relevant policies, procedures and codes of practice and conduct, including safeguarding pupils' wellbeing, in accordance with statutory provision and school policies.
- Take responsibility for your own learning and listen to and act on advice from others. This includes engaging in university sessions, completing tasks, attending Tutorials and taking responsibility for addressing areas of improvement by discussing concerns with university Tutors and school mentors.
- Take responsibility for looking after your personal well-being and actively seek relevant support where necessary.
- Attend and be punctual for lectures and Tutorials at university, and in accordance with placement expectations while on professional placements.
- Ensure a professional approach to all communications, including e-communications and social media.
- Maintain an appropriate standard of professional appearance, especially whilst on professional placements and adhere to school policies and practice in relation to dress code.
- Respect the confidentiality of school resources, staff and children.
- Follow relevant procedures as outlined in Programme and Placement Handbooks to raise any issues/concerns you may have with your training.

A breach of one or more terms of the Code of Professional Conduct may have implications for your continued professional development and award of Qualified Teacher Status.

#### **1.7 Warning System**

Failure to abide by the above Code of Conduct may necessitate implementation of the warning system.

This system has been developed to provide clear guidelines and targets for participants experiencing more serious and intractable problems and difficulties during the programme.

The chart on the following page describes the raising of an initial concern, Stages of Concern 1, 2 and 3, and the final stage.

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification**

**Concern identified**

- Concern identified by University, Teach First or school
- Tutor/PDL addresses concern directly with participant
- Participant acts on advice and no further action is needed

**Stage 1 concern**

- Concerns persist, or further concerns are raised by University, Teach First or school
- Meeting with Programme Director (where possible)
- **Stage 1 warning letter issued**, outlining concerns regarding professional practice and targets set against the Teachers' Standards, with appropriate deadlines
- Progress monitored by school, Tutor and PDL

**Stage 2 concern**

- Concerns persist (participant does not meet targets outlined in Stage 1) and Programme Director is informed
- Meeting between Tutor, participant and Programme Director to discuss continuing concerns
- **Stage 2 warning letter issued**, outlining concerns regarding professional practice and targets set against the Teachers' Standards with appropriate deadlines
- Progress monitored by school, Tutor and PDL

**Stage 3 concern**

- Concerns persist (participant does not meet targets outlined in Stage 2) and Programme Director is informed
- Meeting between Tutor, participant and Programme Director to discuss continuing concerns
- **Stage 3 warning letter issued**, outlining concerns regarding professional practice and **final** targets set against the Teachers' Standards with appropriate deadlines
- Progress monitored by school, Tutor and PDL

**Final Stage**

- Concerns persist (participant does not meet the targets outlined in Stage 3) and Programme Director is informed
- **Final stage letter issued**. The participant will not continue on the programme.

### **1.8 Change of Academic Adviser**

It is the right of all University of Manchester students to request a change of academic adviser (Tutor). In the first instance, where a participant has sufficient reason to change a Tutor, they should discuss this with the Programme Lead or another Tutor within their subject.

Where the concern relates to a mentor in school, the issue should be referred to the University Tutor who will, where necessary, liaise with Teach First and the school.

### **1.9 Assessment at the University of Manchester**

On successful completion of all the elements of the course, you will be recommended automatically to the Department for Education for inclusion on the list of Qualified Teachers.

As part of the formal examination results, professional conduct will also be taken into account when recommending a candidate for the award of the PGDE and associated Qualified Teacher Status.

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification**

All Standards must be completely met by the end of your first year in order for Qualified Teacher Status to be awarded.

You must also gain a “B” (BlueSky), “Grade 3” or “Requires Improvement” or above in all aspects of your practical teaching (although the expectation is that you will be Grade 1).

The Teach First PGDE is an academic qualification which is taught and assessed at Masters Level. To gain the Post Graduate Diploma in Education you must pass all four Diploma assignments. If you pass the Masters assignments at 50% or above you may be able to use the credits gained as part of a future Masters programme.

The course is assessed by means of both written submissions and the collection of practical teaching evidence in line with the *Teachers’ Standards*. No compensation is allowed from one part or the other. The University reserves the right to modify the regulations concerning assessment at any time. The award of the PGDE is made on a pass/fail basis and is therefore unclassified.

Full assessment and assignment details are in the main Teach First handbook. All handbooks can be found on Blackboard. Assignments will be marked, with feedback available on Blackboard within the timeframe outlined within University regulations (30 working days from assignment submission). At the end of the year you may be required to submit completed portfolios for examination by the External Examiner.

Written assignment deadlines are as follows:

<b>Module Number</b>	<b>Assignment Title</b>	<b>Submission Deadline</b>	<b>Feedback Date</b>
2	Emerging Philosophy of Teaching and Learning	20/01/2020	02/03/2020
3	Leading Learning in Communities	11/05/2020	22/06/2020
4	Collaborative Learning and Development	08/02/2021	22/03/2021
5	Extending Impact and Influencing Others	07/06/2021	19/07/2021

Your progression will be continuously monitored at Progression Boards, and all marks should be treated as provisional until ratified by the Board of Examiners at the External Examination Board in July.

### **1.10 Guidance on Written Work**

#### **Guidance on written work**

1. Formal submissions will be made via Blackboard to the appropriate assignment Dropbox on or before the date of submission.
2. Any work submitted at any time within the first 24 hours following the published submission deadline will receive a penalty of 10% of the maximum amount of marks available. Any work submitted at any time between 24 hours and up to 48 hours late will receive a deduction of 20% of the marks available, and so on, at the rate of an additional 10% of available marks deducted per 24 hours, until the assignment is submitted or no marks remain. No calculations will be made for part-days. The Policy relates to 24 hours/calendar days, so includes weekends and weekdays. If an assessment deadline is at noon on a Friday and a submission is made just before noon on the following Monday, the penalty would be a 30% mark deduction, based on being late by three days/72 hours. Schools are therefore advised to consider the implications of deadlines (particularly for hard copy submissions) and are encouraged to avoid deadlines on a Friday. You must keep backups/photocopies of all assignments submitted; this includes all documents in the appendices.
3. Poorly presented work (e.g. poor grammar, inaccurate spelling or illegibility) will be penalized.
4. All written work *must* be submitted in A4 format with minimum font size 11, and word processed following the appropriate guidelines.
5. Word count policy penalties are:
  - a. If you exceed the word count by between 10-50%, your final assignment mark will be capped at 50%. Work exceeding the word count by more than 50% will be viewed as not having met the requirements of the assessment. The work will not be marked and a mark of zero will be recorded.

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification**

### **Harvard Referencing**

The following rules for referencing should be used for assignments

- Always give the source of each reference, quotation or paraphrase. Ensure that ideas that are not your own are appropriately acknowledged. Do not cite an author's actual words without using quotation marks.
- References within the body of the text should include: the author's name, date of publication and when quoting, the number of the page reference e.g.
  - Raffo (2008) stated that... or
  - Raffo (2011: 57) argues...
- Full citations should not be given in the text or as footnotes but in the bibliography at the end of the text.
- Where the reference is written by more than two authors, in the first instance (or in the bibliography) all the authors should be mentioned by name. On subsequent occasions the name of the first author followed by '*et al.*' or 'and associates' can be used.

Further information on the Harvard Referencing format can be found here:

<http://subjects.library.manchester.ac.uk/referencing/referencing-harvard>

### **Bibliography**

This should be presented at the end of the assignment before any appendices. The bibliography should list, in alphabetical order of the author's surname, all works referred to in the text, plus others that have made a contribution to your thinking in preparation for the assignment. Inclusion of a book or other material in the bibliography does not imply that you have read it from cover to cover but that you have accessed the literature. All referencing should conform to the Harvard referencing system.

### **Further guidance on presentation**

You are advised to follow these general guidelines for written assignments; individual subjects may have further guidance.

- No school, teacher or pupil names should be used
- Work should be word-processed.
- Number all pages and include a final word count excluding the bibliography and appendices
- Appendices should be clearly labelled

## **1.11 Mitigating Circumstances**

It is essential that you seek advice from your Tutor, your Programme Administrator ([teachfirst@manchester.ac.uk](mailto:teachfirst@manchester.ac.uk)) or the SEED Student Support Team ([studentsupport-seed@manchester.ac.uk](mailto:studentsupport-seed@manchester.ac.uk)) if your work is being affected by personal or medical circumstances. These individuals will be able to talk you through the process of 'Mitigating Circumstances' and provide advice.

If you think that your performance or academic progress is likely to be affected by your circumstances or that you may not be able to hand in an assignment by the deadline, you may submit a Mitigating Circumstances application via Blackboard, with relevant supporting documentation, for consideration by the Mitigating Circumstances Panel and Board of Examiners. Your Programme Administrator or the Student Support Manager will be able to advise on the deadlines for the submission of applications and the type of documentary evidence required.

Please note that you must apply for mitigating circumstances before the submission deadline for your affected assignment has passed.

Further information on the University's policy on mitigating circumstances can be found [here](#).

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification**

*Examples of possible mitigating circumstances:*

- Significant illness or injury
- The death or critical/significant illness of a close family member/dependant
- Significant family crises or major financial problems leading to acute stress
- Absence for public service, eg jury service

*Circumstances which will **NOT** normally be regarded as grounds for mitigation:*

- Holidays, moving house, and events which were planned or could reasonably have been expected
- Assessments which are scheduled closely together
- Misreading the timetable or misunderstanding the requirements for assessment
- Inadequate planning and time management
- Failure, loss or theft of a computer or printer that prevents submission of work on time: students should back up work regularly and not leave completion and printing so late that they cannot find another computer or printer
- Consequences of paid employment (except in some special cases for part-time students)
- Exam stress or panic attacks not diagnosed as illness or supported by medical evidence
- Disruption in an examination room during the course of an assessment which has not been recorded by the invigilators

### **1.12 Grading system**

The grading system for PGDE assignments will be published on Blackboard in October.

### **1.13 Publication of Results on Completion of the Course**

Once the Board of Examiners have approved results, an unofficial transcript will be emailed to each participant. All grades and pass lists are provisional until they have been agreed by the Board of Examiners. Official transcripts can be ordered from The University of Manchester's eStore after completion of the PGDE.

### **1.14 Moderation and External Examination**

Participants may be visited by another university Tutor as part of the internal quality assurance process in respect of moderation of school experience.

Each subject has an external examiner from another university who monitors the course during the year. They will see a sample of up to 10% of candidates chosen to be representative of the range of grades awarded but grades of individuals, as agreed by the internal examiners, cannot be changed as the result of a single lesson observation or assessment of an assignment by an external examiner.

### **1.15 Relevant Legislation**

As an accredited Initial Teacher Education and Training (ITET) Provider, The University of Manchester considers statutory guidance when carrying out duties relating to ITET (Initial teacher training criteria and supporting advice: Information for accredited initial teacher training providers, 2017).

In addition, the following legislation is relevant to ITET programmes:

- The Education (School Teachers' Qualifications) (England) Regulations 2003 (S.I. 2003/1662)
- The Education (Health Standards) (England) Regulations 2003
- The Education (Specified Work and Registration) (England) Regulations 2012
- The Higher Education Act 2004
- The Education (Student Support) Regulations 2011
- The Equality Act 2010

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification**

- The Special Educational Needs and Disability Act 2001
- The Data Protection Act and General Data Protection Regulation
- The Freedom of Information Act 2000
- The Childcare (Disqualification) Regulations 2009
- The Counter-Terrorism and Security Act 2015

**1.16 Contact Details**

Name	Email address
<b>Louisa Dawes</b> Programme Director and MFL	<a href="mailto:louisa.dawes@manchester.ac.uk">louisa.dawes@manchester.ac.uk</a>
<b>Dr Lisa Murtagh</b> Director of Initial Teacher Education and Training (ITET)	<a href="mailto:lisa.murtagh@manchester.ac.uk">lisa.murtagh@manchester.ac.uk</a>
<b>Prof David Spendlove</b> Executive for Teach First PGDE North West	<a href="mailto:David.Spendlove@manchester.ac.uk">David.Spendlove@manchester.ac.uk</a>
<b>Jonathan Tolan</b> Mathematics	<a href="mailto:jonathan.tolan@manchester.ac.uk">jonathan.tolan@manchester.ac.uk</a>
<b>Anna Foster</b> English	<a href="mailto:anna.foster@manchester.ac.uk">anna.foster@manchester.ac.uk</a>
<b>Mark Innes</b> English	<a href="mailto:mark.innes@manchester.ac.uk">mark.innes@manchester.ac.uk</a>
<b>Sara Jackson</b> Science	<a href="mailto:sara.jackson@manchester.ac.uk">sara.jackson@manchester.ac.uk</a>
<b>Narinder Mann</b> Geography	<a href="mailto:narinder.mann@manchester.ac.uk">narinder.mann@manchester.ac.uk</a>
<b>Tom Donnai</b> History	<a href="mailto:thomas.donnai@manchester.ac.uk">thomas.donnai@manchester.ac.uk</a>
<b>Karen Beswick</b> Primary Lead	<a href="mailto:Karen.beswick@manchesteer.ac.uk">Karen.beswick@manchesteer.ac.uk</a>
<b>Lisa Griffin</b> Teach First Programme Administrator	<a href="mailto:teachfirst@manchester.ac.uk">teachfirst@manchester.ac.uk</a>
<b>Alexandra Duffy</b> Teach First Administrative Assistant	<a href="mailto:teachfirst@manchester.ac.uk">teachfirst@manchester.ac.uk</a>
<b>Catriona Fraser</b> ITET Programmes Support Manager	<a href="mailto:catriona.fraser@manchester.ac.uk">catriona.fraser@manchester.ac.uk</a>

## Section 2: General Information

### 2.1 Administrative/Academic Team

You are supported in your studies by a dedicated administrative and academic team.

#### **Administrative Team**

Although you are part of the Manchester Institute of Education (MIE) department you are also part of the **School of Environment, Education and Development (SEED)** which also includes the departments of Geography, Planning and Environmental Management (PEM), the Global Development Institute (GDI) and the Manchester School of Architecture. Each Programme Administrator looks after a selection of postgraduate programmes. You will meet your Programme Administrator during the first week of the Semester and they will be your key contact for the rest of the year. Whilst each programme has its own named Programme Administrator, a number of School-level administrative staff also work to support students. You will get to meet these staff across your time in the School and those with specific roles are referenced across the handbook. The ITET Programmes team is managed by Catriona Fraser, the ITET Services Support Manager.

#### **Academic Team**

Academically your programme is overseen by the Programme Director, who is ultimately responsible for the management of the Programme. They work closely with the administration team to ensure that all aspects of your Programme run smoothly. The Programme Directors sit on the Programme Committee where issues relating to your programme are discussed, and on the School-level Teaching and Learning Committee, where they discuss wider issues of programme development with Programme Directors from across the School. Additionally you will be allocated an Academic Adviser who will offer academic as well as pastoral support (see **Section 3.3** for more information).

### 2.2 Contacting the Administrative/Academic Team

#### **Contacting Administrative Staff**

All School Administrative Staff, including Programme Administrators can be contacted by phone or email (full contact details for administrative staff can be found on the student intranet).

Alternatively you can visit our administrative office as follows:

#### **Initial Teacher Education and Training students:**

**ITET Programmes Office, BG.14 –located on the ground floor of B wing and open 08:30-17:00 Monday-Friday.**

### 2.3 Information Points

#### **School of Environment, Education and Development Student Intranet**

The main reference point for information about your programme, the department and the School is the School's Student Intranet

 <https://www.seed.manchester.ac.uk/student-intranet/>

This will hold electronic copies of School documentation such as handbooks, timetables, relevant forms, copies of minutes from relevant committees, mitigating circumstances and disability support information, and will have regularly updated electronic noticeboards. It will also hold details of student representatives for each programme, once they have been nominated.

#### **My Manchester**

My Manchester (<http://my.manchester.ac.uk>) is the single gateway for you to access key tools, services and information to support your studies. You will be able to access all the information and online services you are

## THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER

### Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification

likely to need on a day-to-day basis here, e.g. email, Blackboard (the University's virtual learning environment), your library account, your personalised examination timetable, your student record and your assessment grades once these have been published.

My Manchester also links through to all University Policies and Procedures, some of which are referenced later in your handbook.

## 2.4 Communication

In order for your programme to run smoothly it is essential that you maintain good communication with the administrative and academic team.

### **Email**

All of the information sent out by administrators and academic staff comes via your *University of Manchester* email address which you are allocated upon arrival. This can be accessed via the internet. **It is your responsibility to ensure that you regularly check your email account.** If you believe that you are not receiving all relevant emails, you must inform your Programme Administrator *immediately*.

### **Contact Details**

It is your responsibility to keep all contact details up-to-date on the online student system (which you used to register). If you change address during the course of the academic year, you must update this system accordingly.

### **Social Media**

The different departments provide their own Twitter and Facebook accounts which are used for various non-urgent communications, and so you as a current postgraduate student can interact with each other, undergraduate students, alumni and prospective students.

MIE:

- Twitter MIE:  <https://twitter.com/EducationUoM> @EducationUoM

## 2.5 Monitoring Attendance and Wellbeing of Students

Recording and monitoring attendance of students is a University requirement under Regulation XX (Monitoring Attendance and Wellbeing of Students). To this end, the School of Environment, Education and Development will ensure a robust approach to the monitoring and recording of student attendance across its departments and programmes.

The University expects that all students must attend all timetabled classes, meetings with tutors or your supervisor and any other arranged sessions. Your attendance will therefore be monitored throughout each semester.

If your attendance is being affected by health or personal reasons please see **Section 4.7** for further information.

Full information on the School of Environment, Education and Development's attendance monitoring policies is available to read at:

 <https://www.seed.manchester.ac.uk/student-intranet/postgraduate/postgraduate-taught/attendance-requirements/>

## 2.6 International Students with a Tier 4 Visa

Up to date information regarding Tier 4 Visa's can be found on Blackboard.

## 2.7 Teaching & Learning Facilities

All students are also strongly encouraged to use the main collections in the main University Library.

### *The University Of Manchester Library*

<http://www.manchester.ac.uk/library>

The University of Manchester Library, one of the best-resourced academic libraries in the country, provides you with the resources and support you need throughout your programme. The Library houses all the essential text books, across various sites, and has an extensive online collection of e-books, e-journals and research databases.

The “**My Library**” tab in “**My Manchester**” has quick links to all of the Library’s resources and services available to students.

### **Facilities**

The University of Manchester Library operates a number of sites across campus. The Main Library offers group study rooms, individual study spaces and computer clusters. Wi-Fi is available throughout the building and a cafe lounge located on the ground floor.

The Alan Gilbert Learning Commons provides a state of the art 24/7 learning environment, offering flexible open learning spaces, multimedia facilities, computer clusters and bookable group study rooms. In addition to the main sites, there are a number of specialist libraries located across the campus. Details of all locations, facilities and opening hours can be found via the Library website.

### **Get Started: Find Your Resources**

Your student card is required to access all library sites around campus and your central university username and password to access the electronic resources. Our **Get Started guide** provides helpful videos on how to use the Library plus information on how to navigate and find support within the Library.

- <http://www.library.manchester.ac.uk/get-started/>

Use **Library Search** to find books, ebooks, online journals and articles. Use the **Subject Guides** to find appropriate resources/databases for your subject area plus guidance on referencing and keeping up to date with research.

### **Training and Research Support**

**My Learning Essentials:** The Library provides a comprehensive programme of online resources, workshops and drop in skills clinics throughout the year designed to help you to develop your academic and employability skills.

- <http://www.manchester.ac.uk/my-learning-essentials>

**Feedback & Enquiries:** For general enquiries, e-resources support and/or to feedback suggestions please contact the library in person, via phone or online.

- <http://www.library.manchester.ac.uk/contact>

### **Library News and Updates**

Keep up to date with the latest library developments via Facebook <https://www.facebook.com/uomlibrary/> or Twitter [@UoMLibrary](https://twitter.com/UoMLibrary).

### **Alan Gilbert Learning Commons**

The Alan Gilbert Learning Commons is a state of the art study and learning centre in the heart of the Oxford Road campus boasting an onsite café, an impressive atrium providing a social meeting space with wifi access and flexible study spaces and environments throughout the building.

The Learning Commons is open to students and staff of the University within its usual opening hours:

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification**

- Term time: open 24/7
- Out of term:
  - Monday to Friday: 9am - 9pm
  - Weekends: 9am - 5pm

For more information about the facilities and services available please visit their webpage

☞ <http://www.library.manchester.ac.uk/locations-and-opening-hours/learning-commons/>

***Blackwell's***

The campus bookshop is Blackwell's, and they are situated next to the Arthur Lewis Building (no.36 on the Campus Map).

Blackwell's stock all your recommended texts, and with their 'Student Price Match Guarantee', should be competitive with Amazon.

They also have a Starbucks Cafe, and also sell general books, stationery, technology, cards, gifts, university merchandise.

Follow them on Twitter:

[@BlackwellsMcr](https://twitter.com/BlackwellsMcr)

☞ <https://blackwells.co.uk/bookshop/shops/>

***Blackboard: courses and enrolments***

The teaching and learning activities within your courses are enhanced and supported by the use of Blackboard. All of your courses/spaces are listed in: ☞ <http://my.manchester.ac.uk/> under the 'Blackboard' tab. Within this tab you will find:

- a list of all the courses you are registered to take, under the 'Course List',
- a list for Programme spaces or other 'Organisations', in the 'My Communities' list.

You can also access Blackboard on your smartphone using the Blackboard Mobile Learn app. For guidance, see:

☞ [www.blackboard.com/resources/mobile/mobile\\_learn\\_splash/desktop/](http://www.blackboard.com/resources/mobile/mobile_learn_splash/desktop/)

Courses become available to students one week before the start of teaching. For most courses in 2018/19 this is:

- Semester 1 and all-year courses: 10 September 2018
- Semester 2 courses: 21 January 2019

To ensure that you have access to all of your courses within Blackboard, you must be enrolled on them through the Student Records system. Once enrolled, your courses should appear in Blackboard within 24 hours. Also, your tutor needs to have 'activated' your Blackboard course in order for you to access it. If you cannot see a course you expect to see, please:

- contact your School Administrator to check that you have been enrolled;
- check with your tutor that they have made the course available;

Note: If you change your course enrolments there will also be a delay of up to 24 hours in acquiring your new courses and removing those you are no longer taking.

Your Blackboard course(s) will contain different elements, depending on how your tutor(s) have set them up. They may be used for course materials, lecture handouts, coursework submission, quizzes, additional resources, discussion boards or blogs, for example. If you have any queries about the content, please check with your tutor first.

After enrolment or changing your enrolments, if your courses are not correctly listed in Blackboard after 24 hours, please let us know which course(s) you are missing by going through

☞ <http://www.manchester.ac.uk/servicedesk/>

## THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER

### Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification

If your course is assessed by coursework, and the submission of coursework is done online via Turnitin you can find detailed guidance on 'A Student Guide to Submitting an Assignment via Turnitin' in the Knowledge Base at:

🔗 <http://documents.manchester.ac.uk/display.aspx?DocID=13010> (document)

🔗 <https://stream.manchester.ac.uk/Play.aspx?Videoid=21235> (video)

If your tutor delivers feedback on your coursework online you can also look up the Guidance on how to access your feedback and 'Downloading Feedback from Turnitin' at:

🔗 <http://documents.manchester.ac.uk/display.aspx?DocID=13011>

For general information on Blackboard and access to support information, please visit:

🔗 [my.manchester.ac.uk/portlet/user-guide](http://my.manchester.ac.uk/portlet/user-guide).

Please note: periods when Blackboard access may not be possible (at-risk periods) are Sundays 2am to 5am, Easter holidays and the whole of July. Notification of significant downtime during Easter and July will be communicated through My Manchester Student News.

#### **Arthur Lewis Student Common Room**

All School of Environment, Education and Development students have access to the Student Common Room on the ground floor of the Arthur Lewis Building. The room is divided into three main areas: a laptop area, an area with study tables and a more informal soft seating area. The room is shared with the School of Social Sciences who are also housed in the Arthur Lewis Building. The room will be open 24 hours but may be closed some Wednesday afternoons for special events.

#### **Technical/IT Support**

Students at the University of Manchester enjoy access to a wide range of high quality IT services provided across campus. Within Humanities itself there are in excess of 500 computers located within Faculty buildings available for student use complementing the 1000+ seats provided by the University in public clusters – including a public cluster at Owens Park.

All cluster computers are configured in the same way and provide access to services offered by schools, faculties and central service providers such as IT Services 🔗 <http://www.itservices.manchester.ac.uk/help/> and the University Library 🔗 <http://www.library.manchester.ac.uk/>. These include printing, scanning and copying and access to a wide range of general use and course specific software on the Windows 7 operating system.

A list of open access clusters can be found at 🔗 <http://www.itservices.manchester.ac.uk/students/pc-on-campus/>

Wireless networking is being installed across campus enabling students with wireless equipped laptops to access IT services on campus. Full details of the services offered, including a list of available locations, can be found at 🔗 <http://www.itservices.manchester.ac.uk/wireless/>

Help and advice is available from our Service Desk which can be contacted by phone, email or in person. It is also available online 🔗 <http://www.itservices.manchester.ac.uk/help/>

Walk-up help and support is available at the Kilburn Building from 9am-5pm Monday to Friday. Help and support is also available at Joule Library, Main Library or Alan Gilbert Learning Commons. Details of opening hours and other contact details can be found at:

🔗 <http://www.itservices.manchester.ac.uk/help/>

Telephone support is available 24 hours a day throughout the year.

#### **Technical Resources**

The Media Stores in Media Services are able to loan students a range of audio-visual equipment for learning, teaching and research. Training is provided on the use of the equipment and guidance given on getting the best out of the facilities for your learning or event activities. Further information can be found on their website: <http://www.mediaservices.manchester.ac.uk/ourservices/mediastores/>

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification**

***Other design/technical services***

Other design/technical services are also available in the University's *Media Centre* based on the second floor of the Humanities Bridgeford Street Building which provides: black and white photocopies, colour photocopies, colour / black and white printing, scanning, and binding and laminating facilities. The Media Centre can also lend laptops to students for up to a week, in the event of a personal laptop having been stolen or sent for repair. Opening times are Monday–Friday 09.00–17.00 (closed 13.00–14.00) or visit the website on:

☞ <http://www.mediaservices.manchester.ac.uk/>

***Computing Facilities (including wireless)***

Postgraduate students have 24 hour access to the computer suites housed in the two ground floor Atrium clusters in the Arthur Lewis Building. These are accessed by your University Student ID card. Other shared clusters are available in the Humanities Bridgeford Street Building (when not being used for teaching). Support for these machines can be found through the IT helpdesk.

Arthur Lewis and the Humanities Bridgeford Street Building both have good wireless connections. Visit ☞ <http://www.itservices.manchester.ac.uk/wireless/> for more information.

***Printing/Scanning Facilities***

All scanning/printing is paid for in advance through your printing account. In order to add money to this account you can use the Online ePayments System or the *Central Printing Credit Top-up Stations (Payment Kiosks)*. A Payment Kiosk is available in the Arthur Lewis G.05 Computer Cluster for purchasing printing credits. Printers are normally available in all computer clusters.

