

Examination of Master of Philosophy (MPhil) Degrees Policy

May 2012

Research Office Graduate Education Team

CONTENTS

PART ONE: GENERAL INFORMATION

1.	Introduction	4
2.	The examination process	5
3.	The oral examination requirement	5
4.	Purposes of the oral examination	6
5.	Criteria for awarding Master of Philosophy (MPhil) degrees	6
6.	Examining an Alternative Format thesis	6

PART TWO: SETTING UP THE ORAL EXAMINATION

7.	Notice of submission	7
8.	Disability support	7
9.	The examining panel	8
10.	External examiners' fees and expenses	8
11.	Timeframe for organising and holding the oral examination	8
12.	Practical arrangements for the oral examination	9
13.	Attendance of the supervisor, other academic staff and other students at the oral examination	9

PART THREE: PROCEDURES PRIOR TO THE ORAL EXAMINATION

14.	Thesis submission	10
15.	The <i>Pre-Oral Examination Report Form</i>	11
16.	The pre-oral examination meeting	11
17.	Academic malpractice	12

PART FOUR: THE ORAL EXAMINATION

18.	Conduct of the oral examination	12
-----	---------------------------------	----

19.	Communicating the recommendation to the candidate	14
20.	The joint <i>Examiners' Report Form (MPhil)</i>	14
21.	Candidate access to examiner report forms	15
22.	Examiner disagreement over a recommendation	15

PART FIVE: EXAMINER RECOMMENDATIONS

23.	Recommendations for Master of Philosophy (MPhil) degrees – <u>first</u> examination	17
23.1	CATEGORY A: AWARD (recommendation A(i) and A(ii))	17
23.1.1	Award with no corrections (recommendation A(i))	17
23.1.2	Award subject to minor corrections (recommendation A(ii))	17
23.2	CATEGORY B: REFER FOR RE-EXAMINATION (recommendations B(i), B(ii) and B(iii))	17
23.3	CATEGORY C: REJECT	21
24.	Separate examiners' statement: only applicable to category B recommendations	21

PART FIVE: RESULTS AND GRADUATION

25.	Approval of recommendations	22
26.	The submission of the final thesis and release of the result	22
27.	Graduation	23
28.	Appeals	23

PART SIX: APPENDICES

29.	Appendix one: Faculty and central graduate office contact details	24
30.	Appendix two: Documents relating to postgraduate research degree examination	25

PART ONE: GENERAL INFORMATION

1. Introduction

- 1.1 The following policy relates to the examination of Master of Philosophy (MPhil) degrees at The University of Manchester and applies to full-time and part-time students.

A separate version of this policy document exists for the examination of the doctoral degrees, including Professional, Engineering and Enterprise Doctorate degrees, entitled; *Examination of Doctoral Degrees Policy*.

- 1.2 Examination procedure for the master's-level postgraduate research degrees of Master of Science (MSc) by Research and Master of Enterprise (MEnt) are detailed in the relevant individual Ordinances and Regulations for the degree (see <http://www.campus.manchester.ac.uk/researchoffice/graduate/ordinancesandregulations/#regs>).

Examiners for the Master of Science (MSc) by Research and Master of Enterprise (MEnt) should be appointed in accordance with the University's *Nomination of Examiners & Independent Chairs for Postgraduate Research Degree Examination Policy*.

- 1.3 This policy is intended for use by examiners, academic and administrative staff, and students of the degree of Master of Philosophy (MPhil).

- 1.4 Any deviation from this policy will only be considered in the most exceptional circumstances and must be agreed in writing with the candidate before the examination takes place. Enquiries should initially be directed to the appropriate graduate office in the School, and then to the Faculty graduate office and Faculty Associate Dean for Graduate Education, where appropriate. If necessary, cases may be referred to the Associate Vice-President for Graduate Education and/or the Graduate Education Group.

Enquiries to the Associate Vice-President for Graduate Education and/or the Graduate Education Group should be directed to the Graduate Education Team based in the University's Research Office. Contact details for the Graduate Education Team and Faculty graduate offices can be found in appendix one.

- 1.6 This document should be referred to along with the Master of Philosophy (MPhil) degree Ordinances and Regulations and other policies that comprise the Code of Practice for Postgraduate Research Degrees, of which this policy forms one section. See appendix two for a full list of University policy and guidance documents relating to the examination of postgraduate research degrees. The University's Code of Practice for

Postgraduate Research Degrees is available at
<http://www.campus.manchester.ac.uk/researchoffice/graduate/code/>.

2. The examination process

- 2.1 It is a requirement of the University that candidates for the degree of Master of Philosophy (MPhil) produce a thesis or other appropriate form of submitted material which embodies their research for examination at the end of the degree. In what follows the term 'thesis' includes other forms of submitted material except where stated.
- 2.2 The examination of a Master of Philosophy (MPhil) degree thesis normally involves two parts: firstly, the submission and preliminary assessment of the thesis, normally by one internal and one external examiner; and secondly, the defence of the thesis by the candidate at an oral examination with the same examiners.
- 2.3 The terms *oral examination* and *viva voce* both refer to the method of examining Master of Philosophy (MPhil) degrees and are interchangeable. For the purposes of this policy, the term *oral examination* is used.

