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## Foreword

Equality and diversity are central to our Social Responsibility agenda. Diversity is a huge strength of our institution and a source of great pride. However, we acknowledge there is still much to do to ensure we tackle all forms of discrimination and guarantee all staff and students are given opportunities to thrive. We have made progress - notably by every eligible School achieving an Athena SWAN award which recognises commitment to the career advancement of women; the Race Equality Charter Mark award which aims to improve the representation, progression and success of minority ethnic staff and students within higher education; and recognition from Stonewall for creating an inclusive workplace as a top 100 employer for LGBT colleagues - but we
 are also aware that there is still much to do. We will continue to embed our commitment to Equality and Diversity across all our processes and this report is a great example of our continued work in this area.

## Professor James Thompson, Associate Vice-President Social Responsibility



The diversity of the students and staff at the University is, in my opinion, one of the most special things about Manchester and should be at the heart of everything we do. At the Union we hold liberation and diversity at our core and celebrate that it is our differences that make society special but also clearly acknowledging that different groups of people face barriers. We have worked harder than ever this year to make the diversity of our students and staff even more visible, support all students and empower them to make change.

We look forward to working with the University to make sure every single person feels at home on campus and to also work to make society liberated.

Naa Acquah, General Secretary, University of Manchester Students' Union

students'


UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER

## 1. Introduction

The University of Manchester is Britain's largest single site University; it employs just over 11,000 staff and educates approximately 38,000 students. In addition to its main function of teaching and research, the University's portfolio includes student accommodation, libraries, a museum, visitor centres, conference facilities and an art gallery. The University is committed to promoting equality and providing an environment where all members of its community are treated with respect and dignity and are proud to employ a workforce that reflects the diverse community we serve.

The Equality Act (2010) places a general duty on all public sector organisations to have due regard to the need to eliminate discrimination, victimisation and harassment, advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and foster good relations. The duty covers age, disability, gender reassignment, marriage and civil partnership (in relation to employment), pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief (including lack of belief), sex and sexual orientation. Consideration of these 'protected characteristics' must be given in relation to employment, education and service provision.

Data in the report all relates to the 2014/15 year, and has come from a variety of sources:

- Staff data, from the Annual Performance Review, is correct as of $31^{\text {st }}$ July each year.
- Student data, from the Planning and Support Office, is correct as of $1^{\text {st }}$ December each year.
- Disability data for staff and students, from the Disability Advisory and Support Service, is correct as of January 2016.
- Student admissions data is from the Student Admissions and Administration team. Undergraduate data is correct as of $25^{\text {th }}$ October for each year and postgraduate data are the final figures for each year.


### 1.1 Unknown Equality Information

Personal information which staff or students provide to the University help us to produce anonymous statistical reports. These allow us to make sure we are directing time and resources in the places that will make the most positive differences for everyone. The information provided is kept securely and access to this information is restricted. When there are gaps in information, this data is excluded and the statistics given are based on the known information. Currently, there are $5 \%$ of staff who we do not have ethnicity data for.

## 2. Equality Objectives

As a Higher Education Institution (HEI) we have specific duties that require us to publish our equality information/data on an annual basis and review and publish specific and measurable equality objectives every 4 years. Based on extensive consultation we developed our equality objectives which were published in 2012.

1) Improve the representation of women and black and minority ethnic staff in senior leadership, academic and professional support positions by promoting the University as an employer of choice and providing targeted support in promotions and career development.
2) Address the differential degree attainment of black and minority ethnic undergraduate students by further increasing our understanding and instigating targeted programmes of support.
3) To identify and attract outstanding students based on their educational potential and merit, irrespective of background, through our pioneering Manchester Access Programme.
4) Improve the staff and student experience by fostering good relations between different equality groups and challenging discrimination and stereotypes through awareness raising activities and training events.
5) Better understand the potential impact of University functions on certain groups by providing an inclusive environment that improves disclosure rates for disability, religion or belief (including lack of belief) and sexual orientation.

Our new equality objectives will be published in April 2016.

## Staff Equality Information

Figure 1: Full-time Staff by Age


Figure 2: Part-time Staff by


Figure 3: All Staff by Age


Figure 4: Academic Staff by Age


Figure 5: PSS Staff by Age


The University has a wide range of ages of staff, with most staff at the University being aged from 25 to 35 (33\%). Full-time staff at the University tend to be aged from 27 to 37, whilst part-time staff tend to be aged 24 to 29 . Academic staff ages show most academics aged between 25 and 37, in contrast to support staff who have an even distribution of ages across a working life.