For more information visit:

☞ <http://www.itservices.manchester.ac.uk/students/printing/payment/>

***Print Pull System***

The new print pull facility will enable you to print your document from any networked computer and collect the print out at any printer in a University building using your swipe card.

Visit ☞ <http://www.itservices.manchester.ac.uk/students/printing/> for more information.

***Further University Computing Services***

There are 9 large public PC clusters available for use by staff and students including the George Kenyon cluster in University Place where the PC and printing facilities are available 24 hours, 7 days a week. Many of the PC clusters have a *Printing Pre–Payment Station* for crediting your print account. You can use this print account to print in any of the clusters. A list of the locations and software available in the PC clusters is available from the IT Services webpage:

☞ <http://www.itservices.manchester.ac.uk/students/pc-on-campus/>

Information for students wishing to purchase IT equipment and consumables (e.g. printer cartridges) during their time at University can be found on the Buying IT webpage:

☞ <http://www.itservices.manchester.ac.uk/ourservices/popular/purchasing/>

***Podcasting***

The University has introduced podcasting (sometimes known as lecture capture) into many lecture theatres and teaching spaces across campus. This system has been set up to help students replay, revisit and revise from past lectures.

No video cameras are used in the teaching environment, instead podcasting records the output from the projector, which includes: PowerPoints, web browsers, video, or software from a PC, laptop or iPad etc, and the lecturer's voice from microphones at the front of the teaching space.

The recordings are then automatically processed into usable formats and made available to students. Currently 320 locations are equipped for podcasting, making this one of the largest lecture capture installations in the world.

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification**

Instructions on how to access podcasts for your classes can be found here:

<https://www.mypodcasts.manchester.ac.uk/student-faqs/>

## Section 3: Student Experience

### 3.1 Student Charter

The Student Charter, developed jointly by the University and the Students' Union, is an important part of how we establish and maintain clear mutual expectations for the experience of all undergraduate and taught postgraduates. It sets out what we can expect from each other as partners in a learning community. A copy of the Student Charter can be found on the following webpage: <http://www.yoursay.manchester.ac.uk/student-charter/>

### 3.2 Student Development

#### **Study Skills**

Each department in the School of Environment, Education and Development offers study/research skills training as part of the postgraduate programme, whether in named course units or in additional workshops.

Additional writing skills workshops will be available to all overseas students at the beginning of the academic year, on a first come first served basis – please ask your programme administrator for details of how to sign up. Throughout the course of the year, you are also encouraged to discuss coursework with your Academic Adviser and/or Course Unit Convenor, who can assist you with any questions you may have.

#### **Research Training**

Students are encouraged to become involved in the wider research community by attending relevant seminars both within and outside the School of Environment, Education and Development. Details of relevant seminars will be circulated via email and posted on social media whenever possible.

#### **My Learning Essentials Training Courses**

The Library offers a range of skills sessions throughout the academic year to help you with your research and designed to equip you with the solid base of skills that you will need for academic success. Courses cover study strategies, academic writing, proofreading, literature searching, subject databases, citation and referencing, avoiding plagiarism and a range of workshops on specialist resources and research information skills. Full details of online training sessions, workshops and skills clinics are available on the Library website:

<http://www.library.manchester.ac.uk/services-and-support/students/support-for-your-studies/my-learning-essentials/>

#### **Exam Extra Sessions**

On the run-up to University exam periods, the Library run a series of sessions specifically to help students revise and prepare for exams. Further details on sessions will be publicised by the Library closer to the January and May/June exam periods.

#### **Careers**

The University has a customer-focused career service open to all students.

From the moment you arrive at University, there are a wealth of opportunities on offer to help you gain experience and find the job that you love.

The Careers Service is not just for undergraduates. They are here to support Diploma, Masters and PhD students, too.

Whether you are just starting out, are an experienced professional or a career changer they have the resources and people to help you manage and develop your career.

Employers want to see students who have not only studied an academic programme, but who have also taken advantage of the various extra-curricular activities available to them whilst at University.

## THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER

### Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification

Your Careers Service doesn't just talk about life after graduation, they are also there to help you navigate and explore the variety of opportunities open to you during your studies in order to enhance your experience and build your upon your existing CV.

Whilst you are studying at Manchester, your Careers Service can help you...

- Explore options and ideas with your degree
- Look for part-time or vacation work
- Discover volunteering opportunities
- Gain work experience, placements and internships
- Find out about specific jobs and sectors
- Develop the skills employers look for
- Find graduate jobs and internships
- Create CV and job applications
- Find employer events and careers fairs
- Succeed at interviews and assessment centres
- Find postgraduate study and funding options
- Access mentoring support
- Start your own business... and much more

Make the most of your time at Manchester and get involved from the start of your degree.

The Careers Service is located in the Atrium, University Place:

(<http://documents.manchester.ac.uk/display.aspx?DocID=6507>) Building number 37.

tel: 0161 275 2829

email: [careers@manchester.ac.uk](mailto:careers@manchester.ac.uk)

 [www.careers.manchester.ac.uk](http://www.careers.manchester.ac.uk)

#### **Volunteering Hub**

*The University has an online Volunteering Hub where you will find details of opportunities to get involved in a range of activities that support other students and improve the University community, fundraising, educational, environmental and cultural projects, through to getting involved in charities and not-for-profit organisations in the wider community outside of campus. Further details and access to the hub can be found here:*

 <http://www.volunteers.manchester.ac.uk/>

#### **The University Language Centre**

The University Language Centre provides courses and language learning resources for students from a wide variety of departments wishing to include a modern languages element within their studies. It also offers a wide range of courses and services for international students for whom English is not a first language.

#### **Language courses**

Offered as part of the University Language Centre's institution-wide language programme (LEAP), these courses are available to students from across the University and may be studied on a credit or on a non-credit basis to complement your degree. Currently there are 20 languages offered, ranging from the main international languages to a number of less-widely taught languages.

For more information on the full range of languages and levels that are available, please consult the University Language Centre website via the link given below.

#### **English Language Programmes and Advice**

If English is not your native language, you may wish to enquire about the wide range of credit bearing and non-credit bearing English courses available through the University Language Centre.

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification**

International students who would like advice on how they can improve their academic writing are encouraged to make use of the one-to-one writing consultation service. Around 500 individual sessions are held per year and these are free of charge.

Timetabled in-session courses for international students, covering areas such as academic writing, academic speaking, pronunciation and grammar are also available at no cost to students. Writing is delivered on a broad disciplinary specific basis: Engineering and Physical Sciences, Life Sciences, Medical and Human Sciences, Business-related departments, Humanities.

Please refer to the Our Courses section of the ULC webpage via the link given below.

**Face to Face** - This is a reciprocal language learning scheme, in which students can meet with native speakers of the language they are learning. International students find that this is a good way to meet home students and to become more integrated into the University. Home students can prepare themselves for study abroad by finding out about their partners' home universities and cultures. For more information, please enquire at the ULC reception.

**Tandem Programme** - This programme is similar to Face to Face, but is more formal and provides credits which count towards your University degree. It is fully monitored, assessed and supported via practical workshops. For more information please refer to the Foreign Languages section via the link given below.

**Open Learning Facilities** - The University Language Centre's open learning facilities, situated in the Samuel Alexander Building, offer:

- A well-stocked library of materials in text, audio, DVD and CD-ROM formats
- Materials in some 80 languages
- Two suites of dedicated multimedia PCs for computer aided language learning, DVD playback and access to TVoverIP (for viewing live satellite channels via the University network)
- Booths with LCD screens for group viewing of DVDs
- A conversation room for group work and voice recordings
- Short-term loan of digital recorders, cameras, webcams, etc
- Support and advice for learners from expert staff and through online resources

A full guide to the University Language Centre's courses, services and its language learning resources is available at:  <http://www.languagecentre.manchester.ac.uk/>.

### **Extra Curricular Activities**

#### ***The Student Union***

The Student Union organises many different student activities including student societies and volunteering opportunities. For details please contact the Student Union.

 0161 275 2930

 <http://manchesterstudentsunion.com/>

#### ***The International Society***

The International Society organises a programme of events, trips and social activities for international students at the University. It also runs English and foreign language classes, a Women and Families Group, and hospitality scheme to link up international students with local families. They also run a variety of interesting cultural projects that you may be interested in volunteering for.

 0161 275 4959

 [www.internationalsociety.org.uk](http://www.internationalsociety.org.uk)

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification**

***Campus Sports***

An important way to maintain good health and wellbeing throughout your studies is to engage in sports, either individually or as part of a team. Further information is available at: <http://www.sport.manchester.ac.uk/sport/>

**Social Responsibility and life in the School of Environment, Education and Development**

Social Responsibility is at the heart of everything that we do at the School of Environment, Education and Development.

As the University's third goal, Social Responsibility describes the way we are making a difference to the social and economic well-being of our communities through our teaching, research, and public events and activities. Our web page <http://www.seed.manchester.ac.uk/connect/making-a-difference/> provides a flavour of our social responsibility work and throughout the year, Jen O'Brien, SEED's Director of Social Responsibility will share news of other activities and events. You might like to volunteer, for example. On the University's volunteering website [www.volunteers.manchester.ac.uk/](http://www.volunteers.manchester.ac.uk/) you can learn more about the hundreds of opportunities available to you and how to get started. We are regularly looking for people to assist with community engagement and outreach – in Summer 2017 we had a team at both the University's [Community Festival](#) and [Bluedot](#) and we regularly have Widening Participation activities with Schools. There are annual funding competitions such as the SEED Big Block of Cheese and SR Research Stimulation Award. We are also keen to help promote your work, perhaps you are already part of community engagement and would like to encourage others or would like to be nominated for a [Making a Difference Award](#), for example.

Please feel free to get in touch with Jen if you have Social Responsibility ideas that you would like to discuss, or be promoted : [Jennifer.obrien@manchester.ac.uk](mailto:Jennifer.obrien@manchester.ac.uk) @Jen\_robrien.

### **3.3 Student Support**

***Student Services Centre***

The Student Services Centre is a central point for information and advice on all non-academic University-related services such as examinations, registration, graduation, finance (including Manchester Hardship Fund advice), immigration, certificates and transcripts.

☎ 0161 275 5000

Email: [ssc@manchester.ac.uk](mailto:ssc@manchester.ac.uk)

🌐 <http://www.manchester.ac.uk/study/international/why-manchester/student-support/student-services-centre/>

Opening Hours: Monday to Friday 09.00-17.00 (Apart from Tuesdays, 10.00-17.00)

***University Student Support and Advice Team***

The University Student Support and Advice Team, based in the Atrium of University Place, can offer general advice on issues affecting your student life, with signposting to more specialist services. They also have information and resources on their website covering topics such as money, health and wellbeing.

☎ 0161 275 3033/3871

Email: [studentsupport@manchester.ac.uk](mailto:studentsupport@manchester.ac.uk)

🌐 <http://www.studentsupport.manchester.ac.uk/>

***Academic Support***

If you are encountering problems with your academic work then you should seek help and advice from your Academic Adviser (also known as Personal Tutor), or your Programme Director. Alternatively the University offers impartial information and advice on academic matters via the Student Support and Advice Team in University Place. If the problems you are encountering are linked to health or personal problems please also see **Section 4.7 Mitigating Circumstances**.

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification**

***Pastoral Support***

You will be allocated an Academic Adviser at the beginning of your programme. The Academic Advisement system is to help you review your academic progress and development, and to provide assistance to resolve problems you may encounter in relation to your studies in Manchester. This assistance will often take the form of referring students to the appropriate professional support service. You will be able to meet with your Academic Adviser at least once each semester. This may be by means of drop-in sessions which are arranged at specific times. It is your responsibility to arrange these meetings, and to attend those meetings which have been arranged. **It is particularly important to arrange to see your Academic Adviser if you have failed any semester 1 course units, or are experiencing any problems affecting your academic progress.**

You will normally retain the same Academic Adviser throughout your programme, but there may be instances where a change of tutor is necessary, for example during staff sabbaticals.

Students can request a change of Academic Adviser if the relationship becomes difficult for any reason and should contact their Programme Director to discuss the reasons why a change is requested. Any students whose Academic Adviser is also the Programme Director, can approach the School's Student Support and Guidance team ([studentsupport-seed@manchester.ac.uk](mailto:studentsupport-seed@manchester.ac.uk)).

***School Student Support and Guidance Team***

In addition to your Academic Adviser, the School also has a small team of staff who can help students in times of difficulty and are available to meet with students to advise on the kinds of support available in the University, including support for students with disabilities, and to discuss options such as interruption or mitigating circumstances. If you would like to arrange a meeting, please email the Student Support team ([studentsupport-seed@manchester.ac.uk](mailto:studentsupport-seed@manchester.ac.uk)).

***Stress and Ill Health***

You **must register** with a local doctor (GP) when you arrive in Manchester to receive NHS treatment whilst you are resident in Manchester. The University does not have its own medical service. Registering with a doctor enables international students, their spouse and children to receive **free** medical care, providing they are in the UK for 6 months or longer. You can find a doctor online by visiting the NHS website at <http://www.nhs.uk/Pages/HomePage.aspx> and using your term-time postcode to search. Information on accessing different kinds of healthcare in the UK can be found here:

<http://www.studentsupport.manchester.ac.uk/taking-care/support-services/accessing-healthcare/>

If you are unwell for up to one week, you can complete a "Self-Certification" form which can be found on the Student Intranet at:

<https://www.seed.manchester.ac.uk/student-intranet/postgraduate/postgraduate-taught/mitigating-circumstances/>. If you are using this form as supporting evidence for a mitigating circumstances application, the certificate will need to be signed by your GP.

For illnesses that last longer than one week you will need to obtain a doctor's note. This is especially important if you feel that health or personal issues are affecting your academic work and may impact on your ability to meet assessment deadlines. Please refer to **Section 4.7 Mitigating Circumstances**.

If you start to experience longer-term health issues you may wish to consider taking a break from your studies, a period of 'interruption'. Please refer to **Section 3.9 Interruptions**.

***University Policy on 'Supporting Health, Fitness and Return to Study'***

The University is committed to supporting students and recognises the impact that a student's health, wellbeing and conduct can have on their academic progression and wider experience. Issues with any of the above may affect a student's fitness to study. This policy will apply when a student's health, wellbeing, behaviour and/or conduct is significantly impacting on their ability to progress academically or function at University and it aims to ensure that the best interests of the student are considered in relation to their personal situation and to ensure that students who are experiencing issues are supported to address these difficulties at the earliest opportunity and have access to appropriate support services.

## THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER

### Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification

The Policy may also be used where the University has significant concerns about the impact of a student's behaviour and/or conduct on their own safety and wellbeing, or the safety and wellbeing of others. If these concerns have not been resolved by the 'Procedure on Support to Study' and the provision of support, they may be considered under the 'Procedure on Fitness to Study'.

The Procedure on 'Fitness to Study' is a two-stage process, which will include an assessment of the impact of the student's behaviour and/or conduct on both themselves and others. Stage 1 will consist of a School-level Fitness to Study Panel who will make recommendations. If a student is referred to Stage 2 of the procedure, then the Director of Campus Life would become involved.

The full policy and procedures can be found on the University website:

<http://documents.manchester.ac.uk/display.aspx?DocID=37798>

All information considered by the School's Fitness to Study Panel will be treated with the utmost confidentiality.

**There are a number of specialist services available at the University, to which students can be referred or can self-refer:**

#### ***Student Occupational Health Service***

This service offers confidential care and support on health and safety issues and offers emergency care if you are taken ill on campus. They will also advise the School on whether a student is 'fit to study' or 'fit to travel' on fieldwork in cases of illness. Please note that this service is not a doctor's surgery, and you should register with a GP when you arrive in Manchester.

☎ 0161 306 5806

Opening Hours: Monday–Friday 09.00-16.00

🌐 <http://www.occhealth.manchester.ac.uk/>

#### ***Counselling Service***

The Counselling Service is a free service available to all students. Professional counsellors provide confidential one-to-one counselling for anyone seeking help with personal problems affecting their work or well-being. The Counselling Service also run a range of group support workshops on issues such as managing anxiety; exam stress; procrastination; assertiveness; confidence and self-esteem; low mood; and speaking out in groups. They also have a comprehensive range of self-help resources available to students on their website.

Location: 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Crawford House, Precinct Centre

☎ 0161 275 2864

Email: [counselling.service@manchester.ac.uk](mailto:counselling.service@manchester.ac.uk)

🌐 <http://www.counsellingservice.manchester.ac.uk/> Opening Hours: 09.00–16.00 Monday to Friday, term-time and vacation (except for UK public holidays and the University Christmas closure period).

#### ***Student Union Advice Centre***

The Union have independent welfare advisers who can provide advice on a range of matters including academic issues (appeals, complaints and disciplinary matters) health and wellbeing, housing, finance and hardship.

Location: Ground floor, Student Union Building, Oxford Road

☎ 0161 275 2952

Email: [advice.su@manchester.ac.uk](mailto:advice.su@manchester.ac.uk)

🌐 <https://manchesterstudentsunion.com/top-navigation/advice-service/>

Opening Hours: Monday to Friday, 10.00 to 16.00, term-time and vacation. No appointment is needed - just call in. You can arrange an appointment by telephoning 0161 275 2952 or you can contact an adviser by going to the website and clicking on the green box.

## THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER

### Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification

#### ***Disability Advisory and Support Service (DASS)***

The single term “disability” is used to cover a broad range of physical and sensory impairments, medical conditions, specific learning difficulties and mental health needs. The definition of disability found in legislation is any condition which has a significant, adverse and long-term effect on the person's ability to carry out normal day-to-day activities.

Therefore, “disability” can include students who have

- A sensory (visual/hearing) impairment
- A mental health difficulty
- A mobility impairment
- A dexterity impairment
- Asperger's Syndrome and other autism spectrum disorders
- Chronic medical conditions (e.g. diabetes, epilepsy, asthma)
- Chronic pain / chronic fatigue
- Cancer
- Specific learning difficulties (e.g. dyslexia, dyspraxia)
- Any other condition which has a long-term and adverse effect on study

The University has a **Disability Advisory and Support Service (DASS)** who can help any students with additional needs and advise students on the support available to them throughout their studies. They can arrange an Assessment of Needs, assist with applications for funding support, arrange dyslexia screenings and discuss appropriate study aids, assistive technology and special examination arrangements if necessary. The DASS liaises with the School of Environment, Education and Development via the School's Student Support and Guidance Team (studentsupport-seed@manchester.ac.uk). Students should seek advice immediately if they feel that their work is being affected by a disability.

Location: 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, University Place

☎ 0161 275 7512

Email: [dass@manchester.ac.uk](mailto:dass@manchester.ac.uk)

**Opening Hours:** Monday to Friday 10.00 to 16.00

🌐 <http://www.dso.manchester.ac.uk/>

Whenever possible, please telephone or email for an appointment.

#### ***Legal Support***

For free, confidential advice on any legal issue. The Legal Advice Centre is run by the School of Law.

Location: Room 4.062, Crawford House

☎ 0161 275 7976

Email: [free.legal@manchester.ac.uk](mailto:free.legal@manchester.ac.uk)

🌐 <http://www.law.manchester.ac.uk/legal-advice-centre/>

#### ***Mediation Service***

The Mediation Service provides an alternative, informal method of dispute resolution to students who are experiencing a disagreement or conflict, or who feel that they are being harassed, discriminated against or bullied. They can offer advice to students on ways to approach a difficult situation.

☎ 0161 306 5874

Email: [mediation@manchester.ac.uk](mailto:mediation@manchester.ac.uk)

🌐 <http://www.manchester.ac.uk/mediation>

#### ***Accommodation***

Information regarding university accommodation can be sought at **The Accommodation Office**.

☎ 0161 275 2888

Email: [accommodation@manchester.ac.uk](mailto:accommodation@manchester.ac.uk)

🌐 <http://www.accommodation.manchester.ac.uk/>

or alternatively information regarding private accommodation can be found at **Manchester Student Homes**.

☎ 0161 275 7680 / 7681

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification**

Email: manchesterstudenthomes@manchester.ac.uk

🔗 <http://www.manchesterstudenthomes.com/Accommodation>

**The website also provides useful advice on contracts and your rights, as well as household costs and safety issues.**

### **Finance (Debt)**

Postgraduate students rely on a variety of sources for their funding: private or family savings, income from work, scholarships offered by governments or charitable foundations, and loans. Students who find themselves in genuine and unforeseen financial difficulties can apply for small sums from the Manchester Hardship Fund, which is administered by the Student Services Centre. This fund does not assist students with payment of tuition fees and can only provide assistance with living expenses.

🔗 <http://documents.manchester.ac.uk/display.aspx?DocID=25964>

### **Finance Advice**

Blackbullion is a financial education website for students that aims to help you take control of your money. It offers modules on budgeting, saving and more which you can access on your phone, tablet, or laptop.

🔗 <http://www.studentsupport.manchester.ac.uk/finances/money-matters/money-skills/>

If you are experiencing serious financial difficulties which are causing stress and are affecting your academic work then please refer to **Section 4.7 Mitigating Circumstances**.

It should also be noted that degree certificates/awards will not be given out unless all fees have been paid including tuition fees, accommodation fees, library fines, etc. In severe cases where students have large fee arrears, then the University will restrict all access to University resources such as the library and IT facilities, email and Blackboard until the fee has been paid.

### **Mature Students' Support**

If you have just returned to the academic environment from an absence of any length of time you may be interested in the various computing and writing skills services that are available to all students. Please refer to the Study Skills information in **Section 3.2** for more information on these services. Additionally **The Burlington Society** offers a social and support network for mature and postgraduate students.

☎ 0161 274 3100

Email: [burlington.manchester.ac.uk](mailto:burlington.manchester.ac.uk)

🔗 [www.burlington.manchester.ac.uk](http://www.burlington.manchester.ac.uk)

### **Student Parents**

The University provides an online resource with guidance and information for student parents in the areas of child care, schools, funding, accommodation, support groups, and University policies and procedures that may directly affect you.

🔗 <http://www.staffnet.manchester.ac.uk/supporting-students/student-parents/>

## **3.4 Health & Safety (Including Risk Assessments)**

University Policy on Health and Safety can be accessed via My Manchester (see Section 2.3).

### **Online Health and Safety Course**

All new students are required to complete a **compulsory** eLearning module in health and safety at the University. You will be automatically enrolled on the course and it will appear in your class list in Blackboard. You will be expected to complete this in the first few weeks of the new academic year.

The purpose of the eLearning course is to:

- provide you with appropriate information on the health & safety policies and procedures in place;
- encourage good practice and set a high standard of health and safety at all times;
- ensure you are aware of and understand health & safety procedures and information;
- enable you to take care of your health and safety and that of others who may be affected by your actions.

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification**

You will be required to complete an online assessment at the end of the course.

***Working in Arthur Lewis, Ellen Wilkinson and Humanities Bridgeford Street Buildings***

The following information is provided for the safety and security of anyone working in or visiting the above buildings.

These buildings are open from 7.30am until 6.00pm. Access around the Arthur Lewis Building is via swipe card only. Telephones are located at the ground floor reception desk and on each link bridge to phone the person you are visiting to gain access. Please note that the member of staff you are visiting is responsible for your well-being whilst you are in the School and we ask that you follow their instructions in the event of an incident or accident.

***Accidents and First Aid***

There are several first aiders located in both buildings and their names and extension numbers are on the First Aid notices which are located throughout the buildings. In the event of an emergency, if you can't immediately locate a notice, call Security on 69966 (internal telephone) or 0161 306 9966 (external telephone).

First aid kits are located in all oasis areas and at the ground floor at reception in the Arthur Lewis Building, and in the administration offices and staff room in Humanities Bridgeford Street Building.

If you need to call for an ambulance, dial 9-999 (internal telephone) or 999 (external telephone) then contact Security on 69966 (internal telephone) or 0161 306 9966 (external telephone), who will direct the paramedics to the building. It is very important that you follow this final step of calling Security – the University of Manchester occupies a large campus and ambulances won't necessarily know where to go to find the correct building. Vital time can be lost if Security are not aware of the call.

***Children***

Children are only permitted on the premises in exceptional circumstances.

***Fire / Evacuation***

If it is necessary to evacuate the building, an alarm will sound continuously. Please leave the building by the nearest exit and make your way to the nearest Fire Assembly Point.

As a student you must familiarise yourself with the procedures for dealing with an emergency, including discovery of fire, and with the fire exit points in your Building and elsewhere in the University where you might find yourself working. Do use all the emergency exits in each part of the Building when they are nearest for you, and do not use the lift in the Building when there is an emergency. Fire Marshals in yellow vests will be on hand directing staff, students and visitors to Fire Assembly Points.

If you are registered with the Disability Advisory and Support Service (DASS) and have an impairment which affects your ability to exit a building in an emergency situation, the DASS will be in contact with you to arrange emergency evacuation (egress) procedures.

Students who have mobility difficulties should wait in a refuge area. These are located on the 1st, 2nd, 3rd and 4th floor stairwells on the North and South side of the Arthur Lewis Building, along with an Evacuation Chair on the 4th floor. Refuge areas in the Humanities Bridgeford Street Building are located on the stair landings outside rooms 1.70, 1.72 and 1.75 and there are a further three refuge points on the second floor on the stair landings outside rooms 2.13, 2.14 and 2.85.

Testing of the fire alarms is carried out on Monday at 1.30pm in the Arthur Lewis Building, Wednesday at 11.30am in Ellen Wilkinson Building and on Friday at 11.00am in Humanities Bridgeford Street Building.

If you discover a fire, you should:

- sound the alarm by breaking the glass at the nearest call point,
- call the Fire Brigade by dialling 9-999 from any internal telephone,
- evacuate the building by the nearest available exit,
- use the refuge areas if you are unable to use the stairs,
- close all doors behind you and report to the Assembly Point,
- do not collect personal belongings,
- do not use the lifts,

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification**

- do not run,
- do not re-enter the building until authorised to do so.

It is your own responsibility to familiarise yourself with the fire exits and evacuation points on all our sites.

**Security**

You are advised not to leave your belongings unattended. You do so at your own risk. Coin-operated lockers are available on the ground floor and 1st floor of the Arthur Lewis Building. In case of an emergency, call Security, who can be contacted by telephoning 69966 (internal telephone) or 0161 306 9966 (external telephone).

**Food**

Please always dispose of left-over food and wrappings or containers in bins. Food left on desks or in common areas overnight can lead to problems with vermin.

**Toilets**

In the Arthur Lewis Building there are male, female and disabled toilets on each floor adjacent to the lift area and these are clearly labelled. In Humanities Bridgeford Street Building there are male, female and disabled toilets on the ground and first floor and lower ground floor. In Ellen Wilkinson Building there are male and female toilets on alternate floors adjacent to the lift area and the disabled toilets are situated on the first floor A Block and ground floor B Block.

