

The University of Manchester

Procedure for the University Disciplinary Panel ("UDP")1

Content

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Investigation
- 3. UDP arrangements
- 4. The UDP hearing
- 5. Appeals
- 6. Document control

1. Introduction

- 1.1. Sections 6 and 7 of Regulation XVII (Conduct and Discipline of Students) (http://documents.manchester.ac.uk/display.aspx?DocID=6530) (the "Regulation") permit the referral of serious misconduct. This procedure sets out the processes which the University will follow in connection with such allegations. Serious misconduct is explained in more detail in Appendix Two.
- 1.2. Misconduct is considered by the University to be serious misconduct where: owing to the gravity or nature of the allegation and/or its impact on the University or members of the University's community or third parties, it merits consideration by and a potential sanction available to a University Disciplinary Panel ("UDP"); or it is alleged there has been persistent or repeated incidents of misconduct. Otherwise a case can be considered by a Summary Disciplinary Panel ("SDP").

2. Assessment

2.1 As per paragraph 6.1 of the Regulation, when an Authorised University Officer ("AUO") becomes aware of an allegation of misconduct, the AUO will conduct a preliminary assessment to determine what steps should be taken, including whether the allegation should be referred for consideration under this procedure as an allegation of serious misconduct. The preliminary assessment will be conducted by the AUO with appropriate support where relevant (for example, in relation to information or evidence gathering) by someone appointed by them.

- 2.2 Where it is decided by the AUO the allegation of misconduct should be referred for consideration under this procedure as an allegation of serious misconduct, the AUO will decide whether further investigation into the allegation is appropriate and, if so, whether the investigation will be conducted by them or by an individual appointed by them.
- 2.3 The nature and scope of an investigation, and the manner in which it will be conducted, shall be determined by the AUO or the investigator appointed by them having regard to the particular allegation(s) under consideration. The investigation may involve the collection of existing documentary material, requesting additional information from inside or outside the University and holding investigation meetings with the student or other relevant parties to try to establish the facts. A member of the University should comply

¹ Any reference to a named officer in this Procedure should also be read as a reference to their delegated nominee.

with a reasonable request to participate in an investigation where there may be a legitimate need to consider the information they have access to for the purposes of putting the Regulation into effect. The University will normally give individuals five working days' notice of investigation meetings and attendees will be informed of their right to be accompanied. For students this will be in accordance with paragraph 3.14 of the Regulation.

- 2.4 Upon completion of the investigation, the AUO will determine whether:
 - no further formal action should be taken under this procedure or the Regulation;
 - the issues should be referred for consideration under an alternative University student regulation, policy or procedure;
 - some form of informal resolution (such as, attendance at an informal meeting or mediation, highlighting behavioural expectations, student offering an undertaking for future good conduct etc.) is appropriate; or
 - in light of the findings, the allegation(s) should be referred for consideration by an SDP or UDP (see section 3 below).
- 2.5 In some instances, action may be taken under the Regulation in connection with an investigation which has been conducted under another University regulation or procedure (for example, the Student Complaints Procedure). In those circumstances, the AUO need not re-investigate such matters, but should ensure they have obtained the necessary information to enable them to proceed with the disciplinary process.
- 2.6 Investigations under this procedure should normally take no longer than 20 working days.

3. UDP arrangements

- 3.1. If an AUO considers the allegation is one of serious misconduct and should be referred to the UDP, they should notify the Director of Campus Life ("DoCL"). As part of the notification, it is good practice submit a Student Discipline Referral Form (http://documents.manchester.ac.uk/Doculnfo.aspx?DocID=7023) describing the nature of the misconduct.
- 3.2. If the HoCL considers it appropriate, they shall arrange for a meeting of the UDP for the purpose of considering the alleged breach of the Regulation. The HoCL will normally arrange a meeting of the UDP within 20 working days of the referral being made and for it to convene within 30 working days of the referral being made. Pending a UDP being convened, a student can be informed that a case of suspected misconduct is being referred to the UDP.
- 3.3. In instances where the HoCL considers the alleged breach is less-serious or not otherwise appropriate to refer to the UDP, they may recommend a case is instead considered through an alternative process e.g. via an SDP, or not at all.
- 3.4. The UDP shall normally consist of any five of the following members:
- An academic Chair (selected from the eligible membership of the Student Conduct and Discipline Committee). The Chair must be present for the UDP to be quorate.
- Any academic or Professional Services member of the Student Conduct and Discipline Committee.
- Any academic or Professional Services member of staff, drawn from a list established for the purpose by the Student Conduct and Discipline Committee.