- Known to be disabled
- Cognitive impairment
- Physical impairment or mobility issues
- Not known to be disabled
- Long-standing illness or health condition
- Deaf or hearing impaired
- Learning difficulty
- Mental health condition
- Blind or visual impairment

Figures from the Disability Advisory and Support Service (DASS) show 8.9\% of staff at the University as having declared a disability to the team, with the University providing advice and support for a wide range of disabilities. The kind of support offered depends on each case, but may consist of adjustments in the workplace, assistive equipment, support for travel and advice on disability-related support and benefits available at home.

Whilst figures from the DASS place the percentage of staff with disabilities at $8.9 \%$, just $5.3 \%$ of staff have disclosed their disability status on their staff record. The percentage of all staff disclosing a disability has more than doubled since the publication of the Equality Act, with staff in professional support roles being more likely to disclose a disability than academic staff. Holding the most complete and accurate information available enables the University to effectively tackle discrimination and equalise opportunity in its community.

| Table A: Disabled Staff in the University | 2010/11 |  | 2011/12 |  | 2012/13 |  | 2013/14 |  | 2014/15 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | UoM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HE } \\ & \text { Sector } \end{aligned}$ | UoM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HE } \\ & \text { Sector } \end{aligned}$ | UoM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HE } \\ & \text { Sector } \end{aligned}$ | UoM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HE } \\ & \text { Sector } \end{aligned}$ | UoM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HE } \\ & \text { Sector } \end{aligned}$ |
| \% Staff Known to have a Disability* | 2.6\% | 3.2\% | 2.7\% | 3.4\% | 3.0\% | 3.9\% | 5.7\% | 4.2\% | 5.3\% | NA |
| \% Academic Staff Known to have a Disability* | 2.0\% | 2.7\% | 2.0\% | 2.9\% | 2.3\% | 3.4\% | 3.5\% | 3.7\% | 3.0\% | NA |
| \% PSS Staff Known to have a Disability* | 1.6\% | 3.6\% | 3.5\% | 3.9\% | 3.7\% | 4.5\% | 8.2\% | 4.8\% | 7.8\% | NA |

*Disclosed in their staff record

| Table B: Disabled People in the <br> Greater Manchester Area | $2010 / 11$ | $2011 / 12$ | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% aged 16-64 in Greater <br> Manchester who are disabled <br> (Disability Discrimination Act), <br> ONS* | $22.4 \%$ | $22.4 \%$ | NA | NA | NA |
| \% aged 16-64 in Greater <br> Manchester who are EA core or <br> work-limiting disabled (Equality <br> Act), ONS* | NA |  |  |  |  |

### 3.3 Ethnicity (Staff)

Figure 7: All Staff by Ethnicity


Figure 8: All Staff by Ethnicity and Nationality

'Black and Minority Ethnic' (BME) is a term referring to those of non-White descent, and encompasses a wide range of different ethnicities irrespective of a person's origin or nationality. Of all staff of known ethnicity, Black and Minority Ethnic staff make up 16\% of our staff population (Figure 7).

BME staff from the UK represent 7\% of the staff population (Figure 8), comparable to the higher education average of $8 \%{ }^{1}$. This can also be compared with the percentage of those living in the Greater Manchester area that are from a UK BME background. For the Greater Manchester area this figure is $12.5 \%{ }^{2}$, whilst for the United Kingdom this figure sits at $9.1 \%^{2}$.

The University employs many staff originating from outside the UK, with the majority of this group working as Academic staff (Figure 8). Non-UK staff make up $22.3 \%$ of the staff population (Figure 7), $4.7 \%$ more than the sector average of Non-UK staff at $17.6 \%{ }^{1}$.
${ }^{1}$ Equality Challenge Unit Statistical Report 2015
${ }^{2}$ \% of population aged 16-64 who are ethnic minority UK national, Annual Population Survey (ONS: Oct 14' - Sep 15')

Figure 9: All Staff by University Function, Ethnicity and Nationality


Figure 10: Academic Staff Levels by Ethnicity


Improving the representation of Black and Minority Ethnic staff in senior academic and support positions has been one of the University's equality objectives over the past four years. Currently, $8 \%$ of Professors and $12 \%$ of Senior Lecturer or Readers are BME. This is comparable to the sector averages of $8.9 \%{ }^{1}$ and $12.7 \%{ }^{1}$ respectively.
${ }^{1}$ Equality Challenge Unit Statistical Report 2015

Figure 11: Promotion to Position of Senior Lecturer by Ethnicity


Figure 12: Promotion to Position of Reader by Ethnicity


Figure 13: Promotion to Position of Chair by Ethnicity


For applications for promotion to Senior Lecturer, a smaller fraction of potential BME academics applied as compared to the fraction of the potential White academics that applied over the reporting period. For the position of Reader, however, BME academics were more than twice as likely to apply, and similarly more likely to apply for promotion to the role of Chair.