**Risk Assessments**

The School of Environment, Education and Development has prepared a set of generic Risk Assessments which cover most activities undertaken by students within the School:

- SEED Generic A: Off Campus Work in the United Kingdom
- SEED Generic B: Off Campus Work Overseas
- SEED Generic C: On Campus Work

These Risk Assessments are available to view on the School's intranet:

(<https://www.seed.manchester.ac.uk/student-intranet/support/health-and-safety/>)

All students undertaking fieldwork or independent study, for example for the purpose of their dissertation, should complete the relevant Risk Assessment in joint discussion with their supervisor and staff. Students must read and understand these Risk Assessments and consider carefully whether their work is covered. **The generic risk assessments are NOT sufficient in the following circumstances:**

- Visiting countries to which the United Kingdom Foreign and Commonwealth Office (FCO) has recommended against travel
- Visiting countries outside the European Union<sup>1</sup>
- Visiting recognised hazardous areas, for example factories, quarries, mountains & cliffs, glaciers, caves & mines, high crime neighbourhoods, regions of known political instability and warfare, regions identified by the FCO as carrying a high risk of terrorism and regions affected by disease prevalence or outbreak as identified by the World Health Organisation (WHO), including malaria, dengue fever, avian 'flu, swine 'flu etc.
- Visiting hostile environments, e.g. large rivers, lakes, the sea or areas at high risk from natural disasters such as earthquake, hurricane or typhoon
- Visiting areas which are more than 12 hours from medical facilities
- Working with chemical, biological or allergenic hazards
- Research with children, animals, illegal substances or illegal activities or where there are ethical considerations

---

<sup>1</sup> Students should not assume that simply because the fieldwork is taking place within the European Union, a full risk assessment is not required. All foreseeable hazards should be carefully considered to ensure the generic risk assessment is appropriate.

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification**

**If the student's work is not covered by a generic Risk Assessment, they must complete a full Risk Assessment.**

The following steps should be taken when preparing a full risk assessment:

1. Identify all reasonably foreseeable hazards, even those where you think the risk is low. Make sure all areas and activities are included and record findings on the University's [risk assessment form](#),
2. For each hazard firstly consider whether it can be removed completely or replaced by a less hazardous alternative,
3. If not, write down any existing controls which you know are in place already.
4. Assess the risk with the existing controls in place. i.e. how **likely** it is that harm will occur and how **severe** the outcome will be,
5. You should then be able to answer the question – Are the existing controls adequate to prevent the harm occurring or is it reduced to an acceptable level, bearing in mind the risk, the legal obligations and standards of good practice?
6. Sign and date the risk assessment. If additional work is required, write an action plan and specify who is responsible for carrying out the actions listed and a timescale for their completion.

When completing a full risk assessment, the following check-list should be considered:

- Travel from home location to fieldwork location,
- Travelling in fieldwork destination country (self-driving, public transport, domestic flights etc.),
- Terrorism threat level,
- Personal security risk & threat of crime,
- Defect or failure of equipment,
- Safety and quality of accommodation,
- Weather conditions (for example, adverse conditions, extremes of temperature etc.),
- Environment (for example, rough terrain, altitude, sea or water courses etc.),
- Urban environment (for example, traffic conditions, crime levels etc.),
- Distance from medical facilities,
- Lone working,
- Hazardous activities (for example, diving, snorkelling, swimming, caving, climbing etc.),
- Communication difficulties (for example mobile 'phone reception, language barriers etc.),
- Hygiene levels,
- Emergency communication (for example, contact with University, home Embassy or Consulate etc.),
- Prevalent disease or health concerns (for example, malaria, dengue fever, HIV, influenza, rabies etc.),
- Vaccinations and / or prophylactic medication (for example, anti-malarials),
- Pre-trip medical and dental screening,
- Cultural sensitivities (for example dress, speech, sexual conduct).

This list is NOT exhaustive but should be considered as a minimum. It is unreasonable to expect one person to identify all the hazards associated with a particular activity or area. The joint involvement of supervisors and staff is crucial as often each individual may be aware of different aspects of the task and its associated hazards.

Guidance on known risks associated with specific destinations can be sourced from the UK Foreign and Commonwealth Office (FCO) website at <https://www.gov.uk/foreign-travel-advice> and the website of the World Health Organization (WHO) at <http://www.who.int/en/>.

You should also review the University's risk assessment guidance at:

<http://documents.manchester.ac.uk/display.aspx?DocID=10128> and the University's guidance on Health & Safety in Fieldwork at <http://documents.manchester.ac.uk/display.aspx?DocID=15496>

### **3.5 Student Representation**

Student Representatives are students who are appointed as representatives by their peers for their particular Programme, School or Faculty to champion your interests, promote your views and act as a mouthpiece between you and decision makers in the University. Teach First participants are encouraged to stand for election as subject representatives to provide feedback and shape the programme.

The School is committed to receiving and responding to student feedback in order to bring about improvement in the quality of the student experience and the development of teaching and learning across all its programmes. The system of student representation in each department and within the School of Environment, Education and Development as a whole is designed to give students every opportunity to establish and maintain dialogue, both formally and informally, between the student body and staff in order to aid development of programmes of study, the student experience and the quality of the institution as a whole.

Student representation covers a diverse range of activities, which varies depending on the population of students being represented. Representatives can use surveys and student focus groups to collect data and feedback from students in order to inform decisions and make positive change.

Representatives are invited to take part in many different groups and committees where key decisions are made, such as School Support Forum, School Boards, Department Teaching and Learning Committees, Programme Committees etc.

#### ***Committees***

##### *Programmes Committee*

Each programme in the School will belong to a Programme Committee through which individual or groups of relevant programmes are managed.

##### *Department Teaching & Learning Committee*

The School of Environment, Education and Development has a Teaching and Learning Committee within each Department at which decisions regarding programmes are taken and fed into the School Teaching and Learning Committee.

##### *The School Student Support Forum*

The School of Environment, Education and Development has a Student Forum which gives student representatives the opportunity to report back to members of School staff on their experience of the provision of teaching and learning, along with issues concerning University facilities such as Library, Estates, IT, eLearning.

##### *The School Board*

The School Board sits four times a year, and is the decision-making body of the School. It is constituted by all members of academic staff and representatives from the research, professional support services and student groups within each of the School's five departments.

##### *The School Health and Safety Committee*

The School Health and Safety Committee meets once or twice a year and is made up of representatives from the academic and administrative staff and student body from the School of Environment, Education and Development.

##### *Faculty Staff/Student Committee*

Student representatives will also be called upon to attend one or two Faculty-level meetings per year.

Student representatives may also be sought during the course of the year to sit on smaller working groups where student input is important.

#### ***Election to the Role of Student Representative***

## THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER

### Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification

Student Representatives should be appointed as soon as possible after the start of a new academic year, and no later than 15 October 2018. Training for new student representatives will be provided by the [University Student Union](#). Dates of training sessions will be notified to new student representatives at the start of the academic year once they have been confirmed.

Student representation on Programmes Committees is decided by informal discussions amongst the student body for your Programme.

Further information on the role of the student representative, training available for new student reps, and the remit of the various School committees can be found on the student intranet at

<https://www.seed.manchester.ac.uk/student-intranet/support/student-representatives/>

### **3.6 Student Complaints**

University General Regulation XVIII (Student Complaints Procedure) sets out the procedure for handling complaints by students. A complaint is defined as *'an expression of dissatisfaction which merits a response'* and covers complaints about the provision of programmes or parts of programmes, services or facilities by the University, or the actions or lack of actions by University staff. The Student Complaints Procedure does not cover matters relating to assessment and progression (see **Section 4.10 on Academic Appeals**), nor complaints involving allegations of misconduct or harassment, as these are covered by separate procedures (see **Section 3.8 on Dignity at Work and Study** below).

#### **Informal Stage**

Most complaints can be resolved informally and where practicable a complaint should be dealt with as close as possible to the point at which it arises.

Minor individual problems may be brought to the attention of a Course Unit Convenor or your Academic Adviser, who will work with your Programme Administrator and the School of Environment, Education and Development's Student Support Team to deal with your complaint.

Collective complaints (for example about a course unit or teaching arrangements) should be reported through your student representatives to the Programmes Management Committee or your Programme Director.

If you wish to make an informal complaint, you should outline your concerns in writing in a letter or email, providing all relevant evidence to back up any claims you are making, and send this to the Head of Department, with a copy to the Student Support Team ([studentsupport-seed@manchester.ac.uk](mailto:studentsupport-seed@manchester.ac.uk)). Your complaint will then be investigated and a written response will be sent to you.

Complaints should be made as soon as possible and in any case **within eight weeks of the events or actions (or lack of actions) which have prompted the complaint**. The School will not normally consider complaints made after this period, unless there is good reason for the delay.

#### **Formal Stage**

If you feel that you have explored all avenues within the department and the School and are unsatisfied with the response, formal procedures can be invoked by completing a Complaint Form available from the University website at: <http://documents.manchester.ac.uk/DocuInfo.aspx?DocID=1894>. The completed forms and any enquiries should be sent to the Malpractice, Complaints and Appeals Co-ordinator in the Faculty of Humanities Office (telephone: 0161 306 1119, email: [humsacm@manchester.ac.uk](mailto:humsacm@manchester.ac.uk)).

The Complaints Procedure does not cover the following, for which separate procedures exist:

(a) appeals against decisions of an Examination Board (or equivalent body) where a student is seeking an academic remedy (Regulation XIX, Academic Appeals Procedure: <http://documents.manchester.ac.uk/display.aspx?DocID=1872>);

## THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER

### Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification

(b) complaints involving an allegation of misconduct by a student (Regulation XVII, Conduct and Discipline of Students:

<http://documents.manchester.ac.uk/display.aspx?DocID=6530>);

(c) complaints involving an allegation of harassment, discrimination, victimisation and/or bullying by a student or member of staff (Dignity at Work and Study Policy and Procedure:

<http://www.staffnet.manchester.ac.uk/equality-and-diversity/policies-and-guidance/dignity-at-work-and-study/>);

(d) complaints against the Students' Union (Code of Practice on the Students' Union:

<http://documents.manchester.ac.uk/display.aspx?DocID=12019>), other than through requesting a review of the outcome of any complaint against the Students' Union having exhausted the Students' Union complaints procedure.

(e) If a student wishes to complain about specific accommodation issues, then complaints in this regard can be submitted directly to the Division of Residential and Sports Services as detailed on the following website:

<http://www.accommodation.manchester.ac.uk/hall-life/complaintsprocedure/>

### **3.7 Dignity at Work & Study**

The University of Manchester does not tolerate any form of harassment, discrimination or bullying. If you believe that you are being bullied or harassed, the University has a 'Report and Support' platform which allows students to securely report bullying, harassment, sexual harassment or discrimination and receive confidential advice from a Harassment Support Adviser. Reporting can be done anonymously and the platform can be found here: <https://www.reportandsupport.manchester.ac.uk/>.

For further information on the Dignity at Work and Study policy, see:

<http://documents.manchester.ac.uk/DocuInfo.aspx?DocID=22733>

### **3.8 Registration and Progression**

#### **Registration**

Registration for both full-time and new part-time students is undertaken online. On arrival at the University, you will be provided with a University Student ID card which is also your Library Card and holds information about your programme, length of stay and eligibility to use University facilities.

You will have received detailed information regarding registration prior to your arrival and it is also included in your welcome pack. Further information about registration is also available at

<http://www.welcome.manchester.ac.uk/new-students/get-ready/>

Academic and Financial Registration must be completed by the 30 September 2019. Late registration after 30 September will incur a £200 charge.

Course Unit Selection can be completed up to the end of the second week of teaching. It is important that your Programme Administrator and Programme Director meet with you to advise you **before** you select your course units.

If you have not completed your registration by 30 October 2019 the School will withdraw you from the programme.

#### **Part-Time Re-Registration**

Those students on part-time programmes will be contacted over the summer months with details about how to re-register. You should contact your Programme Administrator in September if you have not received any information about re-registration.

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification**

**Late Registration**

You should arrive in Manchester no later than the Sunday prior to the first day of Welcome Week. **If you cannot arrive in Manchester until after the Monday of Welcome Week, for example due to issues with a visa application, you must contact your Admissions contact at the earliest opportunity.** After this date, you will be considered a late arrival and will be required to provide evidence to support the reason for your lateness. The final deadline dates for late arrival are:

- PGCE Primary and Primary School Direct: **3 September 2018**
- PGCE Secondary and Secondary School Direct: **14 September 2018**
- PGDE Teach First: **3 September 2018**

Your Programme Administrator and Programme Director will then consider whether it is appropriate to approve your late arrival. Unapproved late arrivals will be deferred to the next academic year and you will be withdrawn from the programme. Note that late arrival cannot be used as part of any mitigating circumstances case and students must ensure that they are aware in full of issues discussed during the induction process (especially with regard to plagiarism).

**Withdrawal**

If you are considering leaving (withdrawing from) your Programme, please speak to your Academic Adviser immediately. Your Academic Adviser may be able to present an alternative perspective on your situation and will certainly be able to offer advice on how to proceed.

If, for whatever reason, you have firmly decided to withdraw from the Programme, you should inform your Programme Director, and submit a *Withdrawal Request* form (available from the Student Intranet) to your Programme Administrator. The University will only refund fees from the day the School receives this confirmation of your decision to withdraw.

**Interruption**

It is the expectation of the University that you will complete your programme in one continuous period of uninterrupted study. It is understood, however, that you may encounter personal difficulties or situations which may seriously disrupt your studies. In such instances, you may be granted a temporary interruption to your studies.

It is important to realise that we may not be able to provide an identical teaching, supervision and assessment experience on your return as would otherwise have been available. Programmes of study and regulations change to reflect developments in the subject, requirements of external bodies and the resources available to the University. While we will try to make reasonable provision for you following your interruption you need to realise that permission for an interruption is a privilege and not a right.

During your period of interruption you will not be a registered student of the University and your right to be on University premises will be that of a member of the public. You may not undertake work on University premises as you are not covered by our insurance agreements. You should also note that you will lose onsite IT and student library access; however, you can retain remote email access to your student email account. You do need to ensure, however, that, if necessary, you save work and provide alternative forwarding contact email details to us.

If you fail to return and re-register at the expected date of return following an interruption, we will attempt to contact you but if we receive no response after 30 days following your expected date of return, you will be withdrawn from the programme.

If you decide, after discussing with your Academic Adviser, to take a break (interruption) from your studies for whatever reason, please speak to your Programme Director. Interrupting your studies will need approval and the implications of any interruption (including financial) need to be carefully thought through. If you are an overseas student, an interruption may also affect your visa and so advice should be sought on this from the Student Immigration Team in the Student Services Centre

<http://www.studentsupport.manchester.ac.uk/immigration-and-visas/changes-during-your-studies/>.

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification**

If you are in receipt of a PGT Student Loan, you should also check the implications with the Funding Team. Further information on the financial implications of interrupting can be found here:

<http://www.studentsupport.manchester.ac.uk/finances/tuition-fees/payments/interruptions-and-withdrawals/>

Once you have taken all of this advice you must submit an *Interruption Request Form* (available from the student intranet) to your Programme Administrator.

Once an interruption has been approved, you will be contacted by the School with details of the terms of the interruption and the return date. It is your responsibility to ensure you keep in contact with your Programme Director and Programme Administrator throughout the period of interruption and update them with any details which may affect your return.

See also **Section 4.7 Mitigating Circumstances**.

### **3.9 Graduation**

#### ***Graduation***

Should all deadline dates with regard to dissertation submission be met, and should all assessment requirements be fulfilled, students will graduate in the December/July following the completion of their programme. Should you not be able to attend the Graduation Ceremony, the University will arrange for your certificate to be sent to you as proof of attainment.

Graduation is organised via the Student Services Centre (SSC), and not through your Programme Administrator. You will be contacted directly by the SSC with information regarding Graduation. Further information is available from the SSC Graduation website at <http://www.graduation.manchester.ac.uk/>

#### ***Debts***

Students will not be able to graduate should they owe the University money in the form of tuition fees, accommodation costs or other costs such as library fines. Proof that the debt has been paid will be needed before their result can be released.

### **3.10 The University of Manchester Alumni Association**

At Manchester we are proud to have the largest global alumni community of any campus-based university in the UK, with many of the 300,000 graduates we are in contact with holding top positions in every imaginable field. The University of Manchester's Division of Development and Alumni Relations is here to help alumni maintain a lifelong connection with us and with each other, sharing experiences and expertise and enjoying alumni-exclusive offers, events, networking and volunteering opportunities.

Many of our alumni help to influence and inspire the next generation of Manchester graduates by volunteering their time and expertise. Our alumni volunteers mentor and network students, take part in careers Q&As, provide internships and placements, and act as hosts for our Global Graduates programme – all to give you the high-quality experience and transferable skills that are vital in ensuring a return on investment into a degree.

Some alumni also support the University financially, enabling researchers and graduates to contribute towards a more progressive, responsible world, and help us offer Access Scholarships to high-achieving undergraduate students who come to us from backgrounds that under-represented in higher education.

Many of our graduates hold positions of seniority in business, academia, politics, industry and the media, including:

Lord Terence Burns, *Chairman, Santander UK*

Professor Brian Cox OBE, *Physicist and Science Communicator*

Jane Cocking, *Humanitarian Director, Oxfam*

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification**

Jesse Armstrong and Sam Bain, *Writers of television comedies – The Peep Show and Fresh Meat*

Benedict Cumberbatch CBE, *Actor*

Chuka Umunna MP, *Former Shadow Secretary of State for Business, Innovation and Skills*

Sir Peter Maxwell Davies, *Composer and Conductor*

Lord Norman Foster, *Architect and Designer*

Professor Dame Sally Davies, *UK Government's Chief Medical Officer for England*

Sophie Raworth, *Presenter BBC News*

Teo Chee Hean, *Deputy Prime Minister of Singapore*

Parineeti Chopra, *Actor*

Toby Jones, *Actor*

Professor Danielle George, *Radio Frequency Engineer and Presenter of the 2014 Royal Institution Christmas Lectures*

Tom Bloxham MBE, *Founder of Urban Splash and former Chancellor of the University*

Frances O'Grady, *First female General Secretary of the TUC*

You automatically become a member of our alumni community on graduation, but to get the full benefit you should register at [your.manchester.ac.uk](http://your.manchester.ac.uk) during your final year. You can also follow us on Twitter at [@alumniUoM](https://twitter.com/alumniUoM), like us on Facebook at [www.facebook.com/alumniuom](https://www.facebook.com/alumniuom) and join our LinkedIn group – just search 'The University of Manchester Alumni Association'.

## Section 4: Assessment, Examination and Feedback

### 4.1 Types of Assessment

Across the course units you will undertake you will encounter various types of assessment such as written course work, oral presentations, group projects, reports, or examinations. You should be given the full details of how the course unit will be assessed at the start of the semester by the Course Unit Convenor.

### 4.2 Feedback

#### *Feedback on academic work*

During the course of your programme, you will receive feedback on your progress. Feedback can take many forms: it may be diagnostic to inform the lecturer or seminar leader of your level of knowledge when beginning a course unit, or it may be formative, given during a course unit to enable you to improve your performance in further assessments, for example the way you structure or reference an essay. This is the type of feedback that you will probably come across the most often. Summative feedback would occur at the end of a course unit to inform you of your performance over the whole unit (see **Section 4.4/4.5 below**). You may come across all or some of these examples.

Feedback does not just come from your tutor or lecturer in a formal way, for example when you receive written comments on your work. Feedback can also be informal, during a class and can come from your peers as well as from a member of staff or can take place during self-assessment exercises online. It could also occur when a member of staff responds to your questions by email.

#### *Feedback from you about your course unit*

At the end of each course unit you are asked to complete an online questionnaire which asks you to rate the teaching, resources etc. It is vital you complete this to ensure we can continue to improve our course units. Once we receive the results of these Course Unit Surveys, each academic member of staff is asked to respond and take action on the feedback.

Changes to course units have been made following student comments so it is important to make your views known by completing the surveys.

If there are ongoing issues with course units whilst they are being taught then speak first to your Academic Adviser for advice or ask your Student Representative to raise the issues at your Programme Committee.

### 4.3 University Ordinances and Regulations for Postgraduate Taught Degrees

The University Ordinances and Regulations for Postgraduate Taught Degrees can be found in this handbook in **Appendix 2**. These rules govern the award of your degree and it is your responsibility to ensure that you are **fully aware of the regulations**. If you are unclear regarding any aspect of the regulations then seek advice from your Programme Administrator, Programme Director or the Student Support Team.

### 4.4 Coursework

#### *Submission dates for assessed coursework*

Each Course Unit Convenor will set specific times and date/s for the submission of assessed coursework. You should be informed of assessment requirements and deadlines at the start of the semester.

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification**

***How to submit your assessed Coursework - Turnitin***

Submissions are made using Turnitin through Blackboard and should be made by the published submission date and time. Turnitin is an integrated assessment tool within Blackboard which facilitates the electronic submission of assignments. Submissions must be uploaded by the published deadline. Guidance on how to complete the uploading of your submission will be made available by your Programme Administrator.

Students should not leave online submission until the very last minute before a deadline in case the system is running slowly or there are technical issues. Students should aim to submit work by an hour before the deadline, and no later than 30 minutes before, to ensure adequate time for upload. An assessment will be recorded as late by the system even if is only by a minute, and a late penalty will be applied.

**It is also important to note that only the first submission of a piece of work will be accepted**, and you cannot later claim that the wrong piece of work or wrong version of a piece of work was submitted or that you submitted a draft by mistake. No substitution of a file can be made after the deadline has passed.

Although every attempt is made to work within these guidelines, changes may need to be implemented across the year. The School has a commitment to inform students about these changes well in advance of the submission date.

***How to submit your assessed Coursework – Hard copy***

For submissions that cannot be made using Turnitin (eg posters) then these should be submitted to the location identified as a hand-in point by the specified deadline. Guidance for submitting hard copy work, should it be necessary, will be made available by your Programme Administrator.

If a classmate is submitting work on your behalf, please note that **it is your responsibility to ensure that the correct work is submitted**.

***Presentation of Assessed Work***

Each piece of assessed coursework should be word-processed and submitted with a word count on the front page. Text should be at least font size 12, with line spacing of at least 1.5 and double sided in most cases. As we operate an anonymous marking system, you should not put your name on the assessed work, and instead ensure that your student registration number is presented as a header/footer throughout the piece of assessed work.

***University Proofreading Statement***

If a student chooses to approach another person to proofread their written work or seeks to use the services of a proofreading service or agency, they must take account of the following principles:

- it is the responsibility of students to ensure that all work submitted is their own, and that it represents their own abilities and understanding. Any proofreading of work that is undertaken by a third party must not compromise the student's own authorship of the work;
- proofreading undertaken by a third party must not take the form of editing of text, such as the adding or rewriting of phrases or passages within a piece of student's work;
- proofreading undertaken by a third party must not change the content or meaning of the work in any way.

***Word Count Policy***

Each Course Unit Convenor will set a specific word count for the piece of assessed work to which you are expected to strictly adhere. Markers can take into account minor transgressions of up to 10%, but any piece of work which is significantly over the specified length will not be marked and may have to be resubmitted as a Resit.

Students **must** include a word count on the front page of every piece of work. The word count includes: chapter footnotes and endnotes, quotations and tables. It should not include: the bibliography or appendices. Failure to indicate the word count, or the provision of a false word count, may lead to disciplinary action.

Please see the School's full policy on Word Count in **Appendix 4**.

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification**

***Penalties for Late Submission***

**Please note that in accordance with University policy, any student who submits a piece of assessed coursework after the submission deadline will receive a penalty, unless they are subsequently able to prove Mitigating Circumstances (See Section 4.7).**

The penalty for late submission at postgraduate level is a loss of 10 marks per day, for which the following principles will apply:

- A loss of 10 marks per day (sliding scale) for up to 5 days after which a mark of zero will be awarded;
- A 'day' is 24 hours, i.e. the clock starts ticking as soon as the submission deadline has passed; (Note that a penalty of 10 marks would apply, regardless of whether a piece of work is 1 minute or 23 hours late).
- The use of online submission via Turnitin allows us to see when a submission is made after the deadline;
- Where paper copies of assessment work are submitted, students will receive a receipt which indicates the date and time of submission;

Late penalties will be applied in summer 2019 during the exam board period when grades are confirmed, and once all mitigating circumstances cases have been considered. Students should therefore note that when grades and feedback are initially released, they will not include any late penalties.

Full regulations with regard to your assessment can be found in **Appendix 2**. It is your responsibility to ensure that you are fully aware of these regulations. If you are unclear regarding any aspect of the regulations then seek advice from your Programme Administrator, Programme Director or the Student Support Team.

***Marking***

The assessed work for each course unit is subject to first marking by an Internal Examiner and moderation by an Internal Moderator. Course unit assessments are then submitted to the External Examiners for moderation. All marks are provisional until the June Exam Board as scripts may be re-graded or scaled upon recommendation by the External Examiners at the Board of Examiners' Meeting.

**Please note that there is no provision for assessed work to be re-marked on the request of an individual student.**

The criteria used in marking can be found in **Appendix 1**.

Feedback is returned to each student, on the understanding that all marks are provisional until after the Board of Examiners' meeting in June. Any students who have failed an assessed piece of work should consult the Course Unit Convener concerned for further feedback. Assessments, exam scripts and feedback are retained by Postgraduate Programme Administrators as all examined work must be available to the Board of Examiners. Marked exam scripts, however, are available for use in discussions between Course Unit Convenors, Academic Advisers and students. Assessed work is usually marked online using Grademark and once results have been made available, students will be able to access their scripts along with the marker's comments and feedback online via Blackboard.

Students may expect the return of marked coursework within 15 working days of the date on which it was submitted. In exceptional cases where it is not possible to return work within this timescale, Course Unit Convenors will notify students concerned of the expected return date. The University Feedback Policy can be found at: <http://documents.manchester.ac.uk/DocuInfo.aspx?DocID=6518>.

## **4.5 Examinations**

***Timing***

Should your course unit be assessed by a set examination this will be undertaken at the end of the semester in which the course unit is taught. Examinations are held in January for first semester course units, and in May/June for second semester course units. Resit exams take place during the last two weeks of August. Examination timetables are produced centrally by the University Examinations Team in the Student Services Centre. **Students**

## THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER

### Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification

are expected to be in Manchester for the entirety of the University exam periods, including the August resits period, if required to take exams.

#### ***Exams Timetable***

You will be informed of the dates of examinations via your University e-mail address (see **Section 2.4**) and you will be able to access an individual exams timetable via My Manchester (<http://my.manchester.ac.uk>) and by clicking on 'My Exams' once the timetable has been published by the University. The timetables will be published in advance of the actual exams on a date to be confirmed by the University Examinations Team.

The examinations timetable is produced using dedicated software for which the overarching factor is the production of a timetable with no, or as few as possible student clashes. Whilst attempts are made to ensure that you have a spread of examination dates throughout the examination period, in many cases this is not possible given the institutional constraints on the numbers of examination venues that are available, the number of examinations which are scheduled to take place and the options available to students on any particular programme of study. You should expect therefore to have examinations on two or more consecutive days, and potentially, have more than one examination within a single day.

#### ***Fees for Exam Resits***

It should be noted that a fee of £75 is charged by the University for any resit examinations which you are required to take (this is a one-of fee of £75 regardless of the number of resit examinations to be taken). The fee is payable directly to the Student Services Centre, and can be made via the online store:

<https://estore.manchester.ac.uk/product-catalogue/student-services-centre/exam-resit-fees>

#### ***Resits abroad***

The University does not permit students to take resit examinations abroad and any students undertaking a resit examination during the August resit period are expected to return to Manchester to sit the examination under invigilated conditions.