- Any member of the Students' Union Executive Team or registered student of the University as nominated by the Students' Union.
- 3.5. The UDP may proceed with a minimum panel size of four members and shall have the power to appoint additional members as appropriate. The UDP may have additional staff supporting the administration and process of meeting, such as a Secretary and/or note-taker.
- 3.6. A student will receive an invitation to a UDP hearing at least ten working days before the date of the hearing. The invitation will include:
 - 3.6.1. details of the alleged breach(s) of misconduct;
 - 3.6.2. details of the time, date and place of the hearing;
 - 3.6.3. details of their right to be accompanied to the hearing;
 - 3.6.4. details of their right to call witnesses, to question those or other witnesses and to submit documentary evidence and/or a statement for consideration;
 - 3.6.5. copies of, or access to, the documentation which may be referred to during the hearing; and
 - 3.6.6. a copy of, or access to, this procedure.
- 3.7. The default mode of the hearing will be on-line via video-conference. However, the responding student may request a face-to-face hearing. The Chair will grant this request providing there are no general restrictions on on-site attendance in force, and is likely to be on the basis of such factors as:
 - 3.7.1. The student has support/health needs which would be helped by a face to face hearing
 - 3.7.2. The student has IT issues which mean that a remote hearing would be difficult or impossible to achieve
 - 3.7.3. The student wishes to engage with the Panel/witnesses face to face
- 3.8. Attendance at a scheduled hearing is compulsory. If a student does not engage with the disciplinary process, or fails to attend, or participate in, the hearing without good reason, the hearing may go ahead in the student's absence on the basis of the information available.
- 3.9. The invitation letter will normally ask students whether they are registered with the Disability Advisory and Support Service ("DASS"), and if so, whether they wish to request any reasonable adjustments to the disciplinary process. Any such requests will be considered by the UDP Chair and/or Secretary, in consultation with the DASS as required.
- 3.10. As per paragraph 3.14 of the Regulation, a student may attend the hearing with a person to accompany them for support ("Supporter"). The student must inform the Secretary of their proposed Supporter at least two working days before the date of the hearing. The UDP will want to hear from a student in their own words and so the Supporter role does not normally extend to having a right to make statements or ask or answer questions. A request to expand the persons eligible to act as Supporter at UDP hearings can be submitted to the Secretary no later than five working days before the hearing. Whether the request is accepted will be at the discretion of UDP Chair. Any persons acting in the role of Supporter must respect and adhere to the University's internal disciplinary process.
- 3.11. A student will be offered the opportunity to submit a written response to the allegation. A student should submit with this statement any supporting evidence they

consider substantiates their statement. For a statement to be considered by the UDP, it should be sent to the Secretary at least three working days before the hearing. Submissions after that date will only be accepted at the discretion of the UDP.