3. The oral examination requirement

- 3.1 At first submission of a Master of Philosophy (MPhil) thesis, examiners may waive the requirement for the candidate to attend an oral examination if the recommendation is to award the degree and both/all examiners are in agreement.
- 3.2 Examiners are not permitted to fail or refer a thesis on grounds that are not raised with the candidate in the oral examination. In cases where examiners have identified serious flaws in the thesis, the candidate must be given an opportunity to defend their work at an oral examination.
- 3.3 In the case of resubmitted theses for the Master of Philosophy (MPhil) degree, examiners may waive the requirement to hold an oral examination if the recommendation is to award the degree and both/all examiners are in agreement.
- 3.4 Only one opportunity to resubmit for re-examination is permitted for Master of Philosophy (MPhil) degrees.
- 3.5 The oral examination should be conducted in English.
- 3.6 In addition to an oral examination, candidates may be required by the examiners to sit a written or other examination which may, for example, test or examine the candidate's competence in the subject. The candidate may only be examined upon material that is formally required for the degree for which he/she is being examined. Examiners may not take into account anything that is not a formal requirement of the degree.

4. Purposes of the oral examination

4.1 The purposes of the oral examination are:

- i. To enable the examiners to assure themselves that the thesis and the research it reports are the candidate's own work.
- ii. To give the candidate an opportunity to defend the thesis, clarify any obscurities that the examiners have identified and discuss the subject of the thesis in its disciplinary and/or interdisciplinary context.
- iii. To enable the candidate to demonstrate a firm understanding of the field of research and thus give the examiners an opportunity to assess the candidate's broader knowledge of the field or discipline within which the thesis falls.

5. Criteria for awarding the Master of Philosophy (MPhil) degree

5.1 Examiners must be satisfied that the degree criteria have been met before recommending an award. The criteria for the Master of Philosophy (MPhil) are detailed in section 1A of the degree Ordinances, as follows:

"The Degree of Master of Philosophy (MPhil) is awarded by the University in recognition of the successful completion of a period of supervised research and training, the results of which show convincing evidence of the capacity of the candidate to pursue research and scholarship and represent original work that is appropriately located by the candidate within a wider field of knowledge and investigation. The results of this research shall then be embodied in a thesis or other appropriate form."

5.2 In accordance with the Regulations, theses submitted for the degree of Master of Philosophy (MPhil) must not normally exceed 50,000 words of main text, including footnotes and endnotes. Prior permission is required for submission of a thesis longer than prescribed.

6. Examining an Alternative Format thesis

6.1 An Alternative Format thesis allows a Master of Philosophy (MPhil) candidate to incorporate sections that are in a format suitable for submission for publication in a peer-reviewed journal. Apart from the inclusion of such materials, the thesis must conform to the same standards expected for a standard thesis and examiners must be satisfied that the degree criteria has been met before recommending an award (see section 5). Further details on Alternative Format can be found in the University's *Presentation of Theses Policy*.

PART TWO: SETTING UP THE ORAL EXAMINATION

7. Notice of submission

- 7.1 Candidates must complete a *Notice of Submission Form*, available from the appropriate School or Faculty graduate office, giving at least six weeks up to a maximum of six months notice of their intention to submit their thesis to enable preparations for the oral examination to be made by the appropriate School or Faculty graduate office. On receipt of the completed *Notice of Submission Form*, the graduate office will arrange with the candidate's supervisor that examiners are nominated in accordance with the criteria stipulated in the University's *Nomination of Examiners & Independent Chairs for Postgraduate Research Degree Examinations Policy*.
- 7.2 The candidate should seek the advice of the supervisor when s/he feels that the thesis is nearing a standard suitable for submission and, therefore, when to give notice of submission and the appropriate period of notice to give. The supervisor's opinion is only advisory and the candidate may decide when to submit and if to follow the advice of the supervisor. Equally, the agreement of the supervisor to the submission of a thesis does not guarantee the award of the degree.

Where the supervisor advises a candidate against submitting their thesis, it is recommended that the supervisor gives written confirmation of this to the candidate with the reasons for advising against submission. The supervisor should keep a copy of the communication in the candidate's file.

8. Disability support

- 8.1 The University has responsibilities under the Disability Discrimination Act to make reasonable adjustments to its examination arrangements to ensure that candidates with additional support needs are not disadvantaged for reasons relating to a long-term medical condition, sensory impairment, specific learning difficulty and/or disability.
- 8.2 Candidates are required to inform the appropriate School or Faculty graduate office if there are any particular arrangements or adjustments that need to be made to enable their full participation in the oral examination. This should be done no later than the notice of submission stage of the examination process (see section 7). Further advice and support is available from the University's Disability Support Office at <http://www.staffnet.manchester.ac.uk/personalsupport/disability/>

9. The examining panel

- 9.1 The examining panel for a Master of Philosophy (MPhil) degree must comprise at least an internal examiner and an external examiner, except in the circumstances outlined in the University's *Nomination of Examiners & Independent Chairs for Postgraduate Research Degree Examinations Policy*.
- 9.2 An independent chair may also be present under the circumstances detailed in *Nomination of Examiners & Independent Chairs for Postgraduate Research Degree Examinations Policy*.