### **4.6 Mitigating Circumstances**

It is essential that if your work is being affected by personal or medical circumstances you seek advice from your Academic Adviser, your Programme Administrator or the School's Student Support Team ([studentsupport-  
seed@manchester.ac.uk](mailto:studentsupport-seed@manchester.ac.uk)). They will be able to talk you through the process of 'Mitigating Circumstances'. The University defines Mitigating Circumstances as 'unforeseeable or unpreventable circumstances that could have, or did have, a significant adverse effect on the academic performance of a student'. If you think that your performance or academic progress is likely to be affected by your circumstances or that you may not be able to hand in an assignment by the deadline, you may submit an online Mitigating Circumstances form, with relevant supporting documentation, for consideration by the Mitigating Circumstances Panel and Board of Examiners. Your Programme Administrator will also be able to advise on the deadlines for the submission of forms and documentation, and the type of documentary evidence required.

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification**

**Forms**

The online form is available on the student intranet at:

<https://www.seed.manchester.ac.uk/student-intranet/postgraduate/postgraduate-taught/mitigating-circumstances/>

**Please note that it is the sole responsibility of the student to submit a request for consideration of mitigating circumstances by the published deadlines. Students should not wait until their results are issued to apply for mitigating circumstances.**

**Evidence**

The nature of the supporting documentation required will vary according to the nature of the circumstances, but it must be sufficiently independent and robust to confirm the veracity of the case you are making. If supporting evidence is not in English, a translation should be supplied.

**Late Submission**

Students who are submitting assessment work late on the grounds of mitigating circumstances should submit the work **as soon as possible after the submission deadline**. Students should **NOT** wait for their case to be considered by the Mitigating Circumstances Panel, or until after the decision concerning approval of mitigating circumstances has been communicated before submitting work. The Mitigating Circumstances Panel will look at the amount of time a student has taken to submit after the deadline and will make a judgement on whether this is justified by the severity of the circumstances detailed in the supporting documentation.

**Timescale for Decisions**

Decisions on mitigating circumstances cases will be communicated to students in writing following each Mitigating Circumstances Panel meeting.

**Possible Outcomes**

In accordance with the Mitigating Circumstances Policy, mitigation will not result in the changing of any marks, except for cases where a penalty for late submission is waived. Instead, mitigation may result in a further attempt at assessment being made available, a reassessment attempt being made available as a first sit rather than resit, a mark being disregarded, or a student may be given a mark for a whole course unit based on their performance in the part of the assessment which was not adversely affected. The course unit may also be flagged for further consideration by the Exam Board in case the overall degree result is borderline between two classifications.

**Grounds for Mitigation**

Please see below for examples of possible mitigating circumstances as well as circumstances which will not be considered as grounds for mitigation.

*Examples of possible mitigating circumstances:*

- Significant illness or injury; or worsening of an ongoing illness or disability, including mental health conditions; (please see the following DASS webpage for examples of disabilities: <http://www.dass.manchester.ac.uk/who-do-we-support/current-students/> )
- The death or critical/significant illness of a close family member/dependant
- Significant family or personal crises or major financial problems leading to acute stress
- Absence from the University for public service, eg jury service

*Circumstances which will **NOT** normally be regarded as grounds for mitigation:*

- Holidays, moving house, and events which were planned or could reasonably have been expected
- Assessments which are scheduled closely together
- Misreading the timetable or misunderstanding the requirements for assessment
- Inadequate planning and time management
- Failure, loss or theft of a computer or printer that prevents submission of work on time: students should back up work regularly and not leave completion and printing so late that they cannot find another computer or printer
- The act of religious observance
- Consequences of paid employment (except in some special cases for part-time students)

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification**

- Exam stress or panic attacks not diagnosed as illness or supported by medical evidence
- Disruption in an examination room during the course of an assessment which has not been recorded by the invigilators (including instances such as fire alarms or other noise disruptions).

Events which may arise during **pregnancy** that may constitute mitigating circumstances will be judged on a case by case basis. It is recommended by the Equality Challenge Unit (ECU), that at a minimum, students are required to take two weeks' compulsory maternity-related absence. This is in line with employment law and is to ensure the health and safety of the mother following childbirth.

A total of two weeks paternity leave may be taken at any time during a partner's pregnancy or within three months following birth. You must request the permission of the School for this absence.

#### ***Mitigating Circumstances and Disability Support***

The mitigating circumstances policy works in conjunction with disability support. Some students who have disability support via the University Disability Advisory and Support Service (DASS) will have a support plan which includes 'one week automatic extensions' and if this is the case, students do not need to apply for Mitigating Circumstances unless more than a week is required. Further guidance for DASS-registered students on 'automatic extensions' can be found on the University website: <http://documents.manchester.ac.uk/display.aspx?DocID=37272>. Students with disability support may also submit a mitigating circumstances application if, for example, there are further complicating factors not relating to their disability.

With regards to requests for mitigation which mention a disability or where a student has disclosed a possible disability, DASS will be consulted on the case and will provide recommendations before the Panel reaches a decision.

#### ***Interruptions***

If you are experiencing circumstances which are likely to affect your studies or prevent you from studying over a longer time period, then you may wish to consider the option of an interruption. **See section 3.9 Interruptions** for further details.

#### ***Mitigating Circumstances and Academic Appeals***

Please note that retrospective applications for mitigating circumstances will not be considered after the last day of the exams period in each semester, or after marks have been published for coursework. Students wishing to apply for mitigating circumstances after the end of the exams period will have to go through the academic appeal route:

( <http://documents.manchester.ac.uk/DocuInfo.aspx?DocID=1872>)

once results have been published, and be able to provide a compelling and credible explanation as to why the application was not made at the appropriate time. See also **Section 4.10** on Academic Appeals.

Not informing the University of mitigating circumstances due to personal feelings, eg shame, embarrassment or pride, or having concerns over the confidential treatment of requests for mitigation, are not considered to be credible and compelling explanations as to why the circumstances could not be made known at the time.

Note that all information submitted to the Mitigating Circumstances Panel will be treated as confidential.

### **4.7 Failure and Reassessment**

Under certain circumstances students may be permitted to resubmit work for course units they have failed. **Permission to resubmit assessed work can only be granted by the Board of Examiners at its meeting in June.** Under no circumstances can work be resubmitted before this meeting.

It is possible for a student to fail 30 credits and still meet the standard required for the Postgraduate Diploma providing that the marks in the failed courses are between 30-39%. Please refer to the Examination Regulations (Section E, paragraphs 14-19) for full details regarding compensation.

## THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER

### Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification

Students are permitted to resit up to 60 credits. Please refer to the Examination Regulations (Section F, paragraphs 20-29) for full details regarding reassessment.

Early exit awards can be viewed in the section '4. The Structure of the Programme(s)' section in the Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification.

Students who wish to clarify any of the above should seek advice from their Programme Administrator or the Student Support Team.

#### **4.8 Plagiarism and other forms of Academic Malpractice**

The University deems plagiarism to be a serious academic offence and if proven it constitutes a breach of Regulation XVII Conduct and Discipline of Students. For Postgraduate students, all identified cases of plagiarism are immediately referred to a disciplinary committee (at School, Faculty or University level) who will decide on a penalty. Students will be notified by means of a letter that their work has been referred and will subsequently be contacted to attend a disciplinary hearing.

The **penalties** for academic malpractice can be severe: previous cases of plagiarism identified in the School have resulted in students being awarded a Postgraduate Diploma rather than a Masters degree, loss of credits towards the degree and also in exclusion from the programme. Multiple instances of plagiarism are likely to result in exclusion from the programme. **It is crucial, therefore, that you understand correct referencing conventions in order to avoid plagiarism. Please refer to Appendix 3 of this handbook for information on the Harvard referencing system.**

Below are some University guidelines which should help you to avoid plagiarism and other forms of academic malpractice.

##### **Introduction**

- A. As a student, you are expected to co-operate in the learning process throughout your programme of study by completing assignments of various kinds that are the product of your own study or research. For most students this does not present a problem, but occasionally, whether unwittingly or otherwise, a student may commit what is known as plagiarism or some other form of academic malpractice when carrying out an assignment. This may come about because students have been used to different conventions in their prior educational experience or through general ignorance of what is expected of them.
- B. This guidance is designed to help you understand what we regard as academic malpractice and hence to help you to avoid committing it. You should read it carefully, because academic malpractice is regarded as a serious offence and students found to have committed it will be penalized. *At the very least* there could be a reduction in marks for the piece of work in question, but it could be worse; you could be awarded zero (with or without loss of credits), fail the whole unit, be demoted to a lower class of degree, or be excluded from the programme.
- C. Academic malpractice includes **plagiarism, collusion, fabrication or falsification** of results and anything else intended by those committing it to achieve credit that they do not properly deserve. In addition to the advice that follows, your School will give you advice on how to avoid academic malpractice in the context of your department. It will also design assessments so as to help you avoid the temptation to commit academic malpractice. Finally, you should take note that work you submit will be screened electronically to check against other material on the web and in other submitted work.

##### **Plagiarism**

- D. **Plagiarism** is presenting the ideas, work or words of other people without proper, clear and unambiguous acknowledgement.

It also includes '**self-plagiarism**' which occurs where, for example, you submit work that you have already presented for assessment (eg for a different course unit, as part of your undergraduate studies or for a degree at another institution), and for which you have already gained credit, on a previous occasion. Please be aware that once your work is stored in the Turnitin database, then the Turnitin software will

## THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER

### Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification

highlight any matches in subsequently submitted material which has the same text (see section on 'TurnitinUK' below).

Obviously, the most blatant example of plagiarism would be to copy another student's work. Hence it is essential to make clear in your assignments the distinction between:

- the ideas and work of other people that you may have quite legitimately exploited and developed, and
- the ideas or material that you have personally contributed.

E. The following are a few important do's and don'ts:

- **Do** get lots of background information on subjects you are writing about to help you form your own view of the subject. The information could be from electronic journals, technical reports, unpublished dissertations, etc. Make a note of the source of every piece of information at the time you record it, even if it is just one sentence.
- **Don't** construct a piece of work by cutting and pasting or copying material written by other people, or by you for any other purpose, into something you are submitting as your own work. Sometimes you may need to quote someone else's exact form of words in order to analyse or criticize them, in which case **the quotation must be enclosed in quotation marks to show that it is a direct quote, and it must have the source properly acknowledged at that point.** Any omissions from a quotation must be indicated by an ellipsis (...) and any additions for clarity must be enclosed in square brackets, e.g. "[These] results suggest... that the hypothesis is correct." It may also be appropriate to reproduce a diagram from someone else's work, but again the source must be explicitly and fully acknowledged there. However, constructing large chunks of documents from a string of quotes, even if they are acknowledged, is another form of plagiarism.
- **Do** attribute all ideas to their original authors. Written 'ideas' are the product that authors produce. You would not appreciate it if other people passed off your ideas as their own, and that is what plagiarism rules are intended to prevent. A good rule of thumb is that each idea or statement that you write should be attributed to a source *unless* it is your personal idea *or* it is common knowledge. (If you are unsure if something is common knowledge, ask other students: if they don't know what you are talking about, then it is not common knowledge!)

F. As you can see, it is most important that you understand what is expected of you when you prepare and produce assignments and that you always observe proper academic conventions for referencing and acknowledgement, whether working by yourself or as part of a team. In practice, there are a number of acceptable styles of referencing depending, for example, on the particular department in which you are studying, so if you are not certain what is appropriate, *ask your Programme Director or the Course Unit Convenor for advice!* This should ensure that you do not lay yourself open to a charge of plagiarism inadvertently, or through ignorance of what is expected. It is also important to remember that you do not absolve yourself from a charge of plagiarism simply by including a reference to a source in a bibliography that you have included with your assignment; you should always be scrupulous about indicating precisely *where* and *to what extent* you have made use of such a source.

G. So far, plagiarism has been described as using the words or work of someone else (without proper attribution), but **it could also include a close paraphrase of their words**, or a minimally adapted version of a computer program, a diagram, a graph, an illustration, etc taken from a variety of sources without proper acknowledgement. These could be lectures, printed material, the Internet or other electronic/AV sources.

H. **Remember:** no matter what pressure you may be under to complete an assignment, you should *never* succumb to the temptation to take a 'short cut' and use someone else's material inappropriately. No amount of mitigating circumstances will get you off the hook, and if you persuade other students to let you copy their work, they risk being disciplined as well (see below).

### Collusion

I. **Collusion** is any agreement to hide someone else's individual input to collaborative work with the intention of securing a mark higher than either you or another student might deserve. Where proved, it will be

## THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER

### Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification

subject to penalties similar to those for plagiarism. Similarly, **it is also collusion to allow someone to copy your work when you know that they intend to submit it as though it were their own and that will lay both you and the other student open to a charge of academic malpractice.**

- J. On the other hand, collaboration is a perfectly legitimate academic activity in which students are required to work in groups as part of their programme of research or in the preparation of projects and similar assignments. If you are asked to carry out such group work and to collaborate in specified activities, it will always be made clear how your individual input to the joint work is to be assessed and graded. Sometimes, for example, all members of a team may receive the same mark for a joint piece of work, whereas on other occasions' team members will receive individual marks that reflect their individual input. If it is not clear on what basis your work is to be assessed, to avoid any risk of unwitting collusion you should always ask for clarification *before* submitting any assignment.

#### ***Fabrication or falsification of results***

- K. For many students, a major part of their studies involves laboratory or other forms of practical work, and they often find themselves undertaking such activity without close academic supervision. If you are in this situation, you are expected to behave in a responsible manner, as in other aspects of your academic life, and to show proper integrity in the reporting of results or other data. Hence you should ensure that you always document clearly and fully any research programme or survey that you undertake, whether working by yourself or as part of a group. Results or data that you or your group submit must be capable of verification, so that those assessing the work can follow the processes by which you obtained them. Under no circumstances should you seek to present results or data that were not properly obtained and documented as part of your practical learning experience. Otherwise, you lay yourself open to the charge of **fabrication or falsification** of results.

#### ***Finally...***

- L. If you commit any form of academic malpractice, teaching staff will not be able to assess your individual abilities objectively or accurately. Any short-term gain you might have hoped to achieve will be cancelled out by the loss of proper feedback you might have received, and in the long run such behaviour is likely to damage your overall intellectual development, to say nothing of your self-esteem. You are the one who loses.

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification**

**TurnitinUK**

The University uses electronic systems for the purposes of detecting plagiarism and other forms of academic malpractice and for marking. Such systems include TurnitinUK, the plagiarism detection service. As part of the assessment process, you will be asked to submit an electronic version of your work to TurnitinUK for plagiarism checking. Please note that when work is submitted to the relevant electronic systems, it may be copied and then stored in a database to allow appropriate checks to be made.

**Students must retain an electronic copy of all assessment work and be able to submit this to the School if requested.**

***Viva Voce for Suspected Cases of Academic Malpractice***

Where a member of academic staff suspects that a piece of work has not been written by the student, and it is strongly suspected that academic malpractice has been committed, a viva may be held with the student to determine the authorship of the work. The purpose of the viva is to give the student the opportunity to discuss the assessment and demonstrate that the work is their own. If the viva is inconclusive in determining authorship, the work may be referred to an academic malpractice panel.

**4.9 Student Academic Appeals**

University General Regulation XIX (Academic Appeals) defines a number of decisions affecting a student's academic progression against which students might wish to appeal. These include expulsion from the University, exclusion from a programme of study, or the result of assessment or award of a particular degree classification.

The purpose of this regulation is to safeguard the interests of students and may only be used when there are adequate grounds for doing so, as outlined below. It may not be used simply because you are dissatisfied with the outcome of your assessment or other decision concerning your academic progress.

There are **specific grounds** on the basis of which an appeal may be made according to Regulation XIX, and these are as follows:

- (a) That there exists or existed circumstances affecting the student's performance of which, for a credible and compelling reason, the Examination Board or equivalent body may not have been made aware when the decision was taken and which might have had a material effect on the decision. **[Note: If students wish to appeal on such grounds, they must give credible and compelling reasons, with supporting documentation, explaining why this information was not made available prior to the decision being made.]**
- (b) That there had been a material administrative error or procedural irregularity in the assessment process or in putting into effect the regulations for the programme of study of such a nature as to cause significant doubt whether the decision might have been different if the error or irregularity had not occurred.
- (c) That there is evidence of prejudice or bias or lack of proper assessment on the part of one or more of the examiners;
- (d) That the supervision or training of the student in respect of research for a dissertation or thesis or equivalent work was unsatisfactory to the point that his or her performance was seriously affected. **[Note: If students wish to appeal on such grounds, but the supervisory concerns arose significantly before the assessment result against which they are appealing, and without it having been raised in writing with the School before the appeal, the student must provide credible and compelling reasons for only raising these concerns at appeal.]**

***An appeal which questions the academic or professional judgement of those charged with the responsibility for assessing a student's academic performance or professional competence will not be permitted.***

The purpose of this regulation is to safeguard the interests of students and may only be used when there are adequate grounds for doing so as outlined in the regulation. It may not be used simply because you are

## THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER

### Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification

dissatisfied with the outcome of your assessment or other decision concerning your academic progress, or simply disagree with a mark.

Appeals based upon provisional decisions of the University cannot be considered.

#### **Informal Stage**

If you feel you have a case for appeal, please discuss this initially with your Academic Adviser or the School of Environment, Education and Development's Student Support Team.

If you wish to submit an informal appeal against your results, you should outline your grounds for appeal in a letter or email, providing relevant evidence to back up any claims you are making, and send this **within 20 working days of publication of the results**, marked for the attention of the Chair of the Board of Examiners in your department, with a copy to the Student Support Team ([studentsupport-seed@manchester.ac.uk](mailto:studentsupport-seed@manchester.ac.uk)).

Your appeal will then be investigated and a written response will be sent to you.

Academic appeals must be initiated by the student and not via a member of staff.

#### **Formal Stage**

If you are not satisfied with the response from the School, then the formal appeals procedure may be invoked by completing an Appeal Form available from the University website at:

<http://documents.manchester.ac.uk/DocuInfo.aspx?DocID=1878>. The completed forms and any queries relating to the formal appeals process should be sent to: the Malpractice, Complaints and Appeals Co-ordinator in the Faculty of Humanities Office (telephone: 0161 306 1119, email: [humsacm@manchester.ac.uk](mailto:humsacm@manchester.ac.uk)). Formal appeals should be submitted **within 20 working days** of notification of the outcome of the informal appeal.

See also **Section 3.7** on student complaints procedures.

### **4.10 External Examiners**

External Examiners are individuals from another institution or organisation who monitor the assessment processes of the University to ensure fairness and academic standards. They ensure that assessment and examination procedures have been fairly and properly implemented and that decisions have been made after appropriate deliberation. They also ensure that standards of awards and levels of student performance are at least comparable with those in equivalent higher education institutions. Some programmes which are professionally accredited may have a practitioner examiner with considerable experience in the field as well as an academic examiner.

External Examiners' reports relating to this programme will be shared with student representatives at the Programmes Committee, where details of any actions carried out by the programme team/School in response to the External Examiners' comments will be discussed. Students should contact their student representatives if they require any further information about External Examiners' reports or the process for considering them.

The External Examiners for the Secondary PGCE programmes are:

Programme	Name of External Examiner	Position of External Examiner	Institution
Teach First Secondary Maths and Science	Lindsay Hetherington	Senior Lecturer in Science Education	University of Exeter
Teach First Secondary English	Alison Feist	Associate Lecturer	Anglia Ruskin University
Teach First Primary	Suja Sivadasan	Senior Lecturer	University of Roehampton
Teach First Secondary Humanities	Paul Sanders	Lecturer of Humanities Education	Birmingham City University

## THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER

### Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification

Please note that it is inappropriate for students to make direct contact with External Examiners under any circumstances, in particular with regards to a student's individual performance in assessments. Other appropriate mechanisms are available for students, including the University's appeals or complaints procedures and the UMSU Advice Centre. In cases where a student *does* contact an External Examiner directly, External Examiners have been requested not to respond to direct queries. Instead, External Examiners should report the matter to their School contact who will then contact the student to remind them of the other methods available.

## Section 5: Research Integrity and Ethics

The School of Environment, Education & Development is committed to upholding the highest level of research integrity.

Research Integrity refers to an ethos of ethical conduct as academics, practitioners and researchers. Each of us individually, or in teams, demonstrates research integrity by taking full responsibility for acting in an ethical manner in the conduct of our research. This includes matters of finance, methodology and respect for truth and persons.

We adhere to the University's [Code of Good Research Conduct](#):

We aim to provide clarity regarding the allocation of responsibilities and lines of accountability, and endeavour to make our decision making processes transparent. Our processes will be monitored and reviewed regularly according to best practice.

### 5.1 What research does it cover?

All research involving human participants or human data or material must have ethical approval. Research using information about human participants that is publicly and lawfully available, or made available by private individuals or organisations e.g. information published in the census, population statistics published by the government, personal letters and diaries etc, held in public libraries, does not require review by an ethics committee. However we are still obliged to act ethically as researchers and acknowledge our obligations in this regard. This includes observation of any confidentiality clauses, copyright, permissions and to avoid plagiarism.

The starting point for all research is to discuss your plans with your supervisor.

#### Please note:

- 1. You cannot begin data collection** (or participant recruitment) until you have been given formal approval.
- 2. You can approach organisations**, to seek permissions to recruit participants or access services in order to prepare to conduct your research (if and when it is approved), before ethical approval is granted.
- 3. You may require a DBS check** to be completed depending upon the subjects involved and the location of your research.

### 5.2 What happens if I have not applied for or obtained ethical approval?

Failure to follow the School of Environment, Education & Development's procedure for ethical approval may leave you in breach of the University's Code of Good Research Conduct. It may leave you and the University open to legal action without the protection of an insurance policy and is likely to result in disciplinary action.

**Section 6: Appendices**

**Appendix 1 – Manchester Institute of Education Marking Criteria**

These notes apply to all ‘taught masters’ (by course-work and dissertation) and postgraduate diploma, postgraduate certificate courses in the Manchester Institute of Education

All written work submitted for examination purposes are marked in accordance with the grades and other criteria set out overleaf.

These guidelines and criteria are issued to assist Internal and External Examiners in the task of awarding grades and to ensure consistency and fairness in the process. These are also issued to students, as examination candidates, in the interests of transparency – so the basis of the grades and the marking is clear to all.

**Assessment criteria** Each course unit of study and each dissertation (by Mode A or Mode B) is examined and assessed separately.

A pass at Masters level is 50% and at Postgraduate Certificate and Diploma level is 40% at first attempt (see below for referred/compensated assignments). Students can be compensated and/or referred in up to half of the taught credits; students may also resubmit the dissertation.

Table A) illustrates the number of credits that can be compensated and referred based on the number of credits taken. Granted AP(E)L will reduce this number accordingly.

Award	Compensatable		Non-compensatable
	Compensated Credits	Referred Credits	Referred Credits
PGCert	15	15	30
PGDip	30	30	60
MA/MEd/MSc	30	30	60

Table B) represents the failing mark and its potential outcome, compensation is subject to your Programme and/or Course Unit.

Award	Compensation* Zone	Referral
PGCert	30-39%	0-29%
PGDip	30-39%	0-29%
MA/MEd/MSc	40-49%	0-39%

**Reassessment arrangements** Students who fail to satisfy 50%/40% and can’t be compensated (see table above) will receive an official referral letter. They will normally have up to one month from the date of the letter and before the end of August of the same academic year. Postgraduate students are allowed one attempt, per course unit, at reassessment.

This reassessment can be by writing another essay or submitting an alternative assignment, which must be agreed by the Exam Board or course unit tutor.

Any referral that is passed will be capped either at 40R/30R\*\* or if the first

## THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER

### Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification

attempt failed within the compensation zone capped at the first attempt mark e.g. 45R/35R. This will be the mark reported on a student's transcript of results. If a student fails there reassessment then the first mark stands and they will not gain the credits for this unit. Consideration of an exit award will be put to the Examination Board in such cases.

\*\*subject to School or Professional, Statutory and Regulatory Bodies.

Table C) represents the failing mark and its referred grade if passed at second attempt.

Award	Failed within Compensation* Zone but referred.	Capped dependant on original grade	Failed	Capped at minimum compensation zone
PGCert	30-39%	<b>30-39R</b>	0-29%	<b>30R</b>
PGDip	30-39%	<b>30-39R</b>	0-29%	<b>30R</b>
MA/MEd/ MSc	40-49%	<b>40-49R</b>	0-39%	<b>40R</b>

#### Presentation

Presentation will be taken into account by the Examiners. Assignments must be typed and available for submission via Blackboard. Dissertations must be type-written or word-processed and presented in line with the Guidance on Presentation of Dissertation document.

#### Plagiarism

All students are advised that plagiarism (the unattributed use of other people's work) leads to automatic failure. All words, concepts, arguments and data borrowed from other sources must be clearly acknowledged and fully referenced, with a complete and accurate bibliography at the end of the text. Further guidance on this is available in the Postgraduate Student Handbook.

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification**

**Criteria and recommended mark scheme for examined course-work and dissertations:**

Mark (Class) descriptor	Mark Range (whole numbers)
Distinction	70 to 100
Merit	60 to 69
Pass	50 to 59
Compensatable fail	40-49
Non-compensatable fail	Less than 39

Equivalent Grade	%
A+	90
A	80
A-	72
B+	68
B	65
B-	62
C+	58
C	55
C-	52
D+	48
D	45
D-	42
E+	30
E	25
E-	22

**Grading Range**

**Excellent (70% or more)**

- Analytical in style and approach, with critical understanding and interpretation
- Highly judicious in use of evidence and sources, with good references
- Comprehensive in scope and coverage; and entirely relevant to the topic addressed
- Exceptionally clear and attainable objectives, and success in meeting them
- Integrates details into a totally coherent whole, with clear and well-argued conclusions
- Entirely appropriate and accurate use of language.

**Good (60-69%)**

- Explanatory in style and approach, with some critical interpretation
- Good range of sources and data, and judicious in use of evidence
- Fairly comprehensive in scope, and largely relevant to the topic and issues
- Clear, attainable and relevant objectives
- Integrates detail into a coherent whole and guides reader to a reasoned conclusion
- Appropriate and accurate language, with very few errors

**Competent (50-59%)**

- Competent grasp of evidence and issues, little superfluous or irrelevant content
- More descriptive or prescriptive than analytical
- Reasonable range and use of source material
- Attempts to create a coherent whole with clear conclusions
- Only rare instances of inappropriate or inaccurate language

**Deficient (40-49%)**

- Limited grasp of facts and issues with some irrelevant content
- Inadequate reading and references, and little originality
- Insufficient in quantity or quality at Master's degree level
- Attainable but somewhat limited objectives
- Links parts together but does not create a coherent whole with clear conclusions
- Weakened in places by inappropriate or inaccurate language

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**

**Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification**

**Inadequate (less than 40%)**

- Poor in quality, with weak grasp of facts and issues and much irrelevance
- Inadequate reading and thinking, with insufficient sources and references
- Inappropriate and/or unattainable objectives, and/or failure to achieve them
- Fails to link parts to each other and to reach a justifiable conclusion
- Weakened throughout by inappropriate or inaccurate language.