- 3.12. Any reasonable objection to the membership of any person or persons must be made by a student to the UDP Secretary at least five working days before the hearing. If the Chair of the UDP considers that a valid objection has been made they can agree to the appointment of an alternative member or members to the UDP. This may lead to the possibility of the UDP hearing being deferred to a later date.
- 3.13. The UDP shall have power to require the attendance of any member of the University who it has reason to believe is able to assist in its inquiry and is best placed to present the allegation ("Case Presenter"). It is expected there will be a Case Presenter in attendance at UDP level hearings. The UDP may also request the attendance of any other person, in the capacity of a witness, if such attendance is material to the case. It shall be the duty of the Case Presenter and/or witness to attend and give evidence accordingly. The UDP Chair may accept a Case Presenter's or witness' written statement in evidence where the student agrees they need not attend, or where the Chair accepts it is impractical for them to attend, or where in the opinion of the UDP Chair it is not appropriate or necessary for them to attend.
- 3.14. A student subject to a hearing may call witnesses and question witnesses upon whose evidence the case against them is based, but as per paragraph 3.11, it shall be at the UDP Chair's discretion as to whether a witness is required for the UDP to conduct its business. A request from a student to call witnesses should be submitted to the Secretary five working days before the date of the UDP. Any witnesses requested by the student, and accepted by the SDP/UDP Chair, may be provided with only the necessary case details and/or materials, in order that they can effectively contribute to the hearing. It is recognised that it will not usually be appropriate to share the full case materials, nor share sensitive information. It will be at the Chair's discretion (based on their knowledge of the case material and the reason(s) the witness(es) are being called) to determine what information should be shared with witnesses. Witnesses should be instructed to maintain strict confidentiality.
- 3.15. If there is a valid reason why a witness may not feel comfortable in the presence of the student, then alternative arrangements will be considered to enable them to participate in the hearing (e.g. by video- or tele-conference or with a physical divide in the room). As per paragraph 3.11, a written statement may be accepted in the absence of a witness.
- 3.16. Before a hearing, a student should not approach the UDP members, Case Presenter or the University's witnesses who have been identified as taking part in the hearing.
- 3.17. The UDP Chair has the power to adjourn the hearing to a future date, where they consider it is impractical for the hearing to go ahead as scheduled.

4. The UDP hearing

4.1. The UDP shall conduct the hearing in accordance with the Regulation. Findings shall be made on the balance of probabilities (this means a UDP will be satisfied an event occurred if the UDP considers, on the evidence available, that occurrence of the event was more likely than not to have taken place) and decisions will be made on a majority basis. If voting is evenly split, the Chair shall have the casting vote.

- 4.2. Where, in accordance with paragraph 3.6 of the Regulation, the hearing is convened to consider allegations of misconduct against more than one student, appropriate adjustments to the procedure will be made., The UDP will, insofar as practicable, aim to hear such the cases concurrently but may allow for the students concerned to raise sensitive matters (e.g. mitigation) in private.
- 4.3. The UDP hearing will proceed in the same manner whether remote or face to face, bar necessary adaptations relative to the mode of hearing. E.g. with remote hearings, the use of Breakout Rooms for respondent and case presenter rather than separate physical locations used in a face to face hearing. Students are expected to attend with their video/webcam turned on, if only for the initial stage of the hearing where introductions are made.
- 4.4. A remote hearing may be recorded for the purpose of producing an accurate record of the meeting. The student should be informed of this in advance of the hearing, and the Secretary should ensure that the recording is confidentially destroyed once the final draft of the notes has been agreed.
- 4.5. On the day of the hearing, the UDP will first meet in private to discuss any preliminary matters pertaining to the case. This will normally relate to matters of procedure and not to determine the facts of a case.
- 4.6. At the commencement of the hearing, the student, any Supporter and Case Presenter will be invited to meet the UDP and an introduction to the hearing will be made by the Chair. The case will then normally proceed based on the steps as summarised. The process may be varied by the UDP if considered necessary to take account of the uniqueness or practicalities of the case or reasonable adjustments.
 - 4.6.1. The allegation will be outlined by the Case Presenter after which the UDP and student may question the Case Presenter². If a Case Presenter is not in attendance, the UDP Chair will draw attention to the information that forms the allegation against the student.
 - 4.6.2. The student is able to present their response to the allegation, after which the UDP and Case Presenter may ask questions of the student.
 - 4.6.3. If a witness has been invited to attend they will usually be brought into the hearing after steps 4.4.1 and 4.4.2 above to make a statement and to be asked questions. The witness will then be asked to leave the room but may be required to wait until the end of the hearing in case further clarification from them is needed.
 - 4.6.4. If necessary, the UDP may discuss in private whether a breach of the Regulation has occurred before determining a penalty.
 - 4.6.5. A penalty (see Appendix One) will normally be applied when there has been a finding that the Regulation has been breached. In determining a penalty the UDP will note any known previous offences, mitigation from around the time that the breach occurred and any potential consequences that particular penalties may have on the student's progression.

² Where there might be sensitivities to a student, Case Presenter or witness directly questioning the other parties, then questions can be put through the Chair.