10. External examiners' fees and expenses

- 10.1 Fee levels for external examiners are determined by the University from year to year and are specified in the examiners' appointment offer letter. Fees are normally paid on receipt of examiners reports at the appropriate School or Faculty graduate office.
- 10.2 Expenses may be claimed using the appropriate form available from the appropriate School or Faculty graduate office, which should be completed and returned as soon as possible after the oral examination. Expenses must be claimed within two months of the expenditure being incurred.
- 10.3 Enquiries about examiner fees and expenses should be directed to the appropriate School or Faculty graduate office in the first instance.

11. Timeframe for organising and holding the oral examination

- 11.1 The oral examination must take place without undue delay, normally within eight weeks of the candidate submitting their thesis to the appropriate School or Faculty graduate office, and in no case beyond 12 weeks.
- 11.2 Candidates must be available to attend the oral examination from the time that the thesis is submitted. Candidates may only delay their oral examination in very exceptional circumstances and must apply to the appropriate School or Faculty graduate office for permission.
- 11.3 The appropriate School or Faculty graduate office is responsible for informing the candidate in writing of the date, time and location of the oral examination **not less than ten working days** before the examination.

12. Practical arrangements for the oral examination

- 12.1 The internal examiner is normally responsible for making the practical arrangements for the oral examination and for notifying the appropriate School or Faculty graduate office of the date, time and location of the oral examination so that the candidate and the external examiner can be informed of the arrangements in writing no less than 10 working days in advance (see section 11.3).
- 12.2 The internal examiner is expected to liaise with the external examiner to arrange the date and time of the examination. If necessary, the internal examiner may be required to make practical arrangements for the external examiner's visit to Manchester. The internal examiner should normally be required to act as host during the external examiner's visit to the University.
- 12.3 In the absence of an internal examiner, an appropriate person must be nominated to make the arrangements for the oral examination and to act as host to the external examiner.

13. Attendance of the supervisor, other academic staff and other students at the oral examination

- 13.1 Postgraduate oral examinations are open to members of University staff, including the candidate's supervisor, and other postgraduate research students of the University. The candidate, however, has the right to exclude particular individuals if they feel their presence will be detrimental to their performance in the examination.
- 13.2 Any individuals attending the examination other than the candidate, examiners and, where applicable, independent chair, should under no circumstances participate in the examination. If required by the examiners, the supervisor may answer any questions put to him/her by the examiners, but at all other times the supervisor must act as a silent observer.
- 13.3 The internal examiner or independent chair is responsible for ensuring that all attendees, other than the candidate, examiners and independent chair, give an undertaking in writing to maintain confidentiality in respect of the subject matter of the oral examination before the oral examination begins.
- 13.4 Former supervisors of the candidate who are no longer employed at the University may only attend the oral examination with approval from the appropriate School or Faculty.
- 13.5 The examiners and/or the independent chair have the right to exclude from the examination anyone they believe may jeopardise the smooth running or integrity of the oral examination.

- 13.6 The candidate and/or examining panel should give sufficient notice to the appropriate School or Faculty graduate office if it is expected that other individuals will attend the examination to allow any objections to be raised in sufficient time and to enable the graduate office to make arrangements such as the booking a suitable venue.

PART THREE: PROCEDURES PRIOR TO THE ORAL EXAMINATION

14. Thesis submission

- 14.1 Theses must be presented in accordance with the instructions set out in the University's *Presentation of Theses Policy*.

- 14.2 The thesis must be submitted electronically in Portable Document Format (PDF) to the *Manchester eScholar* institutional electronic theses and dissertations repository via the student portal of the University website.

In addition, candidates are required to submit two identical paper copies of the thesis printed from the submitted electronic version. Both of these copies must be bound in accordance with the instructions set out in the University's *Presentation of Theses Policy*. The two bound copies of the thesis must then be submitted to the appropriate School or Faculty graduate office.

- 14.3 The appropriate School or Faculty graduate office will arrange for the thesis to be forwarded to each examiner for assessment as soon as the thesis is received from the candidate, along with the following documents:

- i. *Pre-Oral Examination Report Form*. To be completed by each examiner before the oral examination. See section 15.
- ii. *Examiners' Report Form (MPhil)*. To be completed jointly by both examiners after the oral examination. See section 20.
- iii. *Examination of Master of Philosophy (MPhil) Degrees Policy*
- iv. Expenses claim form (external examiners only)

These documents will be accompanied by a covering letter containing instructions for completing and returning forms.

- 14.4 Examiners should not normally take longer than eight weeks to read and assess the thesis and write their pre-oral examination reports.
- 14.5 If the thesis submitted for examination has been poorly written or presented, examiners are not permitted to return the thesis to the candidate for amendment after the thesis has been formally submitted.
- 14.6 If the thesis is submitted at the end of the candidate's registration period and registration subsequently expires, candidates will be unable to get

normal access to library and computer facilities. If candidates wish to have continued access to these facilities for the short time leading up to the oral examination, they must contact the appropriate School or Faculty graduate office who will arrange short-term temporary access to facilities.