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification**

**Appendix 2 - Examination Regulations**

Postgraduate Degree Regulations

**VERSION: 3.5, September 2018 - for all Postgraduate Taught students registered from 2016 onwards**

**CONTENTS:**

**Postgraduate Taught Regulations**

- A. Credit and Award Framework
- B. Title of Taught Awards
- C. Accreditation of Prior and Experiential Learning (AP(E)L) / Rescinding Awards
- D. Assessment and Progression
- E. Compensation
- F. Reassessment
- G. Exit Awards
- H. Classification of Postgraduate Taught programmes
- I. Posthumous and Aegrotat Degrees
- J. Examination Board Arrangements

Appendix A – Postgraduate Degree Classification Scheme

**REGULATIONS**

**A. Credit and Award Framework**

1. All awards of the University of Manchester will be given on the basis of the accumulation of credit as mapped out in table 1 (below). This table is based on the credit/awards and levels required by the national Framework of Higher Education Qualifications (FHEQ):

**Table 1: Credit and Postgraduate Award framework:**

Name of Award	Minimum credit for the award	ECTS	Minimum credits at the level of qualification	ECTS	FHEQ level
Masters (2 Year)	360	180	240	120	7
Masters (1 Year)	180	90	150	75	7
Postgraduate Diploma	120	60	90	45	7
Postgraduate Certificate	60	30	40	20	7
Post Graduate Certificate in Education (PGCE)	60	30	40	20	7

*Note 1: the table refers to the levels as defined in the FHEQ. It may be of assistance to the reader to understand that Level 7 of the FHEQ relates to a Masters programme.*

*Note 2: One ECTS (European Credit Transfer System) is equivalent to two UK credits.*

2. All students who exit prior to completion of the programme on which they registered will receive an exit award if they have achieved the appropriate amount of credit in accordance with that award, as specified in table 1, within 5 years of their initial registration.

## THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER

### Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification

3. A student must achieve the minimum amount of credit at the level of the qualification in accordance with table 1. However, (subject to the programme requirements) students can take credit at a higher or lower level in order to achieve the minimum credit for the award.

#### **B. Title of Taught Awards**

4. Titles of degrees can be found in the University's General Regulations: [Regulation XI Titles of Degrees and other Distinctions](#).

#### **C. Accreditation of Prior Learning - AP(E)L / Rescinding Awards**

5. A maximum time limit of 5 years should apply between award and consideration of AP(E)L.
6. Where the Postgraduate Certificate is a standalone programme, AP(E)L will be permitted up to a maximum of 15 credits. Where the Postgraduate Diploma is a standalone programme, AP(E)L will be permitted for up to a maximum of 45 credits. For a Masters award, the maximum amount of credits which can be permitted for AP(E)L is 60.
7. Students exiting with a Postgraduate Diploma (or Postgraduate Certificate) may be permitted to rescind this award and 'upgrade' to a Masters (or Postgraduate Diploma) by successfully completing the appropriate further component of the programme providing the following conditions are met:
  - The rescinding occurs within five years of the award, subject to the programme still being available.
  - An overall pass, at the appropriate standard to assure admission to a Masters programme, was obtained for the Postgraduate Diploma (or Postgraduate Certificate) including any capped or compensated grades.

For further guidance on rescinding awards, please see the the University's *Principles on Rescinding* (see Appendix to the [Guidance on Examination Boards](#)).

8. Students can receive an exit award if they have AP(E)L credit in their profile, providing their performance at the University of Manchester also satisfies the award requirements in table 1: Credit and Postgraduate Award framework (see section A, page 2) and at least half of the credits have been awarded by the University of Manchester.
9. Schools may stipulate when AP(E)L is not allowed due to Professional Body requirements.

#### **D. Assessment and Credit Accumulation**

10. Where students are required to progress to a research element including a 'dissertation' or similar, the programme handbook must state the minimum requirements for progression to this element of the programme.
11. If an Examination Board has documented evidence that, (a) a student's work, attendance or engagement has been unsatisfactory, and (b) the student has been formally warned of the unsatisfactory work, attendance or engagement but has not shown significant improvement acceptable to the Board, then the Board has the right to refuse assessment. See *Regulation XX – Monitoring Attendance and Wellbeing of Students* and the *Policy on Recording and Monitoring Attendance* (<http://www.staffnet.manchester.ac.uk/tlso/policy-guidance/student-support-development/recording-attendance/>).
12. Where a student has failed on the first attempt or fails to qualify for a final award after compensation, referrals or the consideration of mitigation, the Examination Board has the following option at its discretion:

## THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER

### Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification

- Award Exit Award if criteria are met in accordance with table 1 (see section A, page 2).

13. Schools may have alternative assessment regulations where these are required by Professional, Statutory and Regulatory Bodies (PSRBs).

#### E. Compensation

14. The compensation zone is defined by the Unit Marking Scheme for Postgraduate Taught students, found in the *Guide to the Taught Degree Regulations* (<http://www.staffnet.manchester.ac.uk/tlso/policy-guidance/degree-regulations/supporting-documents/guide-to-degree-regs/>).

15. PGT programmes can be compensated up to 40 credits for PG Diploma/Masters and 20 credits for a PG Certificate. Please note that the total number of credits allowable for referral for a PG Diploma/Masters is 60, of which 40 can be compensated. For a PG Certificate, the total number of credits allowable for referral is 30 credits, of which 20 can be compensated.

16. Compensation can only be applied up to the maximum amount specified in E15. Beyond this maximum threshold, the Examination Board will make a decision on which reassessment can be taken.

17. Schools can specify when a unit is not compensatable or when PSRB rules take precedence.

18. Compensated credit retains the original failed mark and this is used in the weighted average for the calculation of the final classification/ award.

19. Referral marks are compensatable.

#### F. Reassessment

20. Where the overall unit mark is below the compensation zone or the number of compensatable fails has been exceeded, reassessment may be taken, within the credit limitations set out in F24. Reassessment as a result of a fail is known as a 'Referral'. Subsequent attempts as a result of approved and verified mitigating circumstances are known as 'Deferrals'.

21. The reassessment must be designed to assess the achievement of the same intended learning outcomes but need not be of the same form as that originally used. The reassessment will normally take place in the same academic year as the original assessment to enable the students to progress as originally intended.

22. If an Examination Board has documented evidence that, (a) a student's work, attendance or engagement has been unsatisfactory, and (b) the student has been formally warned of the unsatisfactory work, attendance or engagement but has not shown significant improvement acceptable to the Board, then the Board has the right to refuse the student reassessment/referred assessment. See *Regulation XX – Monitoring Attendance and Wellbeing of Students* and the *Policy on Recording and Monitoring Attendance*:

(<http://www.staffnet.manchester.ac.uk/tlso/policy-guidance/student-support-development/recording-attendance/>).

23. An Examination Board may allow a student one attempt, per unit, at reassessment (two attempts in total). This principle does not apply to attempts with approved and verified mitigating circumstances.

24. Postgraduate programmes can be referred in up to half of the taught credits; this includes credits on a PG Certificate or PG Diploma award. Students may also resubmit the dissertation (or equivalent, see F 25) on one occasion, subject to the mark restrictions set out in F26. The number of credits referred and those compensated cannot exceed half the taught credits in total.

## THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER

### Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification

25. When the referred assessment for a postgraduate student includes independent work such as a dissertation or project resubmission, they should be permitted a reasonable amount of time within a maximum of 6 calendar months from the date of the Examination Board. This reassessment of a research/dissertation element does not contribute to the credit limitations set out in F24.
26. Students achieving a mark of less than 30 for their dissertation or project submission are not permitted to resubmit and will be given an exit award in accordance with table 1 (see section A, page 2).
27. Referral pass marks will be capped at the lowest compensatable mark for Postgraduate Taught students, unless the previous mark was within the compensation zone, in which case the original mark will stand. This mark is used in the weighted average mark for the final award. The capped mark is applied to the unit level mark, not the failed element.
28. When a student is referred and fails a unit, the first mark stands.
29. Students may, in exceptional circumstances, at the discretion of the Examination Board, be allowed to repeat whole units or the entire programme, subject to teaching capacity not being exceeded.

#### G. Exit Awards

30. Once a student has exhausted all the opportunities to retrieve referred assessment they will be given an exit award in accordance with table 1 (see section A, page 2) and as defined in the Programme Specification.
31. If a student decides to withdraw, they will automatically be awarded the relevant exit award in accordance with table 1 (section A, page 2) and as defined in the Programme Specification.

#### H. Classification of postgraduate taught programmes

**Note: H35 should be agreed and applied consistently across a School. Approval should be sought at School and Faculty level and carefully articulated to the students within that School. For joint programmes, the 'Lead School's' model will apply.**

32. For the award of pass a student must satisfy the minimum credit requirements specified in Table1 ("Credit and Postgraduate Award framework", found within paragraph 1 of Section A, Credit and Award Framework, of these Postgraduate Taught Regulations – page 2).
33. Classifications for merit and distinction will be calculated on the basis of an average mark, based on the weighted programme as a whole (See Appendix A, Table A1 – page 7).
34. In order to achieve the award of pass, merit or distinction, a student must have passed the requisite minimum credits listed in Table 1 (see section A, page 2) in accordance with the unit marking scheme and mark descriptors.
35. In addition, Schools may decide to add a further requirement to gain the award of Distinction. Students must achieve an average, of 70% or above in both the taught element and the dissertation.
36. Students with credit awarded as a result of a referral or compensated mark will not be eligible for the award of distinction, only a merit or a pass.
37. Decisions with regards to 'borderline' classifications for individual students should be resolved using the mechanisms outlined in appendix A.

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification**

**I. Posthumous and Aegrotat Degrees**

38. A Postgraduate Taught degree may be awarded in the event of the death of a candidate prior to the completion of their degree (posthumous degree). For more information about posthumous degrees and the options open to Examination Boards, please see: <http://www.staffnet.manchester.ac.uk/tlso/policy-guidance/teaching-and-learning/teaching/posthumous-awards/>
39. A Postgraduate Taught degree may be awarded should the candidate be prevented from completing their degree due to the diagnosis of a terminal or debilitating illness (aegrotat degree). The Examination Board may determine from evidence available to it that a candidate for a Postgraduate Taught degree who has been prevented by good cause from completing the final examination or assessment will be awarded a class of degree the Board judges to be suitable, as long as the candidate has gained over half the credits required for the award.

**J. Examination Board Arrangements**

40. There are normally three available assessment opportunities; January, May/ June and Aug/September within each academic year. It is expected that all reassessment will take place in the academic year in which the assessment was first attempted, exceptions can be made for programmes with 'non-standard' admissions cycles.
41. There must be an opportunity at the end of every unit of assessment, for a chaired forum to make decisions regarding student's attainment on completed units.
42. Examination Boards, to agree student minimum requirement for the achievement of an award, will take place at appropriate points in each academic year, overseen by an External Examiner. Exceptions can be made for programmes with 'non-standard' assessment cycles.

**Appendix A Postgraduate Degree Classification Scheme**

This scheme should be used in conjunction with 'Table 1 of the Postgraduate Taught Degree Regulations' (see section A, page 2). This table has been extracted from the Framework for Higher Education Qualifications, and students must meet the credit requirements of Table1, prior to the classification being calculated, using the thresholds and boundaries below:

**Weightings**

Postgraduate degree classification for the award of merit and distinction are based on the weighted average mark across the programme calculated to one decimal place, where marks for individual course units are recorded as whole numbers (see section H).

**Stage 1: Classification Thresholds and Boundaries**

The following boundaries inform classification when the total points falls below a classification threshold.

Table A1 Postgraduate Masters degree classification and boundary zone using total points 0-100 mark range:

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification**

PG Degree classification- Masters based on 180 credits	Classification thresholds: average mark (mark range 0 to 100)	Boundary zone average	Boundary Zone H34 (separating the average for taught and research elements)
Distinction	70.0	68.0 to 69.9	Either taught or research is 70.0 or above, while the other is between 68.0 to 69.9
Merit	60.0	58.0 to 59.9	NA
Pass	59.9 or less providing the credit requirements of Table 1 in the 'Credit and Award Framework' have been met.	NA	NA

**Consideration of postgraduate masters students within the boundary zone by mark distribution**

The following process applies to reach decisions on borderline cases:

After allowances have been made for mitigating circumstances, a student whose total mark at the first assessment is within the boundary zone specified above, must be awarded the higher degree classification as long as both the following criteria are satisfied (see also notes on AP(E)L):

- For the award of distinction, all course units must have been passed at the first attempt without any compensation.
- 120 out of 180 credits are equal to/ or higher than the final award.

Where Schools have decided to implement H35, after allowances have been made for mitigating circumstances, decisions at the boundary of a 'Distinction', should be made as long as all the following criteria are satisfied (see also notes on AP(E)L, section C):

- For consideration of a student in the boundary zone for the award of distinction, the student should have passed either the 'taught' or 'research' element at the level of a 'distinction' and the other element must be in the boundary zone, as defined in table A1 (see page 8, Stage 1: Classification Thresholds and Boundaries).
- All course units must have been passed at the first attempt without any compensation.
- Either the overall average is equal to or above 70.0; or 120 out of 180 credits are equal to or above 70.0.

**Stage 2: Classification Review**

If a student is in the boundary zone, or higher\*, and does not satisfy the additional criteria, Schools may apply a further stage of 'Classification Review', with decisions supported by an External Examiner. The process of 'Classification Review' should not change unit marks and can only influence the classification awarded.

\* Examination Boards may use Classification Review to consider a programme average which is higher than the boundary average, but where the student has not met the requirements for classification outlined in section H of the Regulations.

Further guidance on Classification Review can be found in the [Guide to the Taught Degree Regulations](#).

**Taught Masters Postgraduate Diploma and Postgraduate Certificate degree classification scheme using 0-100 mark range**

Award of Postgraduate Diploma and Postgraduate certificate degree is based upon credit accumulation using a pass mark of 40% (see table 1 for credit requirements – section A, page 2) for which there is no classification other than pass/fail.

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification**

<b>Document control box</b>	
Policy / Procedure title:	Postgraduate Taught Degree Regulations
Date approved:	June 2018
Approving body:	Senate
Implementation date:	September 2018
Version:	3.5, September 2018
Supersedes:	Version 3.4, September 2016
Previous review dates:	08/2006, 06/2007, 06/2009, 06/2010, 05/2012, 02/2013, 04/2014
Next review date:	2022
Related Statutes, Ordinances, General Regulations / Policies	<a href="#">Undergraduate Taught Regulations</a>
Related Procedures and Guidance:	<a href="#">Guide to Taught Degree Regulations and Taught Degree Regulations Glossary of Terms</a>
Policy owner:	Louise Walmsley, Director of Teaching and Learning Support
Lead contact:	Emma Hilton Wood, Head of Academic Policy

**Appendix 3 – Harvard Style Guide for References**

All information regarding the Harvard style can be found at this online guide, provided by The University of Manchester:

<http://subjects.library.manchester.ac.uk/referencing-harvard>

## **Appendix 4 – SEED Word Count Policy**

For every piece of work which you are required to submit for assessment, the Course Convenor will indicate the word limit. This is a **maximum** word count and should not be exceeded. Markers can take into account minor transgressions of up to 10% within the existing marking criteria which means that you can lose marks for not being concise.

### **The word count includes:**

- chapter footnotes and endnotes
- quotations
- tables, etc.

### **It does not include:**

- bibliography
- appendices (which should be for supporting, illustrative material only and may not be used to elaborate or extend the argument)

You **must** include a word count on the front page of every piece of work. Failure to indicate the word count, or the provision of a false word count, may lead to disciplinary action.

### **What are the penalties for exceeding the word count?**

- If you exceed the word count by between 10-50%, your final assignment mark will be capped at 50% (PGT) or 40% (UG).
- Work exceeding the word count by more than 50% will be viewed as not having met the requirements of the assessment. The work will not be marked and a mark of zero will be recorded.

Please note also that you **must** retain an electronic copy of each piece of work which you submit for assessment.

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification**  
**School of Environment, Education and Development**

**Postgraduate Plagiarism Declaration Form**

ITET students should sign this document by hand, and then scan and upload to Blackboard by no later than **Friday, 04 October 2019**. If you have problems uploading this document to Blackboard, please email [teachfirst@manchester.ac.uk](mailto:teachfirst@manchester.ac.uk).

**By signing below you are confirming that you have read and understood the Plagiarism information outlined in Section 4.9 of your Programme Handbook.**

I have read and understood the statement on plagiarism and confirm that any coursework I submit, or examinations I take will be my own work.

**Name:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Student Number:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Programme:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Signature:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Date:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Please complete and upload to Blackboard  
BY NO LATER THAN FRIDAY 04 OCTOBER 2019.**

*To be kept in Student File*

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification**

School of Environment, Education and Development  
Postgraduate Taught Programmes

**Confirmation Of Receipt Of Programme Handbook Form**

ITET students should sign this document by hand, and then scan and upload to Blackboard by no later than **Friday, 04 October 2019**. If you have problems uploading this document to Blackboard, please email [teachfirst@manchester.ac.uk](mailto:teachfirst@manchester.ac.uk).

**By signing below you are confirming that you have received a copy of the programme handbook, containing information on the content, delivery, assessment and management of the programme, the University of Manchester's Examination Regulations, plagiarism guidelines and referencing conventions, as well as information on University and School policies and procedures.**

I have read and noted the information set out in the Programme Handbook.

**Name:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Student Number:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Programme:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Signature:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Date:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Please complete and upload to Blackboard  
BY NO LATER THAN FRIDAY 04 OCTOBER 2019.**

*To be kept in Student File*

## **Section 7: Teach First PGDE Programme Specification & Unit Descriptors**

### **Teach First PGDE Programme Specification**

Preamble: The proposed programme specification builds upon the highly successful and 'Outstanding' Ofsted rated (2015) Teach First PGCE programme that we have run at the University of Manchester for over 10 years. The Teach First Leadership Programme is run with and funded by the Teach First charity whose vision is that no child's educational success is limited by their socio-economic background. Working with six universities across the country their aim is to enable Teach First participants (student teachers and Newly Qualified Teachers) as well as ambassadors to raise the achievement, aspiration, and access to opportunity of children from low socio-economic backgrounds, whilst developing a network of leaders with a life-long commitment to ending inequality in education from both inside and outside the classroom.

In 2014 Teach First began a research programme to consider how to move forward in the next stage of its development and a report 'The Next Move: Delivering a world-class leadership development and teacher training programme' was used to inform the developments outlined in this specification. The major developments include the move to a two-year academic programme migrating from a PGCE to a PGDE and secondly, increasing the support and continuity for participants across the two years in order to enhance retention on such a demanding programme.

The University of Manchester has successfully bid and been provisionally awarded a new Teach First contract which will initially run from 2017 for 3 years.

Briefly the proposed structure will be:

The PGDE will be made up of 6 units (Units 2-5 will be assessed at Postgraduate Level). These are:

- Unit 1a - Summer Institute
- Unit 1b – QTS Standards which will run alongside Summer Institute and Units 2 & 3 during Year 1. This will not be credit bearing
- Unit 2 – Emerging Philosophy of Teaching and Learning
- Unit 3 – Leading Learning in Communities
- Unit 4 - Collaborative Learning and Development

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification**

- Unit 5 - Extending Impact and Influencing Others

A central feature of the PGDE will be the focus upon leadership through the Leadership Development Programme (LDP). The reason for this is that leadership needs a context in which it can be studied and reflected upon. Participants are focusing on elements of educational leadership and these should enhance the understanding and implementation of aspects of teaching and learning.

The Postgraduate Diploma in Education (PGDE) will normally consist of a minimum of 120 level 7 (M level) credits.

All students who exit prior to completion of the programme on which they registered will receive an exit award if they have achieved the appropriate amount of credit in accordance with that award, as specified in table 1, within 5 years of their initial registration.

**Table 1: Credit and Postgraduate Award framework:**

Name of Award	Minimum credit for the award	ECTS	Minimum credits at the level of qualification	ECTS	FHEQ level
Masters (2 Year)	360	180	240	120	7
Masters (1 Year)	180	90	150	75	7
Postgraduate Diploma	120	60	90	45	7
Postgraduate Certificate	60	30	40	20	7

The award of Postgraduate Diploma and Postgraduate certificate degree is based upon credit accumulation using a pass mark of 40% for which there is no classification other than pass/fail.

Students exiting with a Postgraduate Diploma (or Postgraduate Certificate) may be permitted to rescind this award and 'upgrade' to a Masters (or Postgraduate Diploma) by successfully completing the appropriate further component of the programme providing the following conditions are met:

- The rescinding occurs within five years of the award, subject to the programme still being available.
- An overall pass, at the appropriate standard to assure admission to a Master's programme, was obtained for the Postgraduate Diploma (or Postgraduate Certificate) including any capped or compensated grades.

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification**

**1. GENERAL INFORMATION**

<b>Award</b>	<b>Programme Title</b>	<b>Duration</b>	<b>Mode of study</b>
PGDE	Teach First: Leadership in Learning	2 years	Full time first year Part time second year

<b>School</b>	School of Environment, Education and Development
<b>Faculty</b>	Humanities
<b>Awarding Institution</b>	The University of Manchester
<b>Programme Accreditation</b>	
<b>Relevant QAA benchmark(s)</b>	The programme is at Level 7 in the FHEQ  Further information can be found at: <a href="http://www.qaa.ac.uk/AssuringStandardsAndQuality/Qualifications/Pages/default.aspx">http://www.qaa.ac.uk/AssuringStandardsAndQuality/Qualifications/Pages/default.aspx</a>

**2. AIMS OF THE PROGRAMME(S) (must include separate aims for PG Certificate and PG Diploma awards)**


The programme aims to develop Participants who:

<b>01.</b>	Are recommended for Qualified Teacher Status
<b>02.</b>	Are reflective practitioners who show independent learning ability required for continuing professional development
<b>03.</b>	Engage with available resources in service of their development
<b>04.</b>	Have life-long commitment to eradicating educational disadvantage
<b>05.</b>	Work successfully as a network/community to solve this problem together
<b>06.</b>	Demonstrate impact on pupils both personally and through the work of others
<b>07.</b>	Are able to impact upon system improvement either in school or beyond.

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification**

**3. INTENDED LEARNING OUTCOMES OF THE PROGRAMME(S)**

<b>A. Knowledge &amp; Understanding</b>	
Students will/should <i>(please delete as appropriate)</i> be able to:	
To equip leaders with the knowledge and skills to:	
<b>A1.</b>	Demonstrate phase and subject specific knowledge and understanding including self-awareness that supports impact on learners.
<b>A2.</b>	Demonstrate a critical awareness, based on research, of the opportunities for leading learning for pupils and the potential barriers to doing so within their school's community
<b>A3.</b>	Show a systematic understanding – and critical awareness – of current sector and contextual challenges and respond in light of cutting edge professional practice
<b>A4.</b>	Take a research informed approach to their practice demonstrating a comprehensive understanding of techniques applicable to their own research
<b>A5.</b>	Demonstrated a critical understanding and implementation of action research
<b>A6.</b>	Demonstrate an understanding of different theories and models of reflection and be able to evaluate/critique them
<b>A7.</b>	Effectively communicate their systematic reflective rationale, progress and impact of their action research
<b>A8.</b>	Demonstrate an understanding of methodological, ethical and stakeholder requirements



**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification**

<b>B. Intellectual Skills</b>	
Students will/should <i>(please delete as appropriate)</i> be able to:	
<b>B1.</b>	Develop a systematic and critical approach to the subject knowledge required to successfully teach and assess a sequence of lessons
<b>B2.</b>	Explore phase and subject-specific pedagogies and develop a critical awareness of misconceptions in the subject /phase
<b>B3.</b>	Critically analyse current research and its impact on their assessment and planning of sequences of lessons
<b>B4.</b>	Critically explore the ethical and theoretical or methodological complexities of researching communities
<b>B5.</b>	Critically evaluate current research and advanced scholarship in order to select, practise and critique an ‘innovative practice’ in relation to a given subject
<b>B6.</b>	Demonstrate a critical awareness and understanding of complex teaching and learning issues and make sound judgements based on awareness of own beliefs and evidence
<b>B7</b>	Explore socially constructed identities of communities and individual pupils, including in relation to their own experience




<b>Learning &amp; Teaching Processes</b>
Seminars, lectures and workshops (B1-5).
Independent Learning Programmes and Individual Learning Plans (B3, 4,5).
School experience (B3, 4,5). Individual tutorials and support visits (B1-5).



<b>Assessment</b>
.Summer institute (B1) 0 credit (pass/fail)
Assignments (B 1-5)
Evidence of meeting the Teachers’ Standards (B 3,4,5)

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification**

<b>C. Practical Skills</b>	
<b>Students will/should (please delete as appropriate) be able to:</b>	
<b>C1.</b>	Act autonomously in the planning and implementation of classroom and school based professional activities and are recommended for Qualified Teacher Status
<b>C2.</b>	Develop their specialist subject(s)/phase pedagogies developing a critical awareness of misconceptions in the subject /phase so that learners are motivated, enthused and appropriately challenged in well organised and managed learning environments
<b>C3.</b>	Deploy a range of teaching and learning strategies and methods supported by carefully chosen and prepared resources sensitive to the contexts in which they are working
<b>C4.</b>	Demonstrate meeting the Teachers' Standards to be recommended for Qualified Teacher Status (QTS)
<b>C5.</b>	Treat all learners with respect and consideration and have high expectations for their academic progress and behaviour manifested in successful relationships centred on learning
<b>C6</b>	Engage and draw up the support of others to support their impact on learners



**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification**

<b>D. Transferable Skills and Personal Qualities</b>	
<b>Students will/should</b> <i>(please delete as appropriate)</i> <b>be able to:</b>	
<b>D1.</b>	Demonstrate a commitment and understanding of the value and benefit of collaborative practice to addressing educational inequality through critically evaluating research and their experience of it within their academic discipline
<b>D2.</b>	Evaluate their own academic and professional performance
<b>D3.</b>	Manage time and workloads and recognise and manage their personal emotions and stress
<b>D4.</b>	Demonstrate pedagogic skills including those of presentation, instruction, exposition, demonstration, explanation and behaviour management;
<b>D5.</b>	Identify and use various sources of information to inform their teaching practice
<b>D6.</b>	Demonstrate their ability to improve their teaching by evaluating it and learning from the effective practice of others
<b>D7.</b>	Demonstrate their capacity to adapt classroom and professional practice to new and changing contexts
<b>D8.</b>	Develop leadership skills
<b>D9.</b>	Demonstrate analytical and evaluative skills to appraise educational literature and other sources of evidence

↓

<b>Learning &amp; Teaching Processes</b>
Seminars, lectures and workshops (D1-9).
Seminars, lectures, workshops and individual tutorials and learning plans (D1-9).
School experience (D1-9). Individual tutorials and support visits.