- 4.6.6. Discussions as to an appropriate penalty to apply are conducted in private. There will normally be an announcement of the outcome to the student and Case Presenter at the end of the hearing.
- 4.6.7. The UDP may adjourn a hearing to another date if additional time is necessary to enable the UDP to reach a conclusion on the case. If the UDP considers it has collected all of the essential oral comments, it may only be necessary for the UDP to reconvene in private (including by electronic means).
- 4.7. Following the hearing, a written record of the hearing shall be made. A letter that confirms the decision, and reasons for it, shall be sent to the student normally within ten working days of the UDP reaching its final decision. A copy of the letter will be circulated in keeping with the confidentiality provisions of paragraph 3.10 of the Regulation.
- 4.8. If the disciplinary action arose following a formal complaint by another person and who has a substantial involvement in the case, the UDP may inform the reporting person of the overall finding against the student but they should not be provided with any sensitive information pertaining to the student.

5. Appeals

- 5.1. Following a finding of serious misconduct, the student shall have a right of appeal (against both the finding and any penalty imposed as a consequence) on one or more of the following grounds:
 - 5.1.1. procedural irregularity in the operation of the disciplinary process of such a nature as to cause reasonable doubt as to whether the decision of the UDP might have been different had the irregularity not occurred;
 - 5.1.2. availability of new evidence which could not reasonably have been expected to be presented at an earlier stage;
 - 5.1.3. the disproportionate nature of the penalty.
- 5.2. Appeals must detail the grounds on which the appeal is being made and must be submitted in writing by the student concerned to the Director of Teaching, Learning and Student Development ("DTLSD") within ten working days of the date on which written notification of the UDP decision was sent to the student.
- 5.3. On receipt of the formal appeal, the DTLSD will initially consider whether the appeal is made on one or more of the grounds specified in paragraph 5.1 and if it has been submitted in the timeframe specified in paragraph 5.2. If either test fails (following consultation with an Appeal Board Chair), the student will be notified within ten working days of the appeal being received that the appeal is not eligible for consideration, with reasons given. There will be no opportunity for the student to appeal against this decision within the University and the student will be issued with a Completion of Procedures letter.
- 5.4. Upon an appeal being accepted for consideration, an Appeal Board will be arranged and will usually aim to meet within 30 working days of the appeal having been submitted.
- 5.5. The default mode of the Appeals Boards will be on-line via video-conference, as outlined in 3.7 above.
- 5.6. The Appeal Board shall consist of any five of the following members who have had no prior involvement in the case:

- A Vice-President, Dean of a Faculty, Associate Vice-President, or Associate Dean (in the Chair). The Chair must be present for the Appeal Board to be quorate.
- Any academic or Professional Services member of the Student Conduct and Discipline Committee.
- Any academic member of staff, drawn from a list established for the purpose by the Student Conduct and Discipline Committee.
- Any member of the Students' Union Executive Team or registered student of the University as nominated by the Students' Union.
- 5.7. The Appeal Board may proceed with a minimum panel size of four members and shall have the power to appoint additional members as appropriate. The Appeal Board may have additional staff supporting the administration and process of meeting, such as a Secretary and/or note-taker.
- 5.8. A student whose appeal is being considered should not approach the members of Appeal Board.
- 5.9. The Appeal Board does not re-hear a case afresh, but considers whether the initial hearing and outcome were fair by reviewing the student's appeal against the documentary evidence available. The Appeal Board process includes, as appropriate:
 - 5.9.1. reviewing the procedures followed;
 - 5.9.2. establishing whether the appellant has presented any new evidence that could not reasonably have been expected to be presented at an earlier stage and such evidence is material and substantial to the findings;
 - 5.9.3. reviewing the penalty imposed.
- 5.10. The Appeal Board shall seek to deal with the case on the basis of documentary evidence and may, at its discretion, call a meeting to which the appellant is invited to present their appeal in person. In such an event, the appellant may be accompanied to the meeting as permitted at paragraph 3.10 of this procedure. The student will be released from the meeting when they have made their statement and the Appeal Board will continue its deliberations in private. The Appeal Board may also submit requests for information to a student or to other areas of the University if such information is necessary to reach a conclusion on the appeal.
- 5.11. The Appeal Board shall reach a decision on an appeal on the balance of probabilities. Decisions may be by a majority. If voting is evenly split, the Chair will have a casting vote.
- 5.12. The Appeal Board shall have the authority to confirm or set aside the finding, and to confirm, set aside, reduce or increase the penalty. If a procedural irregularity or new evidence that is material and substantial has been found to exist by an Appeal Board, it may refer the case back for consideration to a newly constituted UDP. The Appeal Board may also make other recommendations they consider are reasonably necessary to address issues identified in the appeal.
- 5.13. The student will normally receive a Completion of Procedures letter within ten working days after the Appeal Board has reached its final decision on an appeal. The letter will outline the decision and the reasons for it. The decision of the Appeal Board hearing the appeal shall be final and there shall be no further opportunity for appeal against that decision within the University. If, however, the appeal results in a case being referred back to an earlier stage of the procedure for reconsideration, a