15. The Pre-Oral Examination Report Form

15.1 Examiners must each complete a separate *Pre-Oral Examination Report Form* after reading the thesis and before discussing the thesis with each other.

The *Pre-Oral Examination Report Form* allows examiners to:

- i. clarify their preliminary judgement on the thesis for discussion with the co-examiner at the pre-oral examination meeting;
- ii. identify priorities and points for discussion at the oral examination;
- iii. identify corrections required, thereby saving time after the oral examination (even if corrections change as a result of the candidate's performance or the views of the other examiner);
- iv. identify issues which may need to be discussed with the supervisor or may need to be reported to the appropriate School or Faculty graduate office.

15.2 The examiners must exchange copies of their pre-oral examination reports either shortly prior to or at the pre oral examination meeting. The completed *Pre-Oral Examination Report Form* must be returned to the appropriate School or Faculty graduate office with the joint *Examiners' Report Form (MPhil)* (see section 20) after the oral examination.

15.3 Candidates are entitled to see the completed *Pre-Oral Examination Report Form* and the joint *Examiners' Report Form (MPhil)* (see section 20) once the recommendation has been approved by the appropriate School or Faculty postgraduate research degrees panel (see section 25).

16. The pre oral examination meeting

16.1 Prior to the oral examination, the examiners must arrange to confer with one another, in order to:

- i. exchange copies of their *Pre-Oral Examination Report Form* (if this has not already been done);
- ii. identify issues to be raised in the oral examination;
- iii. agree the broad strategy for the oral examination – who will ask which questions and in what order;
- iv. confer with the supervisor, if required.

- 16.2 The internal examiner (or other nominated person in the absence of an internal examiner) is responsible for making the practical arrangements for the pre-oral examination meeting.
- 16.3 Sufficient time should be allocated for the meeting and the internal examiner or nominated person must arrange the attendance of the supervisor, if required.
- 16.4 If the examiners and, if applicable, the independent chair and supervisor/s are unable to meet in person for the pre oral examination meeting, the meeting may be conducted by telephone or by other appropriate means (eg, video link).

17. Academic malpractice

- 17.1 Examiners who suspect the candidate has committed academic malpractice should contact the appropriate School or Faculty graduate office in the first instance to seek advice (see appendix one for contact details). Guidance on dealing with academic malpractice can be found in the University guidelines: *Academic Malpractice: Guidelines on the Handling of Cases*:
<http://www.staffnet.manchester.ac.uk/policies/display/?id=117138&off=RegSec->SSS> .

PART FOUR: THE ORAL EXAMINATION

18. Conduct of the oral examination

The following rules governing the conduct of the oral examination must be adhered to:

- i. Those present at the oral examination shall be the candidate and the examiners, and if required, an independent chair. If supervisors, academic staff or other postgraduate students attend, they must not participate in the examination (see section 13).
- ii. An oral examination may not proceed without all the appointed examiners being present. In the event of an examiner's or the candidate's unexpected illness or other unforeseen event, the examination must be postponed to another date and the appropriate School or Faculty graduate office informed.
- iii. The supervisor should inform the examiners of any exceptional circumstance, which in his/her view might affect the candidate's performance adversely prior to the oral examination starting.

- iv. The oral examination must take place in a room occupied by The University of Manchester and must start at a time when buildings are officially open. In very exceptional circumstances, the oral examination may be held outside The University of Manchester with the permission of the Faculty Associate Dean for Graduate Education. In such cases, the examiners must ensure that the supervisor can be contacted, if required, on the day of the oral examination. Any additional costs associated with holding the oral examination outside of the University must be met by the appropriate School or Faculty.
- v. The oral examination must take place in a quiet, suitable room and without interruption.
- vi. Candidates may take a copy of their thesis into the oral examination and may refer to it, if necessary. If required, the candidate may also take a reasonable number of supplementary notes into the oral examination.
- vii. The candidate should be made to feel at ease and the form that the examination will take, as already agreed by the examiners, must be explained to him/her at the beginning of the examination.
- viii. It is essential that no one indicates to the candidate, either before or during the oral examination, what is the likely outcome of the examination. The examiners and the independent chair (if in attendance) must ensure that any conflict of opinion that may arise during the examination will not lead to any indication of the likely outcome of the examination.
- ix. The examiners and the independent chair (if in attendance) are responsible for the conduct of the examination. It is their responsibility to see that the oral examination is fairly and properly conducted.
- x. The examiners will each contribute to the examination process but the external examiner normally takes the lead role.
- xi. Whilst some intensive questioning of the candidate may be needed, it must be non-aggressive.
- xii. Reasonable allowances must be made for candidates with disabilities (see section 8).
- xiii. The examiners may discuss ways of developing the candidate's research and writing beyond the requirements of a Master of Philosophy (MPhil) degree, but the candidate must be informed explicitly that these discussions are not part of the assessment.
- xiv. The examiners may request to see evidence of the candidate's attendance at events related to their research, (eg; seminars,

conferences and taught course units) where the regulations of the degree under examination require such components to be completed.