→

↑

<b>Assessment</b>
.Summer institute (D1-9) 0 credit. Monitoring your progress (MYP) document (pass/fail)
Assignments (D 1-9) 4 x 30 credit
Evidence of meeting the Teachers' Standards (D1- 9) 0 Credit (pass/fail – recommendation for QTS) Monitoring your progress (MYP) document

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification**

**4. THE STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAMME(S) Programme structure and credits**

<b>In order to gain the Early Exit Award of QTS only:</b>	
Unit 1a Summer Institute Pass	0 credits
Unit 1b QTS Standards School Experience Pass	0 credits
<b>In order to gain the Postgraduate Certificate in Education Studies only (two x 30 credit units from):</b>	
Unit 1a Summer Institute (compulsory)	0 credits
Unit 2 Emerging Philosophy of Teaching and Learning	30 credits
Unit 3 Leading Learning in Communities	30 credits
Unit 4 Collaborative Learning and Development	30 credits
Unit 5 Extending Impact and Influencing Others	30 credits
<b>In order to gain the Postgraduate Certificate in Education only (two x 30 credit units from):</b>	
Unit 1a Summer Institute (compulsory)	0 credits
Unit 1b QTS Standards School Experience (compulsory)	0 credits
Unit 2 Emerging Philosophy of Teaching and Learning	30 credits
Unit 3 Leading Learning in Communities	30 credits
Unit 4 Collaborative Learning and Development	30 credits
Unit 5 Extending Impact and Influencing Others	30 credits
<b>In order to gain the Postgraduate Diploma in Education</b>	
Unit 1a Summer Institute Pass	0 credits
Unit 1b QTS Standards School Experience Pass	0 credits
Unit 2 Emerging Philosophy of Teaching and Learning	30 credits
Unit 3 Leading Learning in Communities	30 credits
Unit 4 Collaborative Learning and Development	30 credits
Unit 5 Extending Impact and Influencing Others	30 credits

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification**

## **5. STUDENT INDUCTION, SUPPORT, DEVELOPMENT AND REPRESENTATION**

Induction to the programme begins with a 5-week intense residential summer institute. Four weeks of the summer school will be in Manchester and will prepare participants for their school experiences as well as the academic demands and requirements. Team building and orientation will also be part of the local summer institute. The final week of summer institute is a national conference for all Teach First participants. This conference also hosts the national impact conference <https://www.teachfirst.org.uk/impact-conference> which is a major networking and professional development conference.

All Participants will be allocated an Academic Advisor (subject/ academic tutor) who will be the main point of contact for the participant. However, participants will also be supported in their school by a school based mentor, a professional mentor and a Teach First Participant Development Lead. High levels of support for participants is a routine feature of the Teach First programme and was found to be exemplary in the last (2015) Ofsted inspection. This is necessary given the significant demands of teaching in demanding school contexts.

Across the first year of the programme participants are employed as an unqualified teacher teaching a reduced timetable of between 60% and 80% of the timetable of a qualified teacher, from the first week of the school year. Those accepted onto the programme commit themselves to working for two years in a school in challenging circumstances.

Schools apply to be part of the Teach First programme. To meet Teach First's aim of addressing educational disadvantage, these schools are all in challenging circumstances (schools with half of their pupils in the bottom third of the 'IDACI index' of social and economic disadvantage) and have the capacity to offer training and support for participants, with additional support if necessary.

Participants who have a disability will be offered support by the Disability Support Office (<http://www.dso.manchester.ac.uk>), who will arrange for their needs to be met appropriately. Occupational Health will also screen applicants and identify support for those participants who may require additional support.

Feedback from participants will be taken regularly both in relation to their academic experiences (e.g. Unit survey, PTES). In addition both Teach First and the programme administrator will undertake regular participant surveys. These include termly Teach First surveys including a 'wellbeing' survey. In addition participant representatives will be identified to feed into the

## THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER

### Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification

student committee as part of the review process. All data is managed by the Teach First data manager and is further analysed and reported in the quarterly reports to Teach First.

Unit 1a: Summer Institute (0 Credit) Summary: A five week (4 weeks regional, one week national) summer institute in preparation for teaching	
Outcomes	Participants will: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Demonstrate phase and subject specific knowledge and understanding including self-awareness that supports impact on learners (A1)</li> <li>2. Engage and draw up the support of others to support their impact on learners (C6)</li> <li>3. Demonstrate a commitment and collaborative approach to addressing educational inequality (D1)</li> </ol>
Assessment	Pass/fail/pass on plan

Unit 1b: Recommendation for QTS (0 Credit) Summary: Through a course programme designed to meet the requirements of the Department for Education Initial Teacher Training Criteria (2016) participants will demonstrate meeting the Department for Education (2012) Teacher Standards in order to be recommended for Qualified Teacher Status (QTS).	
Outcomes	Participants will: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Demonstrate phase and subject specific knowledge and understanding including self-awareness that supports impact on learners (A1)</li> <li>2. Show a systematic understanding – and critical awareness – of current sector and contextual challenges and respond in light of cutting edge professional practice (A3)</li> <li>3. Take a research informed approach to their practice demonstrating a comprehensive understanding of techniques applicable to their own research (A4)</li> <li>4. Develop a systematic and critical approach to the subject knowledge required to successfully teach and assess a sequence of lessons (B2)</li> <li>5. Act autonomously in the planning and implementation of classroom and school based professional activities (C1)</li> <li>6. Develop their specialist subject(s)/phase pedagogies developing a critical awareness of misconceptions in the subject /phase so that learners are motivated, enthused and appropriately challenged in well organised and managed learning environments (C2)</li> <li>7. Deploy a range of teaching and learning strategies and methods supported by carefully chosen and prepared resources sensitive to the contexts in which they are working (C3)</li> <li>8. Demonstrate meeting the Teachers’ Standards to be recommended for Qualified Teacher Status (QTS) (C4)</li> <li>9. Treat all learners with respect and consideration and have high expectations for their academic progress and behaviour manifested in successful relationships, centred on learning (C5)</li> <li>10. Evaluate their own academic and professional performance (D2)</li> <li>11. Manage time and workloads and recognise and manage their personal emotions and stress (D3)</li> <li>12. Demonstrate pedagogic skills including those of presentation, instruction, exposition, demonstration, explanation and behaviour management (D4)</li> <li>13. Identify and use various sources of information to inform educational practice (D5)</li> <li>14. Demonstrate their ability to improve their teaching by evaluating it and learning from the effective practice of others (D6)</li> <li>15. Demonstrate their capacity to adapt classroom and professional practice to new and changing contexts (D7)</li> <li>16. Develop leadership skills (D8)</li> </ol>

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification**

Assessment	Pass/fail – recommendation for QTS (end of year 1) through providing evidence of meeting the Teachers Standards. Participants attend school in a full time capacity (with a reduced teaching load) with the exception of University based days.
------------	--

Unit 2: Emerging Philosophy of Teaching and Learning (30 Credits)	
Outcomes	<p>Participants will:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Demonstrate phase and subject specific knowledge and understanding including self-awareness that supports impact on learners (A1)</li> <li>2. Have developed a systematic and critical approach to the subject knowledge required to successfully teach and assess a sequence of lessons (B1)</li> <li>3. Have explored phase and subject-specific pedagogies and develop a critical awareness of misconceptions in the subject /phase (B2)</li> <li>4. Have critically analysed current research and its impact on their assessment and planning of sequences of lessons (B3)</li> <li>5. Demonstrate a critical awareness and understanding of complex teaching and learning issues and make sound judgements based on awareness of own beliefs and evidence (B6)</li> <li>6. Demonstrate analytical and evaluative skills to appraise educational literature and other sources of evidence (D9)</li> </ol>
Assessment	<p>A critical reflection on their emerging philosophy of teaching and learning</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Typically evidenced by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ An analysis of the theories of learning (this should directly reference the impact of teaching, assessment and subject knowledge)</li> <li>○ An exploration of phase and subject specific pedagogies</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>Word count: 6000</p>
Proposed submission date	January Year 1 (TBC)

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification**

Unit 3: Leading Learning in Communities (30 Credits)	
Outcomes	<p>Participants will:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Have shown a critical awareness, based on research, of the opportunities for leading learning for pupils and the potential barriers to doing so within their school's community (A2)</li> <li>2. Have critically explored the ethical and theoretical or methodological complexities of researching communities (B4)</li> <li>3. Have demonstrated a critical understanding of complex issues, made sound judgements based on evidence and communicated conclusions (B6)</li> <li>4. Have explored socially constructed identities of communities and individual pupils, including in relation to their own experience (B7)</li> <li>5. Demonstrate analytical and evaluative skills to appraise educational literature and other sources of evidence (D9)</li> </ol>
Assessment	<p>Report - drawing on relevant literature, policies and practice, participants will write a report on how disadvantage and opportunity are manifest in their own school's community (incl. implications for their own practice)</p> <p>Word count: 6000</p> <p>Guidance notes for assessment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Should follow standard report format (incl. exec summary and conclusions)</li> <li>• Implications for practice</li> <li>• To include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ analysis and evaluation of research</li> <li>○ proposed approach to research to inform future practice</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Critical analysis of the school's policy and how it impacts on pupil progress</li> <li>• Critical analysis of national policy <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Examples: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ How communities are formed and framed</li> <li>▪ Socio-linguistic research</li> <li>▪ Anthropology of schools</li> <li>▪ School's ethos – approach to community, parents and carers</li> <li>▪ Pupil characteristics</li> <li>▪ Curriculum culture and pedagogy</li> <li>▪ Funds of Knowledge</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Proposed submission date	End of June Year 1 (TBC)

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification**

Unit 4: Collaborative Learning and Development (30 Credits)	
Outcomes	<p>Participants will:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Demonstrate phase and subject specific knowledge and understanding including self-awareness that supports impact on learners (A1)</li> <li>2. Have demonstrated an understanding of different theories and models of reflection and be able to evaluate/critique them (A6)</li> <li>3. Critically evaluate current research and advanced scholarship in order to select, practise and critique an 'innovative practice' in relation to a given subject (B5)</li> <li>4. Develop their specialist subject(s)/phase pedagogies developing a critical awareness of misconceptions in the subject /phase so that learners are motivated, enthused and appropriately challenged in well organised and managed learning environments (C2)</li> <li>5. Have developed an understanding of the value and benefit of collaborative practice through critically evaluating research and their experience of it within their academic discipline (D1)</li> </ol>
Assessment	<p>Individual essay – 'Critiquing learning and development'</p> <p>Word count: 6000 word; 3000 on vision and critique of an innovative practice, 3000 on reflection on collaborative working</p> <p>Guidance notes for assessment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• How groups are assigned tbc</li> <li>• Robust test of their vision for what they want for a whole class by working with others</li> <li>• How the vision manifests itself in classroom practice (teacher actions and pupil outcomes)</li> <li>• Critiquing professional practice– how has working in a group affected/supported their work – articulating how they have influenced others and been influenced by them</li> <li>• Vision; community of practice they have been engaged in; how this may impact their practice in the classroom</li> <li>• Self-determined criteria to judge the benefit of collaboration</li> </ul>
Proposed submission date	End of January Year 2 (TBC)

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification**

Unit 5: Extending Impact and Influencing Others (30 Credits)	
Outcomes	<p>Participants will:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Have demonstrated a critical understanding and implementation of action research (A5)</li> <li>2. Have effectively communicated their systematic reflective rationale, progress and impact of their action research (A7)</li> <li>3. Have demonstrated a critical and analytical understanding of the methodological, ethical and stakeholder requirements (A8)</li> </ol>
Assessment	<p>Report (incl. exec summary) on a piece of action research</p> <p>Word count: 5000 report; 1000 in support of presentation of action research to an appropriate audience</p>
Proposed submission date	End of July Year 2 (TBC)

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification**

**Mechanisms for Programme Revision**

The Teach First Programme is subject to rigorous quality assurance procedures. Quarterly reporting and annual reporting against key performance indicators are contractual. Regular surveys are conducted with participants and other key stakeholders within the Teach First partnership. End of programme evaluations will be used to monitor participant feedback in addition to participant feedback panels.

Ofsted inspections and external examiners will provide further guidance in relation to programme revisions.

- University Degree Regulations:  
<http://documents.manchester.ac.uk/display.aspx?DocID=29208>

<b>Year 1</b>	<b>Academic Programme</b>	<b>Professional Learning</b>
June/July	<b>Unit1a</b> Summer Institute (0 credits)	<b>Unit 1a</b> Summer Institute (0 credits)
Submission January Year 1	Unit 2 – Emerging Philosophy of Teaching and Learning (subject/phase focussed)	<b>Unit 1b – QTS Standards</b> School Experience
Submission end of June Year 1	Unit 3 – Leading Learning in Communities	Recommendation for QTS Progress Board Exit PGCE, PGCert, QTS only
<b>Year 2</b>		
Submission end of January Year 2	Unit 4 - Collaborative Learning and Development (subject/phase focussed)	NQT and Leadership Development Programme
Submission end of July Year 2	Unit 5 - Extending Impact and Influencing Others (subject/phase focussed)	NQT and Leadership Development Programme
<b>Award of Teach First Postgraduate Diploma In Education (PGDE): Leadership In Learning</b>		

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Teach First Postgraduate Diploma (PGDE) Programme Specification**

**6. CURRICULUM MAP OF COURSE UNITS AGAINST INTENDED LEARNING OUTCOMES OF THE PROGRAMME**

Course Unit title	Knowledge & Understanding								Intellectual Skills							Practical Skills						Transferable Skills & Personal Qualities											
	A1	A2	A3	A4	A5	A6	A7	A8	B1	B2	B3	B4	B5	B6	B7	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5	D6	D7	D8	D9			
Summer Institute	X																				X	X											
QTS			X	X						X								X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		
Emerging Philosophy of Teaching and Learning	X								X	X	X			X		X																X	
Leading Learning in Communities		X										X		X	X																		
Collaborative Learning and Development	X					X							X				X					X											
Extending Impact and Influencing Others					X		X	X			X			X													X					X	

**Legend for cells**

**X = intended learning outcomes of the programme are taught or developed by students within this course unit**

**A = intended learning outcomes of the programme are assessed within this course unit**

**C = compulsory course unit**

**O = optional course unit**

**7. CRITERIA FOR ADMISSION**

Candidates must be able to satisfy the general admissions criteria of the University and of the School in at least one of the following ways:

Academic requirements: A minimum of a 2.2 honours; GCSE English\*, Maths\* and Science\*\* (\* DfE requirement \*\* Primary only); Non-academic entry requirements are prescribed by the Department for Education and include: DBS, Occupational Health, DBA, Prohibition and GTCE Sanctions; Overseas Police Checks (if required) *and any other compliance requirement as determined by National College for Teaching and Leadership*

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Unit Specification**

The Faculty standard grade descriptors (on the following page) and mark range will be adopted within the PGDE:

	<b>Key Term</b>
0-9	Profoundly inadequate
10-19	Severely inadequate
20-29	Inadequate
30-39	Insufficient
40-49	Sufficient
50-59	Good
60-69	Very Good
70-79	Excellent
80-89	Outstanding
90-100	Exceptional

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Unit Specification**

**Teach First PGDE mark descriptors**

Class	Descriptor (which reflects the highest possible mark attainable)	Possible Mark
High Distinction	Your work is exceptional and of sufficient quality to be awarded a high distinction mark. It attains all learning objectives for the unit and adheres to all guidelines. The essential material is presented thoroughly and accurately and weighed appropriately. Moreover, the work is authoritative and amply demonstrates very advanced knowledge and a very advanced ability to integrate the full range of principles, theories, evidence and techniques. The clarity and originality of thought and the way that it is expressed is very impressive for this level of work.	100
		95
		92
Mid-range Distinction	Your work is outstanding and of sufficient quality to be awarded a mid-range distinction mark. Your response to the question is ambitious and perceptive. Your argument is very well structured. It is logical and convincing. You use extensive data and/or literature to support that argument and give very pertinent examples. You demonstrate a very high level of understanding of this topic. To improve future marks you should attempt to refine your analysis and arguments even further.	88
		85
		82
Lower-range Distinction	Your work is excellent and of sufficient quality to be awarded a lower-range distinction mark. It has clear aims and largely achieves them. It draws upon an appropriately wide range of sources, displays considerable analytical depth with substantial evidence of genuinely independent thought, and is written and presented to a very high standard. To improve future marks you should attempt to identify any weaker parts of your argument and/or its presentation, ensure you have addressed opposing viewpoints or evidence decisively, and consider extending the range and use of supporting resources even further.	78
		75
		72

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Unit Specification**

Merit	Your work is very good, and of sufficient quality to be awarded a Merit mark. It addresses the specific topic area very well, with a sound demonstration of knowledge and skills. You provide evidence of appropriate independent reading and thinking and draw upon the literature coherently to substantiate your claims. Your work is comprehensive and well-considered. To improve future marks you should consult a wider range of sources and deepen your analysis.	68
Merit		65
Merit		62
Pass	Your work is good, and of sufficient quality to be awarded a Pass mark. You have demonstrated a reasonable understanding of the relevant principles, theories, evidence and techniques, and you have gone some way to meeting your aims through presenting a coherent argument in a competent manner. To improve future marks you should increase your level of critical appraisal and independent reading, and seek to demonstrate a deeper, and more fully researched, understanding of the subject.	58
Pass		55
Pass		52

Compen satable	Your work demonstrates insufficient knowledge and skills in the specific topic area and does not merit a pass mark. It shows a very basic level of knowledge and understanding. The work lacks coherence and there is only a very limited use of resources. The quality of presentation is poor. You have provided an answer that lacks detail and depth. It is very descriptive and does not fully address the issues raised by the question. Your arguments are often simplistic. To achieve a higher mark you need to make sure that all your points are fully supported with data or evidence from the literature. You also need to achieve greater analytical depth and take fuller account of opposing viewpoints or evidence in order to provide more substantial, comprehensive and nuanced support for your argument.	48
Compen satable		45
Compen satable Fail		42

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Unit Specification**

Fail	Your work demonstrates insufficient knowledge and skills in the specific topic area and does not merit a pass mark. Your work does not demonstrate adequately the study skills required at this level. Although you show some awareness of the area, you have missed many important facts and concepts and made major errors. You have made no attempt to critically evaluate evidence and shown no evidence of independent research. Your work has minimal underlying structure and is frequently confused and incoherent. To improve future marks you should improve your awareness of the appropriate principles, theories, evidence and techniques and engage more critically with them. You should present and structure your arguments better and make sure that they are substantiated. You should seek to undertake, or demonstrate that you have undertaken, independent research.	38
Fail		35
Fail	Your work is inadequate and does not merit a pass mark. It does not demonstrate even a basic awareness of the subject matter. Your awareness of principles, theories, evidence and techniques is insufficient, and you show little evidence of critical engagement with the material. You have not paid sufficient attention to the quality, range and appropriateness of sources used, and your arguments are partial and unsubstantiated. To improve future marks you should improve your awareness of the appropriate principles, theories, evidence and techniques and engage more critically with them. You should present and structure your arguments better and make sure that they are substantiated. You should seek to undertake, or demonstrate that you have undertaken, independent research.	32
Fail		28
Fail	Your work is inadequate and does not merit a pass mark. It does not demonstrate even a basic awareness of the subject matter. Your awareness of principles, theories, evidence and techniques is insufficient, and you show little evidence of critical engagement with the material. You have not paid sufficient attention to the quality, range and appropriateness of sources used, and your arguments are partial and unsubstantiated. To improve future marks you should improve your awareness of the appropriate principles, theories, evidence and techniques and engage more critically with them. You should present and structure your arguments better and make sure that they are substantiated. You should seek to undertake, or demonstrate that you have undertaken, independent research.	25
Fail		25

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Unit Specification**

Fail	<p>Your work is severely inadequate and does not merit a pass mark. You show little or confused awareness of the appropriate principles, theories, evidence and techniques, and little evidence of critical engagement. Your arguments are poorly presented and misrepresent or fail to demonstrate an understanding of the subject. Your use of sources is inappropriate and your arguments are unsubstantiated and unstructured. To improve future marks you should improve your awareness of the appropriate principles, theories, evidence and techniques and engage more critically with them. You should present and structure your arguments better and make sure that they are substantiated. You should seek to undertake, or demonstrate that you have undertaken, independent research.</p>	15
Fail	<p>Your work is profoundly inadequate and does not merit a pass mark. You have misrepresented or misunderstood thinking in the discipline and your use of sources is either non-existent or inappropriate. You have not demonstrated any significant awareness of the subject matter. Your work is confused and incoherent and does not address the question posed. To improve future marks you should seek to understand thinking in the discipline and engage critically with it. You should present and structure your arguments better and make sure that they are substantiated. You should seek to undertake, or demonstrate that you have undertaken,</p>	.. 5
Fail		0

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Unit Specification**

**1. GENERAL INFORMATION**

Title	<b>Teach First Unit 1a: Summer Institute</b>
Unit code	EDUC62500
Credit rating	0
Level	Postgraduate
Contact hours	e.g. Lectures; Tutorials; Seminars; Workshops
Other Scheduled teaching and learning activities*	e.g. Revision workshops/surgeries; Online discussions/tutorials; Meetings with Academic Advisers; Field trips
Pre-requisite units	NA
Co-requisite units	NA
School responsible	SEED
Member of staff responsible	Prof David Spendlove
ECTS**	
Notional hours of Learning***	5 week Summer Institute

**2. AIMS**

- i. *The unit aims to:* Prepare students (Participants) for school readiness

**3. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE UNIT**

- ii. A five week (4 weeks regional, one week national) summer institute in preparation for teaching

\* To inform the “Key Information Set”. Defined as ‘any activity that a student has to attend or undertake at a fixed point and that has no flexibility for when it is undertaken, and where the student also has access to an available staff member’ (“*Provision of Information about Higher Education: Outcomes of consultation and next steps*” June 2011/18)

\*\* ECTS (European Credit Transfer and Accumulation System): There are 2 UK credits for every 1 ECT credit, in accordance with the Credit Framework (QAA). Therefore if a unit is worth 30 UK credits, this will equate to 15 ECT.

\*\*\* Notional hours of learning: The number of hours which it is expected that a learner (at a particular level) will spend, on average, to achieve the specified learning outcomes at that level. It is expected that there will be 10 hours of notional study associated with every 1 credit achieved. Therefore if a unit is worth 30 credits, this will equate to 300 notional study hours, in accordance with the Credit Framework (QAA).

**4. INTENDED LEARNING OUTCOMES**

Category of outcome	Students should/will (please delete as appropriate) be able to:
Knowledge and understanding	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Demonstrate phase and subject specific knowledge and understanding including self-awareness that supports impact on learners (A1)</li> </ul>

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Unit Specification**

Intellectual skills	
Practical skills	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Engage and draw up the support of others to support their impact on learners (C6)</li> </ul>
Transferable skills and personal qualities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Demonstrate a commitment and collaborative approach to addressing educational inequality (D1)</li> </ul>

**5. LEARNING AND TEACHING PROCESSES (INCLUDING THE USE OF E-LEARNING)**

University-based input to include sessions on pedagogy of teaching (in subject and phase) and subject specific input.

University- based sessions and research to include the role of the teacher in the wider professional context including safeguarding, assessment Special Educational Needs and Disabilities and Behaviour for Learning.

School-centred learning to include planning, observing, teaching and assessment in a school environment.

School-centred research to include the role of the teacher in the wider professional context including safeguarding, assessment Special Educational Needs and Disabilities and Behaviour for Learning in the specific context of the school.

**6. ASSESSMENT (INCLUDING FORMATIVE ASSESSMENT, E-ASSESSMENT, and INFORMATION ABOUT FEEDBACK)**

Assessment task	Length	How and when feedback is provided	Weighting within unit (if relevant)
Formative assessment against the Summer Institute Participant Development Framework: pre-service training	5 weeks	Weekly either through face to face feedback (approximately 30 minutes per week) or via BlueSky	
Summative assessment against the Summer Institute Participant Development Framework: pre-service training	Week 5 SI	Immediate, face to face	

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Unit Specification**

**7. INDICATIVE READING LIST**

Aubrey, K, Riley, A. (2016) *Understanding and Using Educational Theories*, London: Sage  
Capel, S. Leask, M and Turner, T. (2009) *Learning to Teach in the Secondary School*, London: Routledge Falmer  
Clarke, S. (2014) *Outstanding Formative Assessment: Culture and Practice*, London: Hodder  
Dweck, C. ( 2000) *Self-Theories: Their Role in Motivation, Personality and their Development*, Oxon/ New York: Routledge  
Lemov, D. (2014). *Teach like a champion 2.0: 62 techniques that put students on the path to college*. John Wiley & Sons.  
Pollard, A. (Ed.). (2002). *Readings for reflective teaching*. A&C Black.  
Pollard, A., Black-Hawkins, K., Hodges, G. C., Dudley, P., James, M., Linklater, H., ... & Warwick, P. (2014). *Reflective teaching in schools*. Bloomsbury Publishing.  
Roffey, S. (2004) *The new teacher's survival guide to behaviour*, London, Paul Chapman  
Rogers, B. (2002) *Classroom behaviour : a practical guide to effective teaching, behaviour management and colleague support*, London, PCP  
Rogers, B. (1998) *You know the fair rule: strategies for making the hard job of discipline and behaviour management in school easier*, London, Pitman

For Information and advice on Link2Lists reading list software, see:

<http://www.library.manchester.ac.uk/academicsupport/informationandadviceonlink2listsreadinglistssoftware/>

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Unit Specification**

**1. GENERAL INFORMATION**

Title	<b>Teach First Unit 2: Emerging Philosophy of Teaching and Learning</b>
Unit code	EDUC62501
Credit rating	30 Credits
Level	Postgraduate
Contact hours	40 hours: Lectures; Tutorials, Workshops
Other Scheduled teaching and learning activities*	Online discussions, invited speakers, individual and group tutorials; Meetings with Academic Advisers.
Pre-requisite units	NA
Co-requisite units	NA
School responsible	SEED
Member of staff responsible	Prof David Spendlove
ECTS**	
Notional hours of Learning***	300

**2. AIMS**

The unit aims:  
 To develop participants' specialist subject knowledge, skills and understanding;  
 To develop participants' understanding of pedagogy and educational theory in their specialist subject;  
 To enable participants to develop a questioning, reflective and critical approach to their work as a teacher in their specialist subject(s) and phase.

**3. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE UNIT**

The unit aims to develop participant's theoretical understanding and emerging philosophy of teaching through critical reflection upon their practice.  
 Through reflecting upon their practice within a particular phase and subject participants will draw upon theories of learning to examine their pedagogical practices and impact through examining learning, teaching and assessment.

\* To inform the "Key Information Set". Defined as 'any activity that a student has to attend or undertake at a fixed point and that has no flexibility for when it is undertaken, and where the student also has access to an available staff member' (*Provision of Information about Higher Education: Outcomes of consultation and next steps* June 2011/18)

\*\* ECTS (European Credit Transfer and Accumulation System): There are 2 UK credits for every 1 ECT credit, in accordance with the Credit Framework (QAA). Therefore if a unit is worth 30 UK credits, this will equate to 15 ECT.