Completion of Procedures letter will not be issued, as the case is still ongoing and the student will normally have a further opportunity to appeal after the reconsideration has been concluded.

5.14. Students who believe their case has not been dealt with properly by the University or the outcome is unreasonable may be able to complain to the Office of the Independent Adjudicator for Higher Education (OIA) if the complaint is eligible under its rules and once all internal procedures have been concluded. Information about the role of the OIA and the procedure for submitting complaints can be obtained from the Students' Union Advice Service or from the OIA website: www.oiahe.org.uk.

6. Document control

Document control box	
Policy / Procedure title:	Procedure for the University Disciplinary Panel
Date approved:	02 June 2021 (in effect 03 June 2021)
Approving body:	Student Conduct and Discipline Committee
Version:	1
Supersedes:	03 April 2019 (in effect 01 September 2019)
Previous review dates:	N/A
Next review date:	Summer 2022
Related Statutes, Ordinances, General Regulations:	 Statute XXI (Conduct, discipline and academic progress of students) Regulation XVII (Conduct and Discipline of Students)
Equality rating	High / Medium (delete as applicable)
Related policies/procedures/guidance:	 Academic Malpractice Procedure Procedure for Summary Disciplinary Panels Guidance on Applying Student Discipline Penalties
Policy owner:	Sarah Littlejohn (Director of Campus Life) (Sarah.Littlejohn@manchester.ac.uk)
Lead contact:	Matt Valentine (Student Conduct and Discipline Manager) (Matthew.Valentine@manchester.ac.uk)

Amendment history

Version	Date	Reason for change
1.2	May 2021	Changes approved at the April 2021 meeting of SCDC:
		Paragraph 3.4, bullet point 3, amended to include academic or Professional Services member of staff.
		Penalty 1.8 amended. Where this stated "reference to " existing opportunity for resubmission or re-assessment", the word "existing" has been removed.
		One new penalty added: 2.10.
1.3	Sept 2021	Changes approved at the August 2021 meeting of SCDC.

Introduces remote hearings as the default format. Includes a change to 3.14 indicating the material that should be
shared with witnesses.
Change from 2 to 3 working days' deadline for students to submit statements to the UDP.

Appendix One

Penalties available to the UDP

Note: for further information about the application of penalties, please see the Guidance on Applying Student Discipline Penalties (http://documents.manchester.ac.uk/Doculnfo.aspx?DocID=42772).

1. Penalties for academic malpractice

- 1.1. A reprimand and warning about future behaviour.
- 1.2. A requirement upon the student to apologise for the misconduct to those who may have been affected by it.
- 1.3. A requirement for the student to undertake appropriate training related to the misconduct.
- 1.4. For any penalty available to be deferred and only imposed should any future breach of the Regulation occur.
- 1.5. The examining authority will be informed the mark for the piece of work or assessment should be reduced.
- 1.6. A recorded mark of zero for the examination paper or other assessed work in which unfair practice occurred. Should a re-assessment/resubmission opportunity be available then this will, if passed, be capped at the lowest compensatable pass mark.
- 1.7. A recorded mark of zero for the course unit(s) in which the unfair practice occurred. Should a re-assessment/resubmission opportunity be available it will, if passed, be capped at the lowest compensatable pass mark.
- 1.8. In conjunction with any other penalty, an opportunity for resubmission or reassessment shall only be permitted for the purpose of obtaining credit.
- 1.9. Not allowing the student an opportunity for re-assessment in, or resubmission for, the piece of work or course unit(s) in which the unfair practice occurred.
- 1.10. A recorded mark of zero for all examination papers and other assessed work taken during the examination period (e.g. end of first semester (January); end of second semester (May/June); resit (August/September)) in which unfair practice occurred.
- 1.11. A recorded mark of zero for all examination papers and other assessed work taken during the academic year.
- 1.12. Require the examining authority to reduce the class of degree by one or more classes from that which would have been awarded on the basis of the student's academic progress, or to award a lesser qualification.
- 1.13. The student being required to exit the University early following a final opportunity at assessment, in order to accumulate the credits, or meet the academic requirements, for a specified exit award.