- xv. The oral examination should run for as long as may be necessary for it to serve its proper purpose. The internal examiner or independent chair must give an opportunity for a break if the oral examination is anticipated to last more than two hours, provided that this does not disadvantage the candidate.
- xvi. If the supervisor does not attend the oral examination, he/she must be available to provide any clarification requested by the examiners (before, during and after the examination).
- xvii. The supervisor and any others present may be asked to withdraw before the candidate, so as to provide the candidate with an opportunity to say anything to the examiners that he/she would prefer to say without the supervisor and others being present.
- xviii. The candidate will be asked to withdraw before the examiners begin their final deliberations. The independent chair may be present at the deliberations.

19. Communicating the recommendation to the candidate

- 17.1 When the examiners have made their decision, they may communicate it to the candidate, making it clear that their recommendation is provisional, until approved by the appropriate School or Faculty postgraduate research degrees panel. If they decide not to tell the candidate the outcome, and to avoid any possible misunderstanding, the candidate must, at the end of the oral examination, be given a clear indication of the procedure by which he/she will be notified of the outcome and the likely timescale. Examiners should not feel under any obligation to communicate their provisional recommendation to the candidate or supervisor at this stage.

20. The joint Examiners' Report Form (MPhil)

- 20.1 After the oral examination, the examiners must agree upon a final joint report to be written on the *Examiners' Report Form (MPhil)* giving their recommendation on the outcome of the examination and their comments on the thesis and candidate's performance at the oral examination. It is essential that examiners refer to this policy when completing the report form.
- 20.2 Examiners' responses provided on the form must be typed wherever possible. If this is not possible, responses must be written clearly and legibly. The report provides important feedback to the candidate on their

thesis and their performance at the oral examination and it is essential that responses are detailed, clear and legible.

- 20.3 Forms should be made available to examiners electronically. All reports must be completed as fully as possible and signed by both/all examiners and, where applicable, the independent chair before they are returned to the appropriate School or Faculty graduate office with the examiners' copies of the thesis.
- 20.4 In exceptional circumstances, examiners may submit separate reports with the permission of the appropriate School or Faculty graduate office. Examiners who are unable to agree on a recommendation must submit separate reports (see section 22).
- 20.5 The University issues two distinct versions of the report form for Master of Philosophy (MPhil) examination; one for first submission and one for resubmission, and examiners must ensure they are using the correct version. The *Examiners' Report Form (MPhil)* is sent, together with the *Pre-Oral Examination Form* and other documents, from the appropriate School or Faculty graduate office when the thesis is first sent to the examiners.
- 20.6 The completed *Examiners' Report Form (MPhil)* must be submitted to the appropriate School or Faculty graduate office within **three working days** of the oral examination, regardless of which recommendation the examiners have made. If examiners require more than three working days to complete the form, they must inform the appropriate School or Faculty graduate office within three working days after the oral examination.
- 20.7 Where an oral examination is not required (ie; where examiners agree to award the MPhil degree and have therefore deemed that the oral examination is not required (see section 3.1) or for a resubmission where a further oral examination is not a requirement (see section 3.3)), the completed *Examiners Report Form (MPhil)* must be returned to the appropriate School or Faculty graduate office within eight weeks of the thesis first being sent to the examiners.

21. Candidate access to examiner report forms

- 21.1 Candidates are entitled to see all examiner reports (ie the *Pre-Oral Examination Report Form* and the joint *Examiners' Report Form (MPhil)*) once the recommendation has been approved by the appropriate School or Faculty postgraduate research degrees panel.
- 21.2 To obtain copies of examiner reports, the candidate must submit a request in writing to the appropriate School or Faculty graduate office after the recommendation has been formally approved.

22. Examiner disagreement over a recommendation

22.1 If, after their deliberations, examiners remain unable to reach an agreement on a recommendation following the oral examination, the procedure detailed below must be followed. An oral examination must take place before the process of reaching an agreed recommendation can be deemed to have failed.

- i. At the end of the oral examination, the candidate should be informed that the examiners have been unable to reach a decision and that separate reports will be completed and considered by the appropriate School or Faculty postgraduate research degrees panel.
- ii. Each examiner must complete a separate *Examiners' Report Form (MPhil)* (see section 20) giving justification for their recommendation. The forms should be submitted to the appropriate School or Faculty graduate office within three working days of the oral examination.
- iii. The internal examiner will be invited to the next meeting of the appropriate School or Faculty postgraduate research degrees panel to discuss the reports and to see if a recommendation can be agreed. Before the meeting, the external examiner should be contacted in order to obtain his/her views on the case. If necessary, the chair of the appropriate School or Faculty postgraduate research degrees panel may seek advice on the case from the Faculty Associate Dean for Graduate Education or the Associate Vice-President for Graduate Education.
- iv. If agreement is not reached, the appropriate School or Faculty postgraduate research degrees panel may, at its discretion, appoint one or more new external examiners or may determine other action where appropriate. Additional examiners must not be told the identity of the original examiners nor their specific recommendations.