\*\*\* Notional hours of learning: The number of hours which it is expected that a learner (at a particular level) will spend, on average, to achieve the specified learning outcomes at that level. It is expected that there will be 10 hours of notional study associated with every 1 credit achieved. Therefore if a unit is worth 30 credits, this will equate to 300 notional study hours, in accordance with the Credit Framework (QAA).

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Unit Specification**

**4. INTENDED LEARNING OUTCOMES**

<b>Category of outcome</b>	<i>Students should/will:</i>
Knowledge and understanding	1. Demonstrate phase and subject specific knowledge and understanding including self-awareness that supports impact on learners (A1)
Intellectual skills	1. Have developed a systematic and critical approach to the subject knowledge required to successfully teach and assess a sequence of lessons (B1) 2. Have explored phase and subject-specific pedagogies and develop a critical awareness of misconceptions in the subject /phase (B2) 3. Have critically analysed current research and its impact on their assessment and planning of sequences of lessons (B3) 4. Demonstrate a critical awareness and understanding of complex teaching and learning issues and make sound judgements based on awareness of own beliefs and evidence (B6)
Practical skills	1. Develop their specialist subject(s)/phase pedagogies developing a critical awareness of misconceptions in the subject /phase so that learners are motivated, enthused and appropriately challenged in well organised and managed learning environments
Transferable skills and personal qualities	1. Demonstrate analytical and evaluative skills to appraise educational literature and other sources of evidence (D9)

**5. LEARNING AND TEACHING PROCESSES (INCLUDING THE USE OF E-LEARNING)**

Lectures and seminars supported by tutorials, supplemented by e-learning materials.

**6. ASSESSMENT (INCLUDING FORMATIVE ASSESSMENT, E-ASSESSMENT, and INFORMATION ABOUT FEEDBACK)**

Assessment task	Length	How and when feedback is provided	Weighting within unit (if relevant)
A critical reflection on their emerging philosophy of teaching and learning <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Typically evidenced by:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ An analysis of the theories of learning (this should directly reference the impact of teaching, assessment and subject knowledge)</li> <li>○ An exploration of phase and subject specific pedagogies</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Word count: 6000	Formative and summative feedback using TurnItIn within University regulations via Blackboard.	

**7. INDICATIVE READING LIST**

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Unit Specification**

- Alexander R. (2004) 'Still no pedagogy? principle, pragmatism and compliance in primary education', *Cambridge Journal of Education*, 34(1), pp. 7–33. doi:
- Alexander, Robin J. and Cambridge Primary Review (Organization) (2010) *Children, their world, their education: final report and recommendations of the Cambridge Primary Review*. London: Routledge.
- Allison E. B. (2010) 'Pedagogy - how is it influenced in primary schools? A comparative study of literature about pedagogical influences in primary schools in England and Poland, with a focus on English primary schools', *Education 3-13*, 38(1), pp. 55–67. Assessment Reform Group and University Of Cambridge (2002) *Testing, motivation and learning*. Cambridge: University of Cambridge Faculty of Education.
- Black P. and Wiliam D. (2005) 'Lessons from around the world: how policies, politics and cultures constrain and afford assessment practices', *Curriculum Journal*, 16(2), pp. 249–261.
- Black P. and Wiliam D. (2009) 'Developing the theory of formative assessment', *Educational Assessment, Evaluation and Accountability*, 21(1), pp. 5–31.
- Black P., Wiliam D., Marshall B., Harrison C. and Lee C. (2004) 'Working Inside the Black Box: assessment for learning in the classroom', *Phi Delta Kappan*, 86(1), pp. 8–21.
- Black, P. J. (2003) *Assessment for learning: putting it into practice*. Maidenhead: Open University Press.
- Brian Simon (1985) *Does education matter?* London: Lawrence and Wishart.
- Capel S., Leask M. and Turner T. (2013) *Learning to teach in the Secondary School: a companion to school experience*. Hoboken: Taylor and Francis.
- Codd J. (1988) 'The construction and deconstruction of educational policy documents', *Journal of Education Policy*, 3(3), pp. 235–247. doi: 10.1080/0268093880030303.
- Corno L. and Mandinach E. B. (no date) 'The Role of Cognitive Engagement in Classroom Learning and Motivation', 18(2), pp. 88– 108.
- Fox, Richard (2005) *Teaching and learning: lessons from psychology*. Malden, Mass: Blackwell Pub.
- Gulson K. (2005) 'Renovating educational identities: policy, space and urban renewal', *Journal of Education Policy*, 20(2), pp. 141–158.
- Hall K. and Ozerk K. (2008) 'Primary Curriculum and Assessment: England and Other Countries (Primary Review Research Briefing 3/1).'
- Hartley D. (1998) 'In search of structure: theory and practice in the management of education', *Journal of Education Policy*, 13(1), pp. 153–162.
- Lipman, P. (2004). *High stakes education: Inequality, globalization, and urban school reform*. Psychology Press.
- Lupton R. and Tunstall R. (2008) 'Neighbourhood regeneration through mixed communities: a "social justice dilemma"?', *Journal of Education Policy*, 23(2), pp. 105–117.
- Piaget, Jean (2001) *The psychology of intelligence*. London: Routledge.
- Pollard, Andrew (2002) *Readings for reflective teaching*. London: Continuum.
- Sara de Freitas and Chris Yapp (2005) *Personalizing learning in the 21st century*. Stafford: Network Educational.
- Schön, Donald A. (1987) *Educating the reflective practitioner*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Schön, Donald A. (1991) *The reflective practitioner: how professionals think in action*. Aldershot: Ashgate.
- Seddon T. (2003) 'Framing justice: challenges for research', *Journal of Education Policy*, 18(3), pp. 229–252. doi: Smyth J. (1989) 'A critical pedagogy of classroom practice', *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, 21(6), pp. 483–502.

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Unit Specification**

Stoer S. and Cortesão L. (1995) 'Critical inter/multicultural education and the process of transnationalisation: a view from the semiperiphery', *Journal of Education Policy*, 10(4), pp. 373–384.

Yeh S. (2010) 'Understanding and addressing the achievement gap through individualized instruction and formative assessment', *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice*, 17(2), pp. 169–182.

Zanten A. V. (2002) 'Educational change and new cleavages between head teachers, teachers and parents: global and local perspectives on the French case', *Journal of Education Policy*, 17(3), pp. 289–304.

Vygotskii, L. S., Cole, Michael and Vygotsky, Lev (1978) *Mind in society: the development of higher psychological processes*. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press.

For Information and advice on Link2Lists reading list software, see:

<http://www.library.manchester.ac.uk/academicsupport/informationandadviceonlink2listsreadinglistsoftware/>

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Unit Specification**

**1. GENERAL INFORMATION**

Title	<b>Teach First Unit 3: Leading Learning in Communities</b>
Unit code	EDUC62502
Credit rating	30 Credits
Level	Postgraduate
Contact hours	40 hours: Lectures; Tutorials, Workshops
Other Scheduled teaching and learning activities*	Online discussions, invited speakers, individual and group tutorials; Meetings with Academic Advisers.
Pre-requisite units	NA
Co-requisite units	NA
School responsible	SEED
Member of staff responsible	Prof David Spendlove
ECTS**	
Notional hours of Learning***	300

**2. AIMS**

The unit aims:

- To develop participants' understanding of the socially constructed identities of communities and individual pupils;
- To enable participants to critically explore the ethical and theoretical or methodological complexities of researching communities;
- To develop participants critical awareness, based upon research, of the opportunities for leading learning for pupils and the potential barriers to doing so within their school and school's community and subsequent implications for their own practice.

**3. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE UNIT**

The unit aims to develop participant's understanding, drawing upon research and enquiry, of how disadvantage and opportunity are manifest in their own school and school's community. Through drawing upon relevant literature, policies and practice, participants will examine how disadvantage is evident in low- income/ disadvantaged communities.

\* To inform the "Key Information Set". Defined as 'any activity that a student has to attend or undertake at a fixed point and that has no flexibility for when it is undertaken, and where the student also has access to an available staff member' (*"Provision of Information about Higher Education: Outcomes of consultation and next steps"* June 2011/18)

\*\* ECTS (European Credit Transfer and Accumulation System): There are 2 UK credits for every 1 ECT credit, in accordance with the Credit Framework (QAA). Therefore if a unit is worth 30 UK credits, this will equate to 15 ECT.

\*\*\* Notional hours of learning: The number of hours which it is expected that a learner (at a particular level) will spend, on average, to achieve the specified learning outcomes at that level. It is expected that there will be 10 hours of notional study associated with every 1 credit achieved.

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**

**Unit Specification**

Therefore if a unit is worth 30 credits, this will equate to 300 notional study hours, in accordance with the Credit Framework (QAA).

**4. INTENDED LEARNING OUTCOMES**

<b>Category of outcome</b>	<i>Students should/will:</i>
Knowledge and understanding	1. Have shown a critical awareness, based on research, of the opportunities for leading learning for pupils and the potential barriers to doing so within their school's community (A2)
Intellectual skills	1. Have critically explored the ethical and theoretical or methodological complexities of researching communities (B4) 2. Have demonstrated a critical understanding of complex issues, made sound judgements based on evidence and communicated conclusions (B6)
Practical skills	
Transferable skills and personal	1. Demonstrate analytical and evaluative skills to appraise educational literature and other

**5. LEARNING AND TEACHING PROCESSES (INCLUDING THE USE OF E-LEARNING)**

Lectures and seminars supported by tutorials, supplemented by e-learning materials.
---

**6. ASSESSMENT (INCLUDING FORMATIVE ASSESSMENT, E-ASSESSMENT, and INFORMATION ABOUT FEEDBACK)**

Assessment task	Length	How and when feedback is provided	Weighting within unit (if relevant)

## THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER

### Unit Specification

<p>Through adopting a particular focus participants will write a report, drawing upon relevant literature, policies and practice, on how disadvantage and opportunity are manifest in their own school's community (including implications for their own practice)</p> <p>Word count: 6000</p> <p>Guidance notes for assessment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Should follow standard report format (incl. exec summary and conclusions)</li> <li>• Implications for practice</li> <li>• To include:             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Analysis and evaluation of research</li> <li>○ Proposed approach to</li> <li>○ research to inform future practice</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Critical analysis of the school's policy and how it impacts on pupil progress</li> <li>• Critical analysis of national policy             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ A particular focus could be through for example: How communities are formed and framed</li> <li>▪ Socio-linguistic research                 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Anthropology of schools</li> <li>▪ School's ethos – approach to community, parents and carers</li> <li>▪ Pupil characteristics</li> <li>▪ Curriculum culture and pedagogy</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ Funds of Knowledge</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p>Word count: 6000</p>	<p>Formative and summative feedback using TurnItIn within University regulations via Blackboard.</p>	
--	-----------------------------	--	--

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Unit Specification**

**7. INDICATIVE READING LIST**

- Ainscow, M. (2015). *Towards self-improving school systems: Lessons from a city challenge*. Routledge.
- Arthurs N., Patterson J. and Bentley A. (2014) 'Achievement for Students Who are Persistently Absent: Missing School, Missing Out?', *The Urban Review*, 46(5), pp. 860–876.
- Askill-Williams, H. (Ed.). (2015). *Transforming the Future of Learning with Educational Research*. IGI Global.
- Aubrey, Carol (2000) *Early childhood educational research: issues in methodology and ethics*. London: Routledge Falmer.
- Ball S. (2003) 'The Risks of Social Reproduction: the middle class and education markets', *London Review of Education*, 1(3), pp. 163–175.
- Ball, Stephen (2003) *Class strategies and the education market: the middle classes and social advantage*. London: RoutledgeFalmer.
- Barbara Comber, Pat Thomson and Marg Wells (2001) 'Critical Literacy Finds a "Place": Writing and Social Action in a Low-Income Australian Grade 2/3 Classroom', *The Elementary School Journal*. The University of Chicago Press, 101(4), pp. 451–464.
- Beckett L. (2014) 'Raising Teachers' Voice on Achievement in Urban Schools in England: An Introduction', *The Urban Review*, 46(5), pp. 783–799.
- Bell, Judith (2010) *Doing your research project: a guide for first-time researchers in education, health and social science*. Maidenhead: Open University Press / McGraw Hill. Available at: <https://www.dawsonera.com/abstract/9780335239146>.
- Boaler J., William D. and Brown M. (2000) 'Students' Experiences of Ability Grouping - disaffection, polarisation and the construction of failure', *British Educational Research Journal*, 26(5), pp. 631–648.
- Brown G. (2011) 'Emotional geographies of young people's aspirations for adult life', *Children's Geographies*, 9(1), pp. 7–22.
- Chapman C. and Gunter H. (2008) *Radical Reforms: Perspectives on an era of educational change*. Hoboken: Taylor and Francis.
- Cummings C. and Dyson A. (2007) 'The role of schools in area regeneration', *Research Papers in Education*, 22(1), pp. 1–22.
- Cummings, C., Dyson, A., & Todd, L. (2011). *Beyond the school gates: Can full service and extended schools overcome disadvantage?*. Taylor & Francis.
- Davies, I., Sundaram, V., Hampden-Thompson, G., Tsouroufli, M., Bramley, G., Breslin, T., & Thorpe, T. (2014). *Creating Citizenship Communities: Education, Young People and the Role of Schools*. Springer.
- Darbyshire N., Finn B., Griggs S. and Ford C. (2014) 'An Unsure Start for Young Children in English Urban Primary Schools', *The Urban Review*, 46(5), pp. 816–830.
- Denscombe, Martyn (2010) *The good research guide: for small-scale social research projects*. Maidenhead: McGraw-Hill Open University Press.
- Dweck C. S. and Leggett E. L. (1988) 'A social-cognitive approach to motivation and personality', *Psychological Review*, 95(2), pp. 256–273.
- Exley S. (2013) 'Making working-class parents think more like middle-class parents: Choice Advisers in English education', *Journal of Education Policy*, 28(1), pp. 77–94.
- Fielding M. (2006) 'Leadership, radical student engagement and the necessity of person-centred education', *International Journal of Leadership in Education*, 9(4), pp. 299–313.
- Firth B., Melia V., Bergan D. and Whitby L. (2014) "'No Ceiling on Achievement": Breaking the Glass Ceiling or Hitting a Steel Plate in Urban Schools?', *The Urban Review*, 46(5), pp. 877–890.
- Gillborn D. (2010) 'The colour of numbers: surveys, statistics and deficit-thinking about race and class', *Journal of Education Policy*, 25(2), pp. 253–276. doi: 10.1080/02680930903460740.

## THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER

### Unit Specification

- Gillborn D., Rollock N., Vincent C. and Ball S. J. (2012) “You got a pass, so what more do you want?": race, class and gender intersections in the educational experiences of the Black middle class', *Race Ethnicity and Education*, 15(1), pp. 121–139.
- Gillies D., Wilson A., Soden R., Gray S. and McQueen I. (2010) 'Capital, culture and community: understanding school engagement in a challenging context', *Improving Schools*, 13(1), pp. 21–38
- Gillies V. (2005) 'Raising the "Meritocracy": Parenting and the Individualization of Social Class', *Sociology*, 39(5), pp. 835–853.
- Glennerster H., Lupton R., Noden P. and Power A. (no date) 'Poverty, Social Exclusion and Neighbourhood: Studying the area bases of social exclusion.' Available at: [http://library.bsl.org.au/jspui/bitstream/123456789/334/1/CASEpaper22\\_MARCH\\_1999.pdf](http://library.bsl.org.au/jspui/bitstream/123456789/334/1/CASEpaper22_MARCH_1999.pdf).
- González, N., Moll, L. C., & Amanti, C. (Eds.). (2006). *Funds of knowledge: Theorizing practices in households, communities, and classrooms*. Routledge.
- Gorton J., Williams M. and Wrigley T. (2014) 'Inspection Judgements on Urban Schools: A Case for the Defence', *The Urban Review*, 46(5), pp. 891–903.
- Harridge S., Stokoe S. and Tan J. E. C. (2014) 'That's Another Story: An Alternative to the "Official" Way the Urban School Story is Told', *The Urban Review*, 46(5), pp. 904–918.
- Harris A. and Ranson S. (2005) 'The contradictions of education policy: disadvantage and achievement', *British Educational Research Journal*, 31(5), pp. 571–587.
- Henry A. Giroux (1988) *Teachers as intellectuals: Towards a Critical Pedagogy of Learning*. Granby, Mass: Bergin & Garvey.
- Hobbs G. and Vignoles A. (2010) 'Is children's free school meal "eligibility" a good proxy for family income?', *British Educational Research Journal*, 36(4), pp. 673–690.
- Jackson C. (2002) "'Laddishness" as a Self-worth Protection Strategy', *Gender and Education*, 14(1), pp. 37–50. doi: 10.1080/09540250120098870.
- Jackson C. (2003) 'Motives for "Laddishness" at School: Fear of failure and fear of the "feminine"', *British Educational Research Journal*, 29(4), pp. 583–598.
- Jackson C. (2006) "'Wild" girls? An exploration of "ladette" cultures in secondary schools', *Gender and Education*, 18(4), pp. 339–360.
- Jean Anyon (1981) 'Social Class and School Knowledge', *Curriculum Inquiry*. Wiley, 11(1), pp. 3–42. Available at: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1179509>.
- Kintrea K., St Clair R. and Houston M. (no date) 'The influence of parents, places and poverty on educational attitudes and aspirations.' Available at: <http://conference2016.pascalobservatory.org/sites/default/files/young-people-education-attitudes-full.pdf>.
- Lareau, A. (1987). Social class differences in family-school relationships: The importance of cultural capital. *Sociology of education*, 73-85.
- Lingard B. and Mills M. (2007) 'Pedagogies making a difference: issues of social justice and inclusion', *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 11(3), pp. 233–244.
- Lipman, Pauline (2004) *High stakes education: inequality, globalization, and urban school reform*. New York: RoutledgeFalmer.
- Luis, C M. (no date) 'Funds of knowledge for teaching: Using a qualitative approach to connect homes and classrooms.' Available at: <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/00405849209543534>.
- Lupton R. (2005) 'Social justice and school improvement: improving the quality of schooling in the poorest neighbourhoods', *British Educational Research Journal*, 31(5), pp. 589–604.
- Lupton R. (2014) 'Raising Teachers' Voice on Achievement in Urban Schools in England: An Afterword', *The Urban Review*, 46(5), pp. 919–923.

## THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER

### Unit Specification

- Lupton R. and Hempel-Jorgensen A. (2012) 'The importance of teaching: pedagogical constraints and possibilities in working-class schools', *Journal of Education Policy*, 27(5), pp. 601–620.
- Lupton R. and Thomson S. (no date) 'Socio-economic inequalities in English schooling under the Coalition Government 2010–15.' Available at:  
<http://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/ioep/clre/2015/00000013/00000002/art00002?crawler=true>.
- Lupton R. and Thrupp M. (2012) 'Headteachers' readings of and responses to disadvantaged contexts: evidence from English primary schools', *British Educational Research Journal*, pp. 1–20.
- MacDonald R. (2005) 'Growing Up in Poor Neighbourhoods: The Significance of Class and Place in the Extended Transitions of "Socially Excluded" Young Adults', *Sociology*, 39(5), pp. 873–891.
- Martin Thrupp and Sally Tomlinson (no date) 'Introduction: Education Policy, Social Justice and "Complex Hope"', *British Educational Research Journal*. Taylor & Francis, Ltd., Vol. 31(No. 5).
- Michael Fielding (2004) 'Transformative Approaches to Student Voice: Theoretical Underpinnings, Recalcitrant Realities', *British Educational Research Journal*. Wiley, 30(2), pp. 295–311. Available at: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1502226>.
- Munns G. (2007) 'A sense of wonder: pedagogies to engage students who live in poverty', *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 11(3), pp. 301–315
- Nuttall A. and Doherty J. (2014) 'Disaffected Boys and the Achievement Gap: "the wallpaper effect" and What is Hidden by a Focus on School Results', *The Urban Review*, 46(5), pp. 800–815.
- 'Pupil voice: purpose, power and the possibilities for democratic schooling' (2005) *British Educational Research Journal*, 31(4), pp. 533–540.
- Raffo C., Dyson A., Gunter H., Hall D., Jones L. and Kalambouka A. (2009) 'Education and poverty: mapping the terrain and making the links to educational policy', *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 13(4), pp. 341–358.
- Rattan A., Savani K., Naidu N. V. R. and Dweck C. S. (2012a) 'Can Everyone Become Highly Intelligent? Cultural Differences in and Societal Consequences of Beliefs About the Universal Potential for Intelligence.', *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*.
- Rattan A., Savani K., Naidu N. V. R. and Dweck C. S. (2012b) 'Can Everyone Become Highly Intelligent? Cultural Differences in and Societal Consequences of Beliefs About the Universal Potential for Intelligence.', *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*.
- Reay D. (2001) 'Finding or losing yourself?: working-class relationships to education', *Journal of Education Policy*, 16(4), pp. 333–346.
- Reay D. and William D. (1999) "'I'll be a nothing": structure, agency and the construction of identity through assessment', *British Educational Research Journal*, 25(3), pp. 343–354.
- Roberts S. (2013) 'Boys Will Be Boys ... Won't They? Change and Continuities in Contemporary Young Working-class Masculinities', *Sociology*, 47(4), pp. 671–686. doi: 10.1177/0038038512453791.
- Roberts-Holmes, Guy (2005) *Doing your early years research project: a step-by-step guide*. Los Angeles: SAGE.
- Sinclair S., McKendrick J. H. and Scott G. (2010) 'Failing young people? Education and aspirations in a deprived community', *Education, Citizenship and Social Justice*, 5(1), pp. 5–20.
- St Clair R. and Benjamin A. (2011) 'Performing desires: the dilemma of aspirations and educational attainment', *British Educational Research Journal*, 37(3), pp. 501–517.
- Sternberg R. J., Grigorenko E. and Kidd K. K. (2005) 'Intelligence, Race, and Genetics', *American Psychologist*, 60(1). 'The influence of parents, places and poverty on educational attitudes and aspirations | Joseph Rowntree Foundation' (no date). Available at:  
<http://www.jrf.org.uk/publications/influence-parents-places-and-poverty-educational>.

THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER

Unit Specification

- Thomson P. and Gunter H. (2006) 'From "consulting pupils" to "pupils as researchers": a situated case narrative', *British Educational Research Journal*, 32(6), pp. 839–856. doi: 10.1080/01411920600989487. Thomson, Pat (2002) *Schooling the rustbelt kids: making the difference in changing times*. Stoke-on-Trent: Trentham.
- Thrupp M. and Lupton R. (2006) 'Taking School Contexts More Seriously: The Social Justice Challenge', *British Journal of Educational Studies*, 54(3), pp. 308–328.
- Vincent C., Ball S. J. and Braun A. (2010) 'Between the estate and the state: struggling to be a "good" mother', *British Journal of Sociology of Education*, 31(2), pp. 123–138.
- Wallace, Mike and Wray, Alison (2006) *Critical reading and writing for postgraduates*. London: SAGE.
- Webber R. and Butler T. (2007) 'Classifying Pupils by Where They Live: How Well Does This Predict Variations in Their GCSE Results?', *Urban Studies*, 44(7), pp. 1229–1254.
- Warren, M. (2005). Communities and schools: A new view of urban education reform. *Harvard Educational Review*, 75(2), 133-173.
- Wilson R. (2014) 'Student Absences and Student Abscesses: Impediments to Quality Teaching', *The Urban Review*, 46(5), pp. 831–845.
- Zigler E. and Styfco S. J. (1994) 'Head Start: Criticisms in a constructive context.', *American Psychologist*, 49(2), pp. 127–132.

For Information and advice on Link2Lists reading list software, see:

<http://www.library.manchester.ac.uk/academicsupport/informationandadviceonlink2listsreadinglistsoftware/>

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Unit Specification**

**1. GENERAL INFORMATION**

Title	<b>Teach First Unit 1b: Recommendation for QTS</b>
Unit code	EDUC62510
Credit rating	0
Level	Postgraduate
Contact hours	e.g. Lectures; Tutorials; Seminars; Workshops
Other Scheduled teaching and learning activities*	e.g. Revision workshops/surgeries; Online discussions/tutorials; Meetings with Academic Advisers; Field trips
Pre-requisite units	Teach First Unit 1a: Summer Institute
Co-requisite units	
School responsible	SEED
Member of staff responsible	Prof David Spendlove
ECTS**	
Notional hours of Learning***	N/A

**2. AIMS**

*The unit aims to:*

- To develop participants' professional knowledge, understanding and skills;
- To provide opportunities for participants to achieve all the standards for the award of QTS.

**3. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE UNIT**

The programme is designed to meet the requirements of the Department for Education Initial Teacher Training Criteria (2016) participants will demonstrate meeting the Department for Education (2012) Teacher Standards in order to be recommended for Qualified Teacher Status (QTS). This includes: Professional standards for qualified teacher status (2011):

Part 1 -Teaching

1. Set high expectations which inspire, motivate and challenge pupils
2. Promote good progress and outcomes by pupils
3. Demonstrate good subject and curriculum knowledge
4. Plan and teach well-structured lessons
5. Adapt teaching to respond to the strengths and needs of all pupils
6. Make accurate and productive use of assessment
7. Manage behaviour effectively to ensure a good and safe learning environment
8. Fulfill wider professional responsibilities

Part 2 - **Personal and professional conduct**

\* To inform the "Key Information Set". Defined as 'any activity that a student has to attend or undertake at a fixed point and that has no flexibility for when it is undertaken, and where the student also has access to an available staff member' ("*Provision of Information about Higher Education: Outcomes of consultation and next steps*" June 2011/18)

## THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER

### Unit Specification

\*\* ECTS (European Credit Transfer and Accumulation System): There are 2 UK credits for every 1 ECT credit, in accordance with the Credit Framework (QAA). Therefore if a unit is worth 30 UK credits, this will equate to 15 ECT.

\*\*\* Notional hours of learning: The number of hours which it is expected that a learner (at a particular level) will spend, on average, to achieve the specified learning outcomes at that level. It is expected that there will be 10 hours of notional study associated with every 1 credit achieved. Therefore if a unit is worth 30 credits, this will equate to 300 notional study hours, in accordance with the Credit Framework (QAA).