After applying to the role of Senior Lecturer, $13 \%$ fewer BME candidates were promoted than White candidates. Similarly, $16 \%$ fewer BME candidates were promoted than White in promotions to the level of Reader. This is in contrast with promotions to the role of Professor, for which BME candidates were promoted $11 \%$ more often than White candidates.

The University is rolling out Unconscious Bias training across the institution to tackle any implicit bias that may be present in recruitment and promotion decisions.

Figure 14: Support Staff Grades by Ethnicity


Within Professional Support roles at the University, $8 \%$ of roles at grade 6 and above are held by BME staff members. Improving the representation of BME staff in senior support positions has been part of the University's equality objectives over the past four years.

Figure 15: Leavers during 2014-2015 by Ethnicity


Of those 1256 staff who left the University over the year, ethnicity information was available for 1203 of these leavers. Of this group, $18 \%$ of leavers were from a BME background and $82 \%$ were White.

### 3.4 Gender (Staff)

Figure 16: All Staff by Gender

- Female


■ Male

Figure 18: Support Staff by Gender


There are similar proportions of male and female staff working at the University. However, when analysed in terms of their functions at University, there are 18\% more male academic staff compared with female. This trend is reversed within the PSS, where there are $10 \%$ more female staff than male.

This reflects the state of the higher education sector, where $55 \%{ }^{1}$ of academics are male compared with $45 \%$ female. In support roles this again reverses, with $63 \%{ }^{1}$ of support staff being Female compared to $37 \%$ of support staff being male.
${ }^{1}$ Equality Challenge Unit Statistical Report 2015

Figure 19: Academic Staff Levels by Gender


23\% of Professors at the University are female, compared with $77 \%$ being male. These figures mirror the higher education sector, where $22.4 \%$ of Professors are female compared with $77.6 \%$ being male.
${ }^{1}$ Equality Challenge Unit Statistical Report 2015

Figure 20: Promotion to Position of Senior Lecturer by Gender


Figure 22: Promotion to Position of Chair by Gender


Figure 21: Promotion to Position of Reader by Gender


In promotion to the positions of Senior Lecturer and Reader, similar fractions of the potential applicant pool applied from both sexes. However, the fraction of those who applied for promotion to Chair was $3 \%$ greater for females than for males.

In terms of success rates, female applicants were considerably more likely to be successful in promotion across all promotion pathways.

Figure 23: Support Staff Grades by Gender


Within Professional Support Services, numbers of staff above grade 6 are evenly distributed between the sexes. At lower grades however, there are $10 \%$ and $16 \%$ more female staff working at grades $5 \& 6$ and 1-4 respectively.

Figure 24: Leavers during 2014-2015 by Gender


Of the 1256 staff who left over the year, similar proportions of these people were female as compared with male.

## Student Equality Information

### 4.1 Age (Students)

Figure 25: All Students by Age


Figure 26: Undergraduate Students


Figure 27: Postgraduate Students by Age


Whilst most students (68\%) are aged between 18 and 22, there is a wide range of ages of students studying at the University.
Undergraduate programmes tend to have students aged between 18 and 22, however postgraduate students tend to be aged between 21 and 26 . The numbers of postgraduate students of a given age depreciates slowly, with considerable numbers of students on postgraduate courses up to 40 years old.

### 4.2 Disability (Students)

Figure 28: Disabled Students


Similar to staff, the DASS supports a range of disabilities with personalised provisions. Figures from DASS show that $11.6 \%$ of students at the University have registered with them, involving a range of different types of disabilities.
The most common disability experienced by students is a learning difficulty (37.8\%).


The attainment of students with and without disabilities has been similar across the previous four academic years. Note: Medical and unclassified degrees have not been included.

### 4.3 Ethnicity (Students)

Figure 30: All Students by Ethnicity and Nationality


The University has seen a gradual increase in the representation of BME students over the past five years, with 675 more BME current students as compared with 2011/12.

The University has also admitted more students of non-UK origin, with this group representing 5\% more of the total population than in 2011/12.