22.2 Examiners may consult with staff in the appropriate School or Faculty graduate office in the first instance on the day of the oral examination for further advice if necessary (see appendix one for contact details). Graduate office staff may refer any problems or concerns to the Faculty Associate Dean for Graduate Education.

PART FIVE: EXAMINER RECOMMENDATIONS

23. Recommendations for the degree of Master of Philosophy (MPhil) – first examination

NB: regardless of which recommendation is selected, examiners are required to jointly complete an *Examiners' Report Form (MPhil)* and submit the form to the appropriate School or Faculty graduate office within **three working days** of the oral examination having taken place (see section 20 for further information on the *Examiners' Report Form (MPhil)*).

There are three categories of recommendations for Master of Philosophy (MPhil) degrees: 'A' (award), 'B' (refer) and 'C' (reject). Within each category, examiners must select a sub-recommendation, as follows.

23.1 CATEGORY A: AWARD (recommendation A(i) and A(ii))

23.1.1 Award with no corrections (recommendation Ai)

The examiners should select recommendation A(i) if the thesis is satisfactory in every way and there are no corrections to be made to it.

Recommendation A(ii) should be selected if some minor corrections are necessary to the thesis, as detailed in section 23.1.2.

The examiners may recommend the award if they are satisfied that the thesis is satisfactory in every way and that:

- the candidate possesses an appropriate knowledge of the particular field of learning within which the subject of the thesis falls;
- the results of the research show evidence of the capacity of the candidate to pursue research and scholarship and represent original work;
- the thesis is presented in a lucid and scholarly manner;
- the thesis has been submitted in the form prescribed by University regulations and policy;
- no part of the thesis has previously been submitted for the award of a degree at this or any other University;
- the thesis and the work reported in it are the candidate's own.

23.1.2 Award subject to minor corrections (recommendation A(ii))

Recommendation A(ii) should be selected if the examiners are satisfied that the thesis meets the criteria for the degree (see section 23.1.1 above) but some minor corrections are necessary to the thesis. The corrections, in the view of the examiners, and taking into account the guidance given below, should not be sufficiently serious to merit a recommendation for resubmission and re-examination under Category B.

Minor corrections permissible under box A(ii) include:

- typographical errors; however, if the errors, although trivial individually, are so numerous as to suggest carelessness on the part of the candidate or so intrusive as to distract the reader's attention from the argument of the thesis, the examiners would be fully justified in making a recommendation under Category B rather than box A(ii);
- minor amendments and/or replacement of, or additions to, the text or to references or diagrams;
- other more extensive corrections may be made as long as they do not require significant (as defined by the examiners) re-working or re-interpretation of the intellectual content of the thesis.

If more substantial corrections are required, the examiners should tick one of the recommendations under Category B.

A list of corrections must be provided by examiners on section four of the *Examiners' Report Form (MPhil)* for the benefit of the candidate. Once carried out by the candidate, the corrections must be approved by the internal examiner without the need for a further oral examination.

The time permitted for minor corrections to be completed by the candidate and approved by the internal examiner is **normally no more than four weeks**, but exceptionally no more than twelve weeks, from the date the candidate receives the list of corrections from the appropriate School or Faculty graduate office. The graduate office must receive written notification that the minor corrections have been approved by the internal examiner within this timeframe.

In examinations where there is no internal examiner, the independent chair must ensure that minor corrections are approved by an external examiner or other person nominated by the School.

The examiners' decision to recommend an A(ii) should be made on the grounds that the thesis will NOT require a further examination. The decision whether to recommend an A(ii) as opposed to a B(i) should not be determined by the candidate's personal circumstances and whether s/he will be able to correct the thesis within the four-week timeframe.

The candidate is expected to be available in the period after the oral examination to complete minor corrections as part of their responsibilities in the examination of their degree. In very exceptional circumstances, the candidate may apply to the appropriate School or Faculty graduate office for permission to submit the corrected thesis later than within the four-week timeframe. Candidates who submit their corrected thesis late without prior permission may be subject to a late submission fee by the School or Faculty.

Candidates and examiners should refer to the appropriate School or Faculty graduate office if further guidance on minor corrections is required (see appendix one for contact details).

23.2 CATEGORY B: REFER FOR RE-EXAMINATION (recommendations B(i), B(ii) and B(iii))

Referral under recommendation B requires the candidate to resubmit the thesis for re-examination. A candidate will be permitted to resubmit on only one occasion. See the University's *Resubmission and Re-examination of Postgraduate Research Degrees Policy* for details of resubmission and re-examination.

Examiners are required to make one of the following recommendations under category B:

- **B (i)** that the thesis is satisfactory in substance, but defective in presentation or detail and does not require a further oral examination;
- **B (ii)** that the thesis is satisfactory in substance, but defective in presentation or detail and requires a further oral examination;
- **B (iii)** that the thesis is unsatisfactory in substance, defective in presentation or detail and requires further research and a further oral examination.