#### 4. INTENDED LEARNING OUTCOMES

Category of outcome	<i>Students should/will (please delete as appropriate) be able to:</i>
Knowledge and understanding	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Demonstrate phase and subject specific knowledge and understanding including self-awareness that supports impact on learners (A1)</li> <li>2. Show a systematic understanding – and critical awareness – of current sector and contextual challenges and respond in light of cutting edge professional practice (A3)</li> <li>3. Take a research informed approach to their practice demonstrating a comprehensive understanding of techniques applicable to their own research (A4)</li> </ol>
Intellectual skills	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Develop a systematic and critical approach to the subject knowledge required to successfully teach and assess a sequence of lessons (B2)</li> </ol>
Practical skills	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Act autonomously in the planning and implementation of classroom and school based professional activities (C1)</li> <li>2. Develop their specialist subject(s)/phase pedagogies developing a critical awareness of misconceptions in the subject /phase so that learners are motivated, enthused and appropriately challenged in well organised and managed learning environments (C2)</li> <li>3. Deploy a range of teaching and learning strategies and methods supported by carefully chosen and prepared resources sensitive to the contexts in which they are working (C3)</li> <li>4. Demonstrate meeting the Teachers' Standards to be recommended for Qualified Teacher Status (QTS) (C4)</li> <li>5. Treat all learners with respect and consideration and have high expectations for their academic progress and behaviour manifested in successful relationships, centred on learning (C5)</li> </ol>
Transferable skills and personal qualities	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Evaluate their own academic and professional performance (D2)</li> <li>2. Manage time and workloads and recognise and manage their personal emotions and stress (D3)</li> <li>3. Demonstrate pedagogic skills including those of presentation, instruction, exposition, demonstration, explanation and behaviour management (D4)</li> <li>4. Identify and use various sources of information to inform</li> </ol>

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Unit Specification**

	<p>educational practice (D5)</p> <p>5. Demonstrate their ability to improve their teaching by evaluating it and learning from the effective practice of others (D6)</p> <p>6. Demonstrate their capacity to adapt classroom and professional practice to new and changing contexts (D7)</p> <p>7. Develop leadership skills (D8)</p>
--	--

**5. LEARNING AND TEACHING PROCESSES (INCLUDING THE USE OF E-LEARNING)**

School based teaching activities are supported by subject mentor and complement University based activities.

Ongoing subject knowledge and pedagogy university based days across year 1 (and year 2).

Ongoing conference pedagogy and subject knowledge evening and weekends across year 1 (and year 2).

PDL and University tutor support, assessment, progress and moderation visits.

Participants will also use BlueSky (supported by Teach First)

School-based learning to include planning, observing, teaching and assessment in school environment.

Additional online, email and telephone support.

**6. ASSESSMENT (INCLUDING FORMATIVE ASSESSMENT, E-ASSESSMENT, and INFORMATION ABOUT FEEDBACK)**

Assessment task	Length	How and when feedback is provided	Weighting within unit (if relevant)
1. University tutor lesson observation and formative and summative feedback on approximately 3 occasions, supplemented with additional PDL and mentor feedback.	As appropriate (typically 2-3 Hrs).	1.Immediately in school, orally and with subsequent written feedback ( normally within 48 hours)	
2. University tutor assessment of BlueSky (online) portfolio	Ongoing feedback across the year.	2. Online via BlueSky	
3. Termly progress review (Approximately on 3 occasions)	As appropriate (typically 2 hours)	3. Immediate formative assessment with feedback (school based), university moderated	
4. Final summative QTS Portfolio audit- (final judgements against the Teachers Standards)	As appropriate (typically 2 hours)	4. At the end of year 1 (unless otherwise determined).	

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Unit Specification**

**7. INDICATIVE READING LIST**

Aubrey, K, Riley, A. (2016) *Understanding and Using Educational Theories*, London: Sage  
Capel, S. Leask, M and Turner, T. (2009) *Learning to Teach in the Secondary School*, London: Routledge Falmer  
Clarke, S. (2014) *Outstanding Formative Assessment: Culture and Practice*, London: Hodder  
Dweck, C. (2000) *Self-Theories: Their Role in Motivation, Personality and their Development*, Oxon/ New York: Routledge  
Lemov, D. (2014). *Teach like a champion 2.0: 62 techniques that put students on the path to college*. John Wiley & Sons.  
Pollard, A. (Ed.). (2002). *Readings for reflective teaching*. A&C Black.  
Roffey, S. (2004) *The new teacher's survival guide to behaviour*, London, Paul Chapman  
Rogers, B. (2002) *Classroom behaviour : a practical guide to effective teaching, behaviour management and colleague support*, London, PCP  
Rogers, B. (1998) *You know the fair rule: strategies for making the hard job of discipline and behaviour management in school easier*, London, Pitman.

For Information and advice on Link2Lists reading list software, see:

<http://www.library.manchester.ac.uk/academicsupport/informationandadviceonlink2listsreadinglistsoftware/>

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Unit Specification**

**1. GENERAL INFORMATION**

Title	<b>Teach First Unit 4: Collaborative Learning and Development</b>
Unit code	EDUC62511
Credit rating	30 Credits
Level	Postgraduate
Contact hours	40 hours: Lectures; Tutorials, Workshops
Other Scheduled teaching and learning activities*	Online discussions, individual and group tutorials; Meetings with Academic Advisers.
Pre-requisite units	NA
Co-requisite units	NA
School responsible	SEED
Member of staff responsible	Prof David Spendlove
ECTS**	
Notional hours of Learning***	300

**2. AIMS**

The unit aims:

To develop participants understanding of reflection upon learning and impact on learners within their subject/phase/community.

To enable participants to be able to reflect and critique on aspects of their 'vision' of teaching and learning using different models of reflection.

To enable participants to be able to evaluate and critique research and advanced scholarship in order to support the development 'innovative practice' in relation to a given subject/ phase.

To develop participants understanding of the value and benefit of collaborative practice through critically evaluating practice, research and their own experiences of learning.

**3. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE UNIT**

The unit aims to examine the robustness of participant's 'vision' (specifically focussed upon a whole class) of teaching and learning by reflecting upon how their vision manifests itself within classroom practice (teacher actions and pupil outcomes).

\* To inform the "Key Information Set". Defined as 'any activity that a student has to attend or undertake at a fixed point and that has no flexibility for when it is undertaken, and where the student also has access to an available staff member' ("*Provision of Information about Higher Education: Outcomes of consultation and next steps*" June 2011/18)

\*\* ECTS (European Credit Transfer and Accumulation System): There are 2 UK credits for every 1 ECT credit, in accordance with the Credit Framework (QAA). Therefore if a unit is worth 30 UK credits, this will equate to 15 ECT.

\*\*\* Notional hours of learning: The number of hours which it is expected that a learner (at a particular level) will spend, on average, to achieve the specified learning outcomes at that level. It is expected that there will be 10 hours of notional study associated with every 1 credit achieved. Therefore if a unit is

## THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER

### Unit Specification

worth 30 credits, this will equate to 300 notional study hours, in accordance with the Credit Framework (QAA).

#### 4. INTENDED LEARNING OUTCOMES

Category of outcome	<i>Students should/will:</i>
Knowledge and understanding	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Demonstrate phase and subject specific knowledge and understanding including self-awareness that supports impact on learners (A1)</li> <li>2. Have demonstrated an understanding of different theories and models of reflection and be able to evaluate/critique them (A6)</li> </ol>
Intellectual skills	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Critically evaluate current research and advanced scholarship in order to select, practise and critique an 'innovative practice' in relation to a given subject (B5)</li> </ol>
Practical skills	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Develop their specialist subject(s)/phase pedagogies developing a critical awareness of misconceptions in the subject /phase so that learners are motivated, enthused and appropriately challenged in well organised and managed learning environments (C2)</li> </ol>
Transferable skills and personal qualities	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Have developed an understanding of the value and benefit of collaborative practice through critically evaluating research and their experience of it within their academic discipline (D1)</li> </ol>

#### 5. LEARNING AND TEACHING PROCESSES (INCLUDING THE USE OF E-LEARNING)

Lectures and seminars supported by tutorials, supplemented by e-learning materials.
---

#### 6. ASSESSMENT (INCLUDING FORMATIVE ASSESSMENT, E-ASSESSMENT, and INFORMATION ABOUT FEEDBACK)

Assessment task	Length	How and when feedback is provided	Weighting within unit (if relevant)
Individual essay – 'Critiquing learning and development' Word count: 6000 word; 3000 on vision and critique of an innovative practice, 3000 on reflection on collaborative working.	Word count: 6000	Formative and summative feedback using TurnItIn within University regulations via Blackboard.	

## 7. INDICATIVE READING LIST

- Ainscow, M. (2015). *Towards self-improving school systems: Lessons from a city challenge*. Routledge.
- Arthurs N., Patterson J. and Bentley A. (2014) 'Achievement for Students Who are Persistently Absent: Missing School, Missing Out?', *The Urban Review*, 46(5), pp. 860–876.
- Askill-Williams, H. (Ed.). (2015). *Transforming the Future of Learning with Educational Research*. IGI Global.
- Aubrey, Carol (2000) *Early childhood educational research: issues in methodology and ethics*. London: Routledge Falmer.
- Ball S. (2003) 'The Risks of Social Reproduction: the middle class and education markets', *London Review of Education*, 1(3), pp. 163–175.
- Ball, Stephen (2003) *Class strategies and the education market: the middle classes and social advantage*. London: RoutledgeFalmer.
- Barbara Comber, Pat Thomson and Marg Wells (2001) 'Critical Literacy Finds a "Place": Writing and Social Action in a Low-Income Australian Grade 2/3 Classroom', *The Elementary School Journal*. The University of Chicago Press, 101(4), pp. 451–464.
- Beckett L. (2014) 'Raising Teachers' Voice on Achievement in Urban Schools in England: An Introduction', *The Urban Review*, 46(5), pp. 783–799.
- Bell, Judith (2010) *Doing your research project: a guide for first-time researchers in education, health and social science*. Maidenhead: Open University Press / McGraw Hill. Available at: <https://www.dawsonera.com/abstract/9780335239146>.
- Boaler J., William D. and Brown M. (2000) 'Students' Experiences of Ability Grouping - disaffection, polarisation and the construction of failure', *British Educational Research Journal*, 26(5), pp. 631–648.
- Brown G. (2011) 'Emotional geographies of young people's aspirations for adult life', *Children's Geographies*, 9(1), pp. 7–22.
- Chapman C. and Gunter H. (2008) *Radical Reforms: Perspectives on an era of educational change*. Hoboken: Taylor and Francis.
- Cummings C. and Dyson A. (2007) 'The role of schools in area regeneration', *Research Papers in Education*, 22(1), pp. 1–22.
- Darbyshire N., Finn B., Griggs S. and Ford C. (2014) 'An Unsure Start for Young Children in English Urban Primary Schools', *The Urban Review*, 46(5), pp. 816–830.
- Denscombe, Martyn (2010) *The good research guide: for small-scale social research projects*. Maidenhead: McGraw-Hill Open University Press.
- Dweck C. S. and Leggett E. L. (1988) 'A social-cognitive approach to motivation and personality', *Psychological Review*, 95(2), pp. 256–273.
- Exley S. (2013) 'Making working-class parents think more like middle-class parents: Choice Advisers in English education', *Journal of Education Policy*, 28(1), pp. 77–94.
- Fielding M. (2006) 'Leadership, radical student engagement and the necessity of person-centred education', *International Journal of Leadership in Education*, 9(4), pp. 299–313.
- Firth B., Melia V., Bergan D. and Whitby L. (2014) "'No Ceiling on Achievement": Breaking the Glass Ceiling or Hitting a Steel Plate in Urban Schools?', *The Urban Review*, 46(5), pp. 877–890.
- Gillborn D. (2010) 'The colour of numbers: surveys, statistics and deficit-thinking about race and class', *Journal of Education Policy*, 25(2), pp. 253–276. doi: 10.1080/02680930903460740.
- Gillborn D., Rollock N., Vincent C. and Ball S. J. (2012) "'You got a pass, so what more do you want?": race, class and gender intersections in the educational experiences of the Black middle class', *Race Ethnicity and Education*, 15(1), pp. 121–139.
- Gillies D., Wilson A., Soden R., Gray S. and McQueen I. (2010) 'Capital, culture and community: understanding school engagement in a challenging context', *Improving Schools*, 13(1), pp. 21–38
- Gillies V. (2005) 'Raising the "Meritocracy": Parenting and the Individualization of Social Class', *Sociology*, 39(5), pp. 835–853.

- Glennerster H., Lupton R., Noden P. and Power A. (no date) 'Poverty, Social Exclusion and Neighbourhood: Studying the area bases of social exclusion.' Available at: [http://library.bsl.org.au/jspui/bitstream/123456789/334/1/CASEpaper22\\_MARCH\\_1999.pdf](http://library.bsl.org.au/jspui/bitstream/123456789/334/1/CASEpaper22_MARCH_1999.pdf).
- González, N., Moll, L. C., & Amanti, C. (Eds.). (2006). *Funds of knowledge: Theorizing practices in households, communities, and classrooms*. Routledge.
- Gorton J., Williams M. and Wrigley T. (2014) 'Inspection Judgements on Urban Schools: A Case for the Defence', *The Urban Review*, 46(5), pp. 891–903.
- Harridge S., Stokoe S. and Tan J. E. C. (2014) 'That's Another Story: An Alternative to the "Official" Way the Urban School Story is Told', *The Urban Review*, 46(5), pp. 904–918.
- Harris A. and Ranson S. (2005) 'The contradictions of education policy: disadvantage and achievement', *British Educational Research Journal*, 31(5), pp. 571–587.
- Henriksen, D. (2016). The seven transdisciplinary habits of mind of creative teachers: An exploratory study of award winning teachers. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 22, 212-232.
- Henry A. Giroux (1988) *Teachers as intellectuals: Towards a Critical Pedagogy of Learning*. Granby, Mass: Bergin & Garvey.
- Hobbs G. and Vignoles A. (2010) 'Is children's free school meal "eligibility" a good proxy for family income?', *British Educational Research Journal*, 36(4), pp. 673–690.
- Jackson C. (2002) "'Laddishness" as a Self-worth Protection Strategy', *Gender and Education*, 14(1), pp. 37–50. doi: 10.1080/09540250120098870.
- Jackson C. (2003) 'Motives for "Laddishness" at School: Fear of failure and fear of the "feminine"', *British Educational Research Journal*, 29(4), pp. 583–598.
- Jackson C. (2006) "'Wild" girls? An exploration of "ladette" cultures in secondary schools', *Gender and Education*, 18(4), pp. 339–360.
- Jean Anyon (1981) 'Social Class and School Knowledge', *Curriculum Inquiry*. Wiley, 11(1), pp. 3–42. Available at: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1179509>.
- Kintrea K., St Clair R. and Houston M. (no date) 'The influence of parents, places and poverty on educational attitudes and aspirations.' Available at: <http://conference2016.pascalobservatory.org/sites/default/files/young-people-education-attitudes-full.pdf>.
- Lareau, A. (1987). Social class differences in family-school relationships: The importance of cultural capital. *Sociology of education*, 73-85.
- Lingard B. and Mills M. (2007) 'Pedagogies making a difference: issues of social justice and inclusion', *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 11(3), pp. 233–244.
- Lipman, Pauline (2004) *High stakes education: inequality, globalization, and urban school reform*. New York: RoutledgeFalmer.
- Luis, C M. (no date) 'Funds of knowledge for teaching: Using a qualitative approach to connect homes and classrooms.' Available at: <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/00405849209543534>.
- Lupton R. (2005) 'Social justice and school improvement: improving the quality of schooling in the poorest neighbourhoods', *British Educational Research Journal*, 31(5), pp. 589–604.
- Lupton R. (2014) 'Raising Teachers' Voice on Achievement in Urban Schools in England: An Afterword', *The Urban Review*, 46(5), pp. 919–923.
- Lupton R. and Hempel-Jorgensen A. (2012) 'The importance of teaching: pedagogical constraints and possibilities in working-class schools', *Journal of Education Policy*, 27(5), pp. 601–620.
- Lupton R. and Thomson S. (no date) 'Socio-economic inequalities in English schooling under the Coalition Government 2010–15.' Available at: <http://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/ioep/clre/2015/00000013/00000002/art00002?crawler=true>.

## THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER

### Unit Specification

- Lupton R. and Thrupp M. (2012) 'Headteachers' readings of and responses to disadvantaged contexts: evidence from English primary schools', *British Educational Research Journal*, pp. 1–20.
- MacDonald R. (2005) 'Growing Up in Poor Neighbourhoods: The Significance of Class and Place in the Extended Transitions of "Socially Excluded" Young Adults', *Sociology*, 39(5), pp. 873–891.
- Martin Thrupp and Sally Tomlinson (no date) 'Introduction: Education Policy, Social Justice and "Complex Hope"', *British Educational Research Journal*. Taylor & Francis, Ltd., Vol. 31(No. 5).
- Michael Fielding (2004) 'Transformative Approaches to Student Voice: Theoretical Underpinnings, Recalcitrant Realities', *British Educational Research Journal*. Wiley, 30(2), pp. 295–311. Available at: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1502226>.
- Munns G. (2007) 'A sense of wonder: pedagogies to engage students who live in poverty', *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 11(3), pp. 301–315
- Nuttall A. and Doherty J. (2014) 'Disaffected Boys and the Achievement Gap: "the wallpaper effect" and What is Hidden by a Focus on School Results', *The Urban Review*, 46(5), pp. 800–815.
- 'Pupil voice: purpose, power and the possibilities for democratic schooling' (2005) *British Educational Research Journal*, 31(4), pp. 533–540.
- Raffo C., Dyson A., Gunter H., Hall D., Jones L. and Kalambouka A. (2009) 'Education and poverty: mapping the terrain and making the links to educational policy', *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 13(4), pp. 341–358.
- Rattan A., Savani K., Naidu N. V. R. and Dweck C. S. (2012a) 'Can Everyone Become Highly Intelligent? Cultural Differences in and Societal Consequences of Beliefs About the Universal Potential for Intelligence.', *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*.
- Rattan A., Savani K., Naidu N. V. R. and Dweck C. S. (2012b) 'Can Everyone Become Highly Intelligent? Cultural Differences in and Societal Consequences of Beliefs About the Universal Potential for Intelligence.', *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*.
- Reay D. (2001) 'Finding or losing yourself?: working-class relationships to education', *Journal of Education Policy*, 16(4), pp. 333–346.
- Reay D. and Wiliam D. (1999) "'I'll be a nothing": structure, agency and the construction of identity through assessment', *British Educational Research Journal*, 25(3), pp. 343–354.
- Roberts S. (2013) 'Boys Will Be Boys ... Won't They? Change and Continuities in Contemporary Young Working-class Masculinities', *Sociology*, 47(4), pp. 671–686. doi: 10.1177/0038038512453791.
- Roberts-Holmes, Guy (2005) *Doing your early years research project: a step-by-step guide*. Los Angeles: SAGE.
- Savelsbergh, E. R., Prins, G. T., Rietbergen, C., Fechner, S., Vaessen, B. E., Draijer, J. M., & Bakker, A. (2016). Effects of innovative science and mathematics teaching on student attitudes and achievement: A meta-analytic study. *Educational Research Review*, 19, 158-172.
- Sinclair S., McKendrick J. H. and Scott G. (2010) 'Failing young people? Education and aspirations in a deprived community', *Education, Citizenship and Social Justice*, 5(1), pp. 5–20.
- St Clair R. and Benjamin A. (2011) 'Performing desires: the dilemma of aspirations and educational attainment', *British Educational Research Journal*, 37(3), pp. 501–517.
- Sternberg R. J., Grigorenko E. and Kidd K. K. (2005) 'Intelligence, Race, and Genetics', *American Psychologist*, 60(1). 'The influence of parents, places and poverty on educational attitudes and aspirations | Joseph Rowntree Foundation' (no date). Available at: <http://www.jrf.org.uk/publications/influence-parents-places-and-poverty-educational>.
- 'Thomson P. and Gunter H. (2006) 'From "consulting pupils" to "pupils as researchers": a situated case narrative', *British Educational Research Journal*, 32(6), pp. 839–856.

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Unit Specification**

doi: 10.1080/01411920600989487. Thomson, Pat (2002) *Schooling the rustbelt kids: making the difference in changing times*. Stoke-on-Trent: Trentham.

Thrupp M. and Lupton R. (2006) 'TAKING SCHOOL CONTEXTS MORE SERIOUSLY: THE SOCIAL JUSTICE CHALLENGE', *British Journal of Educational Studies*, 54(3), pp. 308–328.

Vincent C., Ball S. J. and Braun A. (2010) 'Between the estate and the state: struggling to be a "good" mother', *British Journal of Sociology of Education*, 31(2), pp. 123–138.

Wallace, Mike and Wray, Alison (2006) *Critical reading and writing for postgraduates*. London: SAGE.

Webber R. and Butler T. (2007) 'Classifying Pupils by Where They Live: How Well Does This Predict Variations in Their GCSE Results?', *Urban Studies*, 44(7), pp. 1229–1254.

Wilson R. (2014) 'Student Absences and Student Abscesses: Impediments to Quality Teaching', *The Urban Review*, 46(5), pp. 831–845.

Zigler E. and Styfco S. J. (1994) 'Head Start: Criticisms in a constructive context.', *American Psychologist*, 49(2), pp. 127–132.

Zhu, C., & Wang, D. (2014). Key competencies and characteristics for innovative teaching among secondary school teachers: a mixed-methods research. *Asia Pacific Education Review*, 15(2), 299-311.

For Information and advice on Link2Lists reading list software, see:

<http://www.library.manchester.ac.uk/academicsupport/informationandadviceonlink2listsreadinglistsoftware/>

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Unit Specification**

**1. GENERAL INFORMATION**

Title	<b>Teach First Unit 5: Extending Impact and Influencing Others</b>
Unit code	EDUC62512
Credit rating	30 Credits
Level	Postgraduate
Contact hours	40 hours: Lectures; Tutorials, Workshops
Other Scheduled teaching and learning activities*	Online discussions, individual and group tutorials; Meetings with Academic
Pre-requisite units	NA
Co-requisite units	NA
School responsible	SEED
Member of staff responsible	Prof David Spendlove
ECTS**	
Notional hours of Learning***	300

**2. AIMS**

The unit aims:

- To develop participants critical understanding and implementation of action research
- To enable participants to effectively communicate their systematic reflective rationale, progress and impact of their action research.
- To enable participants to demonstrate a critical and analytical understanding of the methodological, ethical and stakeholder requirements of the action

**3. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE UNIT**

The unit aims to develop participant's understanding of action research through conducting a small-scale enquiry and the production of report and executive summary.

\* To inform the "Key Information Set". Defined as 'any activity that a student has to attend or undertake at a fixed point and that has no flexibility for when it is undertaken, and where the student also has access to an available staff member' (*"Provision of Information about Higher Education: Outcomes of consultation and next steps" June 2011/18*)

\*\* ECTS (European Credit Transfer and Accumulation System): There are 2 UK credits for every 1 ECT credit, in accordance with the Credit Framework (QAA). Therefore if a unit is worth 30 UK credits, this will equate to 15 ECT.

\*\*\* Notional hours of learning: The number of hours which it is expected that a learner (at a particular level) will spend, on average, to achieve the specified learning outcomes at that level. It is expected that there will be 10 hours of notional study associated with every 1 credit achieved.

## THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER

### Unit Specification

Therefore if a unit is worth 30 credits, this will equate to 300 notional study hours, in accordance with the Credit Framework (QAA).

#### 4. INTENDED LEARNING OUTCOMES

Category of outcome	<i>Students should/will:</i>
Knowledge and understanding	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Have demonstrated a critical understanding and implementation of action research (A5)</li> <li>2. Have effectively communicated their systematic reflective rationale, progress and impact of their action research (A7)</li> <li>3. Have demonstrated a critical and analytical understanding of the methodological, ethical and stakeholder requirements (A8)</li> </ol>
Intellectual skills	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Critically analyse current research and its impact on their assessment and planning of sequences of lessons (B3)</li> <li>2. Demonstrate a critical awareness and understanding of complex teaching and learning issues and make sound judgements based on awareness of own beliefs and evidence (B6)</li> </ol>
Practical skills	
Transferable skills and personal qualities	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Identify and use various sources of information to inform their teaching practice (D5)</li> <li>2. Demonstrate analytical and evaluative skills to appraise educational literature and other sources of evidence (D9)</li> </ol>

#### 5. LEARNING AND TEACHING PROCESSES (INCLUDING THE USE OF E-LEARNING)

Lectures and seminars supported by tutorials, supplemented by e-learning materials.
---

#### 6. ASSESSMENT (INCLUDING FORMATIVE ASSESSMENT, E-ASSESSMENT, and INFORMATION ABOUT FEEDBACK)

Assessment task	Length	How and when feedback is provided	Weighting within unit (if relevant)
Report (incl. exec summary) on a piece of action research Word count: 5000 report; 1000 executive summary and supporting material.	Word count: 6000	Formative and summative feedback using Turnitin within University	

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER**  
**Unit Specification**

**7. INDICATIVE READING LIST**

- Baumfield, V., Hall, E. & Wall, K. (2008) 'Action research in the classroom', Sage.
- Bell, Judith (2010) *Doing your research project: a guide for first-time researchers in education, health and social science*. Maidenhead: Open University Press / McGraw Hill.
- Bennett, Nigel, Glatter, Ron and Levačić, Rosalind (1994) *Improving educational management through research and consultancy*. London: Paul Chapman in association with the Open University.
- Briggs, Ann R. J. and Coleman, Marianne (2007) *Research methods in educational leadership and management*. London: SAGE.
- Codd J. (1988) 'The construction and deconstruction of educational policy documents', *Journal of Education Policy*, 3(3), pp. 235–247.
- Cohen, L., Manion, L. and Morrison, K. (2011) 'Research Methods in Education', 7th Edition, Routledge - selected elements from Chapter 1, 'The nature of inquiry'
- Denscombe, M. (2010) 'The Good Research Guide: for small-scale social research projects', Open University Press – chapter 1, 'Surveys and sampling'
- Gray, David E. (2009) *Doing research in the real world*. London: SAGE.
- Hewson, Claire (2003) *Internet research methods: a practical guide for the social and behavioural sciences*. London: Sage.
- Greene, Sheila and Hogan, Diane, 2005. *Researching children's experience: methods and approaches*.
- Hall, E. (2009) 'Engaging in and engaging with research: teacher inquiry and development', *Teachers and Teaching*, Vol.15 number 6, p.669-681
- Hargreaves D.H., 1996a. *Teaching as a research-based profession: possibilities and prospects* (Annual Teacher Training Agency lecture).
- Hopkins, David, 2002. *A teacher's guide to classroom research*.
- Locke, L, Spirduso, W., and Silverman, S (2004) 'Reading and understanding research', London : SAGE 2nd ed. 2004
- Mason, Jennifer (2002) *Qualitative researching*. London: SAGE.
- McNiff, Jean and Whitehead, Jack, 2002. *Action research: principles and practice*.
- Moon, Jennifer A., 2004. *A handbook of reflective and experiential learning: theory and practice*.
- Moon, Jennifer A., 2006. *Learning journals: a handbook for reflective practice and professional development*.
- Payne, Geoff and Payne, Judy (2004) *Key concepts in social research*. London: Sage.
- Potter, Stephen (2006) *Doing postgraduate research*. London: SAGE.
- Pollard A., 2008. *Reflective teaching: evidence-informed professional practice*. Chapter 3: Developing an evidence-informed classroom.
- Schön, Donald A., 1983. *The reflective practitioner: how professionals think in action*. , pp.49–69.
- Smyth J. (1989) 'A critical pedagogy of classroom practice', *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, 21(6), pp. 483–502.
- Stenhouse L., 1981. *What Counts as Research?* *British Journal of Educational Studies*.
- Wilson, Elaine, 2009. *School-based research: a guide for education students*. Wragg, E. C., 1999. *An introduction to classroom observation*.
- Yin, Robert K., 2009. *Case study research: design and methods*. , *Applied social research methods*.

For Information and advice on Link2Lists reading list software, see:  
<http://www.library.manchester.ac.uk/academicsupport/informationandadviceonlink2listsreadinglistsoftware/>