- 1.14. A requirement that a student repeats a component, or components, of their studies, with or without attendance, in a subsequent academic year.
- 1.15. Exclusion from the University (or part thereof). This is a time-limited sanction which allows the student to remain a member of the University.
- 1.16. Expulsion from the University, which means the student shall have their registration terminated and will lose all rights and privileges of that registration and of any future relationship with the University.

2. Penalties for general misconduct

- 2.1. A reprimand and warning about future behaviour.
- 2.2. A requirement upon the student to give an undertaking as to their future good conduct within the University.
- 2.3. A requirement upon the student to apologise for the misconduct to those who may have been affected by it.
- 2.4. A requirement upon the student to remove any material (either physical or electronic) associated with the misconduct.
- 2.5. A requirement for the student to undertake appropriate training related to the misconduct.
- 2.6. A requirement upon the student to pay for any damage to property they may have caused or to make restitution to the University or another individual for any loss they may have suffered arising from the student's misconduct.
- 2.7. A requirement upon the student to undertake specified tasks or services for the benefit of the School or hall of residence or the University community up to a maximum of forty hours.
- 2.8. For any penalty available to be deferred and only imposed should any future breach of the Regulation occur.
- 2.9. A fine of not more than £1000.
- 2.10. A recommendation to the Head of Accommodation Administration Services to issue the student with a Notice to Terminate their accommodation Licence Agreement in University owned and leased Halls of Residence.
- 2.11. The student being required to exit the University early following a final opportunity at assessment, in order to accumulate the credits, or meet the academic requirements, for a specified exit award.
- 2.12. Exclusion from the University (or part thereof). This is a time-limited sanction which allows the student to remain a member of the University.
- 2.13. Expulsion from the University, which means the student shall have their registration terminated and will lose all rights and privileges of that registration and of any future relationship with the University.

Appendix Two

Assessing whether an Allegation of Misconduct should be referred to the University Disciplinary Panel ("UDP")

- Allegations of misconduct should first be brought to the attention of a member of staff's Authorised University Officer ("AUO"), who can then review the information available to determine whether the case can be handled by a Summary Disciplinary Panel ("SDP") or may warrant referral to the UDP.
- 2) The AUO will make their decision based on:
 - The nature of the misconduct and its impact;
 - The examples of offences listed in the Regulation and the examples given below:
 - The level of penalty that might be warranted;
 - The evidence of the alleged misconduct;
 - Any statement of the student and/or any mitigation known to be present in the case; and/or
 - Whether the student has committed an offence or offences in the past.
- 3) For UDP cases a Student Discipline Referral Form can be completed and sent to the Head of Campus Life ("**HoCL**"), who has responsibility for overseeing UDP hearings. On receipt of the Student Discipline Referral Form the HoCL will decide whether to:
 - refer the allegation of misconduct to the UDP for consideration;
 - refer the allegation of misconduct back to the AUO concerned, or to an alternative AUO, for summary action; or
 - recommend that formal disciplinary action should not take place or alternative processes are considered instead.
- 4) The following list of offences is indicative (but not exhaustive) of misconduct that may warrant consideration by the UDP:

Offences (with reference to	Examples of unacceptable behaviour
the paragraphs under the	
Regulation)	
Operational obstruction (2a and b)	 The student has acted in such a manner as to prevent the University from fulfilling its statutory or other responsibilities towards any student, member of staff or visitor to the University. Conduct leading to another person's sustained absence from work or study.
Disorderly behaviour (2c)	Persistent and aggressive communications with others, which may cause distress.
	Violent conduct:
	Injury is sustained by a third party
	Choking or strangulation
	, , , ,
	Slapping, punching or biting another person
	Sustained attack against one or more persons
	 Behaviour endangering others or self, e.g. throwing items out of windows, misuse of lifts.