If examiners recommend that the candidate will require a further oral examination upon resubmission of the thesis (recommendations B(ii) and B(iii)), the examiners may later, if in joint agreement and if their recommendation is to award the degree, dispense with the oral examination after assessment of the resubmitted thesis. Examiners must inform the appropriate School or Faculty graduate office staff as soon as possible if they wish to dispense with the oral examination so that the candidate can be informed.

For category B recommendations, examiners must also submit a statement (see section 24) detailing the required corrections in addition to the joint *Examiners' Report Form (MPhil)*.

For recommendations **B(i) and B(ii)**, the candidate is normally required to revise and resubmit the thesis for the doctoral degree **within six months** of receiving the examiners' statement detailing the required corrections (see section 24) from the appropriate School or Faculty graduate office. Where there are extenuating circumstances, examiners may make a recommendation to extend that period so that the candidate is required to revise and resubmit the thesis for the doctoral degree within one year of receiving the examiners' statement detailing the required corrections from the appropriate School or Faculty graduate office.

For recommendation **B(iii)**, the candidate is required to revise and resubmit the thesis for the Master of Philosophy (MPhil) degree **within one year** of receiving the examiners' statement detailing the required

corrections (see section 24) from the appropriate School or Faculty graduate office.

23.3 CATEGORY C: REJECT

Where examiners are not satisfied that the thesis and oral examination have met the standards required, and have not found evidence that the thesis could be corrected under category A or B, they may recommend category C; reject.

For recommendation C, examiners must justify their decision not recommend the MPhil in the *Examiners' Report Form (MPhil)*. When the recommendation of the examiners is not to make an award, the internal examiner will normally be invited to the next meeting of the appropriate School or Faculty postgraduate research degrees panel to assist in its consideration of the case and to answer any questions.

24. Separate examiners' statement: only applicable for category B recommendations

Where examiners reach a decision to give a category B recommendation, examiners must forward a written statement separate from the *Examiners' Report Form (MPhil)* to the appropriate School or Faculty graduate office. This will then be provided to the candidate. Examiners should give sufficient detail of the defects of the original submission and recommend ways in which the thesis should be corrected in order to make a satisfactory revision of the thesis. The statement must be suitable to form the basis of the subsequent re-examination.

The statement should specify to the candidate changes that need to be made to the thesis before resubmission, although the statement does not need to descend to the level of specifying every correction to spelling, grammar, etc, where these are numerous, and can state requirements in general terms, where appropriate. The statement should not be embodied in the *Examiners' Report Form* and should be in a form suitable for communication to the candidate.

The examiners must agree this statement and ensure it reaches the appropriate School or Faculty graduate office within **three working days** of the examination. The graduate office will forward this statement to the candidate with the official written notice of the recommendation once it has been confirmed by the appropriate School or Faculty postgraduate research degrees panel.

PART SIX: RESULTS AND GRADUATION

25. Approval of recommendations

- 25.1 The result recommended by examiners is provisional until approved by the appropriate School or Faculty postgraduate research degrees panel. The decision to award a Master of Philosophy (MPhil) degree is made on the basis of the examiners' reports and recommendation. A School or Faculty postgraduate research degrees panel will normally consist of a chair who is a senior academic member of staff, senior academic staff from each School/discipline within that particular School/Faculty and graduate office administrators.
- 25.2 A Master of Philosophy (MPhil) degree recommendation will be approved either at a meeting of the appropriate School or Faculty postgraduate research degrees panel or just by the chair of the panel (ie; 'chair's action'). If a recommendation is straightforward and there are no issues of concern, a recommendation will normally be approved by chair's action, and reported to members at the next appropriate School or Faculty postgraduate research degrees panel meeting. The recommendations of category B (iii) (referral with further research and a further oral examination) and category C (reject), will normally be considered at the next meeting of the appropriate School or Faculty postgraduate research degrees panel, where members will discuss individual cases and review examiners' reports. The internal examiner will normally be invited to attend this meeting and in some cases the supervisor may be required to attend. It may also be appropriate to seek further comments, for clarity, from the external examiner.

26. Submission of the final thesis and publication of the result

- 26.1 Once the examiners' reports have been received and the recommendation has been approved either by chair's action or by the appropriate School or Faculty postgraduate research degrees panel, the appropriate School or Faculty graduate office is able to release the approved recommendation to the candidate.
- 26.2 In the case of an A(ii) recommendation (award with minor corrections), the appropriate School or Faculty graduate office must have received written notification from the internal examiner that minor corrections have been satisfactorily completed by the candidate before the recommendation can be approved and released to the candidate.
- 26.3 Results will not be formally published until the candidate has submitted an electronic copy AND two hard-bound copies of the final and, where appropriate, corrected thesis, as follows:
- i. Firstly, the final thesis must be submitted electronically in Portable Document Format (PDF) to the *Manchester eScholar*

institutional electronic theses and dissertations repository via the student portal of the University website.

