# SCHOOL OF ARTS, LANGUAGES AND CULTURES

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ***Student-Led Seminar Discussion Feedback Sheet*** | | |  | | | | |
| Student |  | Student | |  | Student |  |
| Course |  | Date | |  | Mark |  |

*The ticked categories do not represent exact marks and are purely indicative. Remember that the internally agreed mark is provisional and subject to moderation.*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *Indicators of poor quality* | *0-*  *29* | *30-*  *39* | *40-*  *49* | *50-*  *54* | *55-*  *59* | *60-*  *64* | *65-*  *69* | *70-*  *79* | *80-*  *89* | *90-100* | *Indicators of high quality* |
| Did not address the question set |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Addressed the question set explicitly and directly |
| Gave unclear or confusing directions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Gave clear, easy-to-follow instructions |
| Were not able to keep discussion going |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Kept discussion going very well |
| Did not use supporting materials effectively |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Used supporting materials very effectively |
| Did not show evidence of teamwork |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Worked very effectively as a team |
| **Comments:** | | | | | | | | | | | |