Material distribution or publication (2d, k and l)	 Releasing material knowing it to be offensive, intimidating, threatening, indecent or illegal. Material that is intended to cause anxiety and distress to others. Material that has been, or has the potential to be, seen by a large audience. Material that promotes extremist views and/or could incite hatred.
Fraud and dishonesty (2e)	 Fraudulent production of University documentation. Fraudulent use of the University's name, logo or a University user account, or fraudulently claiming association with the University with the intention to deceive. Submission of fraudulent information to the University. Providing fraudulent or dishonest information during a disciplinary investigation.
Health and safety concerns (2f)	 Repetitive, significant and/or malicious interference with fire prevention or fire detection equipment Misuse of chemicals or equipment. Allowing the discharge of incendiary items in the vicinity of others. Possession of offensive weapons e.g. guns, knives etc.
Property concerns (2g)	 Intentional significant material damage to high value and/or essential property. Causing damage which presents serious risks to others e.g. breaking a window and failing to report it. Significant misuse of University funding e.g. using an accessible finance account to fund matters un-related to study or University business.
Reputational damage (2h)	 Negative reporting of an incident in multiple media outlets. The generation of multiple third party complaints. Persistent off-campus noise complaints.
Discrimination, harassment and victimisation (2i)	 Repeated harassment and/or bullying when already given a warning. Conduct that significantly interferes with the work or studies of any student, member of staff or authorised visitor to the University. Significant defamatory remarks about, or abuse of, individual(s) or the University via social media. Threatening violence against another. Conduct intentionally directed against another person because of a protected characteristic e.g. race, religion, gender.
Sexual misconduct (2j)	 Sexual contact without consent. Sharing private sexual images without consent. Inappropriately showing sexual organs to another person.

	Further examples are listed in the Sexual Misconduct Procedure.
Breach of Freedom of Speech (2k)	 Continuous suppression of freedom of thought and expression. (Beyond the articulation of points of view) incitement to riot, insurrection, racial hatred, religious hatred, sexual harassment or other activities (beyond the right of peaceful protest) which are likely to cause a breach of the peace or public disorder or otherwise to be unlawful. Holding controversial events where permission has already been reasonably refused.
Improper use of University premises, IT or property (2I)	 Intentional access and/or improper use of the personal data of other members, or former members, of the University. Wilfully introducing vulnerabilities to the IT network. Use of University facilities for illegal or immoral purposes.
Breaching other University policies and procedures (2m)	 Breaching of University rules and processes, which the student had been clearly notified of and breach of which has serious consequences on others.
Criminal offences (2n)	 Possession with intent to supply a controlled drug or psychoactive substance (category A, B or C) as listed under the Misuse of Drugs Act 1971 or as per the Psychoactive Substance Act 2016. Defrauding the University concerning something of large monetary value. Significant physical contact or interference with a member of the University or its community. Where the offence has created a serious risk to members of the University community.
Non-disclosure of a person's name (2o)	Where there have been multiple refusals to disclose the names of individuals in serious disciplinary cases despite reasonable requests to do so.
Submitting a vexatious complaint (2q)	 The submission of a complaint known to be false and which has resulted in serious adverse consequences on the respondent to the complaint. A sustained pattern of vexatious complaints.
Misconduct in research (2q)	 Students found guilty of misconduct in research following an investigation under the Code of Practice for Investigating Concerns about the Conduct of Research. Deliberate deviation from accepted research practice. Deception in the reporting of results.
Academic malpractice (2r)	 See the Academic Malpractice Procedure. When the malpractice content is considered to be substantial and takes place in a significant piece of work, usually in an advanced year of study at the University.
Failure to comply with a penalty imposed or	 Where a student has, without good reason, failed to comply with a previously imposed severe penalty e.g. the

instruction given through previous disciplinary action (2s)	 student has not shown any engagement with the voluntary services directed. Where the failure to comply has had a serious effect on others e.g. a student has continued to contact a named
	person when excluded from doing so.

A student has not respected the confidentiality provisions of the disciplinary process.