- ii. Immediately following electronic submission, two identical copies of the thesis must be printed from the submitted electronic version. Both of these copies must be **hard-bound** in accordance with the instructions set out the *Presentation of Theses Policy*. Candidates must submit the hard-bound copies of their thesis to the appropriate School or Faculty graduate office within **ten working days** of the release of the approved recommendation from the graduate office.

26.4 A School or Faculty may charge the late submission fee to candidates who submit the electronic and hard-bound copies of their thesis after ten working days of the release of the approved recommendation from the graduate office unless the candidate has obtained prior permission to submit late.

26.5 Any delay in the submission of the electronic copy and hard-bound copies of the final thesis will delay formal publication of the result (ie completion of the candidate's record on the student system), which will in turn delay the release of the degree certificate and graduation.

27. Graduation

27.1 Graduation ceremonies are organised by the Student Services Centre and take place twice a year, in July and December. Degree certificates are issued at the graduation ceremony. Candidates who are unable to attend the graduation ceremony may request that the Student Services Centre post the certificate. The Student Services Centre will automatically post certificates to any candidates who do not attend the ceremony after the ceremony has taken place.

27.2 Candidates who do not received their certificate or who have lost their certificate, may request a replacement from the Student Services Centre.

27.3 A degree will not be conferred upon any person who has a debt outstanding to the University. Candidates with debt outstanding to the University should contact the appropriate School or Faculty graduate office in the first instance to arrange prompt payment.

28. Appeals

Appeals may be made only on specific grounds as detailed in the University's policy on Academic Appeals, available from the appropriate School or Faculty graduate office or at <http://www.staffnet.manchester.ac.uk/policies/display/index.htm?id=101916&off=RegSec->AcaReg->SSS>

29. APPENDIX 1: Faculty and central graduate office contact details

NB: queries should be directed to the appropriate School Office within the relevant Faculty in the first instance. For School Graduate Office contact details, contact the Faculty Graduate Office or consult the University website:

<http://www.manchester.ac.uk/aboutus/structure/academic/>

Faculty of Engineering and Physical Sciences

Graduate Administrator
Faculty of Engineering and Physical Sciences
C4, Sackville Street Building
The University of Manchester Sackville Street
Manchester M60 1QD
Tel 0161 306 9191

Faculty of Humanities

Senior Postgraduate Administrator
Faculty of Humanities
Devonshire House
The University of Manchester
Oxford Road
Manchester M13 9PL
Tel: 0161 306 1114/0161 275 0287

Faculty of Life Sciences

Head of Postgraduate Research Services
Faculty of Life Sciences
1.21 Simon Building
Brunswick Street
The University of Manchester
Oxford Road
Manchester M13 9PL
Tel: 0161 275 5444

Faculty of Medical and Human Sciences

Graduate Education Manager
Faculty of Medical and Human Sciences
3rd Floor, Simon Building
Brunswick Street
The University of Manchester
Oxford Road
Manchester M13 9PL
Tel: 0161 275 5024

Central Graduate Office

Graduate Education Team
Research Office
The University of Manchester
2nd Floor, Christie Building
Oxford Road
Manchester M13 9PL
Tel: 0161 275 8790

30. APPENDIX 2: Documents relating to postgraduate research degree examination

FORMS

<i>Notice of Submission Form</i>	To be completed by: Candidate (& signed by supervisor)
<i>Nomination of Examiners Form</i>	Supervisor
<i>Pre-Oral Examination Form</i>	Each examiner
<i>Joint Examiners Report Form</i>	Jointly by both/all examiners

Forms are available from the appropriate School or Faculty graduate office.

POLICY & GUIDANCE

- *Presentation of Theses Policy*
- *Academic Malpractice: Guidelines on the Handling of Cases*
- *Nomination of Examiners & Independent Chairs for Postgraduate Research Degree Examinations Policy*
- *Examination of Doctoral Degrees Policy*
- *Examination of Master of Philosophy (MPhil) Degrees Policy*
- *Resubmission and Re-examination of Postgraduate Research Degrees Policy*
- *Conducting Oral Examinations by Video Link Policy*
- *Posthumous Award of Postgraduate Research Degrees Policy*

Policy/guidance is available from the appropriate School or Faculty graduate office or from the central graduate education web pages at <http://www.campus.manchester.ac.uk/researchoffice/graduate/>

**Examination of Master of Philosophy (MPhil) Degrees Policy
May 2012**

Document control box	
Policy / Procedure title:	Examination of Master of Philosophy (MPhil) Degrees Policy
Date approved:	November 2011
Approving body:	MDC (changes reported to Senate)
Version:	November 2011
Supersedes:	September 2009
Previous review dates:	
Next review date:	
Related Statutes, Ordinances, General Regulations:	
Equality relevance outcome: High / Medium (delete as applicable)	
Related policies: N/A	
Related procedures:	
Related guidance and or codes of practice:	Code of Practice for Postgraduate Research Degrees (http://www.campus.manchester.ac.uk/researchoffice/graduate/code/)
Related information: (list or state N/A)	
Policy owner:	Graduate Education (Helen Baker)
Lead contact:	Graduate Education (Helen Baker)