Within the higher education sector, a significant gap has existed between the attainment of BME students compared with their White peers. This led to the formulation of one of our equality objectives in 2012 to try and close this gap by further increasing our understanding of possible barriers and instigating targeted programmes of support. The gap has declined over the years, currently sitting at $8 \%$. The gap is almost half of the UK-wide attainment gap at $15.2 \%$.

Medical and unclassified degrees are excluded.

### 4.4 Gender (Students)

Figure 32: All Students by Gender


The student population has historically included more female students than male students, with the approximate ratio of $53 \%$ to $47 \%$ sustaining over the past five years.

Figure 33: 'Good Degree' Attainment of Home Students by Gender


The figures for gender attainment mirror that of the wider sector, showing that females historically have been more likely to attain an upper second-class honours degree or higher.

Note: Medical and unclassified degrees have not been included.

## 5. Appendix

Please note: tables with 'not known' ethnicity have included staff with unknown data for completeness; all other statistics are based on the known population. The numbers below include Atypical staff (which includes: Teaching Assistants, Demonstrators, Clinical Tutors, Occasional Assistants).

Table 1: All Staff by University Function, Ethnicity and Gender

|  | Ethnicity | Female Count | Male Count | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Count | \% |
|  | White | 2029 | 2744 | 4773 | 74\% |
|  | BME | 482 | 788 | 1270 | 20\% |
| Academic Staff | Not known | 173 | 272 | 445 | 7\% |
|  | Count | 2684 | 3804 | 6488 |  |
|  | \% | 41\% | 59\% |  |  |
|  | White | 2714 | 2188 | 4902 | 87\% |
| Professional | BME | 329 | 280 | 609 | 11\% |
| Support Services | Not known | 55 | 69 | 124 | 2\% |
| Staff | Count | 3098 | 2537 | 5635 |  |
|  | \% | 55\% | 45\% |  |  |

Table 2: Academic Staff Levels by Ethnicity and Gender

| Job Type | Ethnicity | Female | Male | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Count | Count | Count | \% |
| Lecturer | White | 360 | 429 | 789 | 81\% |
|  | BME | 62 | 86 | 148 | 15\% |
|  | Not known | 13 | 26 | 39 | 4\% |
|  | Count | 435 | 541 | 976 |  |
|  | \% | 45\% | 55\% |  |  |
| Senior Lecturer/ Reader | White | 251 | 413 | 664 | 87\% |
|  | BME | 21 | 70 | 91 | 12\% |
|  | Not known | 3 | 7 | 10 | 1\% |
|  | Count | 275 | 490 | 765 |  |
|  | \% | 36\% | 64\% |  |  |
| Professor | White | 168 | 563 | 731 | 91\% |
|  | BME | 14 | 50 | 64 | 8\% |
|  | Not known | 4 | 2 | 6 | 1\% |
|  | Count | 186 | 615 | 801 |  |
|  | \% | 23\% | 77\% |  |  |
| All core academic staff | White | 779 | 1405 | 2184 | 86\% |
|  | BME | 97 | 206 | 303 | 12\% |
|  | Not known | 20 | 35 | 55 | 2\% |
|  | Count | 896 | 1646 | 2542 |  |
|  | \% | 35\% | 65\% |  |  |
| Research and Other Academics | White | 1250 | 1339 | 2589 | 66\% |
|  | BME | 385 | 582 | 967 | 25\% |
|  | Not known | 153 | 237 | 390 | 10\% |
|  | Count | 1788 | 2158 | 3946 |  |
|  | \% | 26\% | 74\% |  |  |

Table 3: BME Academic Staff by Level Over Previous Three Years

| Job Type | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lecturer | $16 \%(138)$ | $15 \%(135)$ | $16 \%(148)$ |
| Senior Lecturer / Reader | $11 \%(81)$ | $12 \%(85)$ | $12 \%(91)$ |
| Professor | $8 \%(64)$ | $8 \%(62)$ | $8 \%(64)$ |
| Research and Other Academics | $26 \%(869)$ | $24 \%(702)$ | $27 \%(967)$ |

Table 4: Female Academic Staff by Level Over Previous Three Years

| Job Type | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lecturer | $46 \%(391)$ | $44 \%(413)$ | $45 \%(435)$ |
| Senior Lecturer / Reader | $34 \%(243)$ | $35 \%(250)$ | $36 \%(275)$ |
| Professor | $22 \%(172)$ | $22 \%(176)$ | $23 \%(186)$ |
| Research and Other Academics | $46 \%(1669)$ | $47 \%(1479)$ | $45 \%(1788)$ |

Table 5: Support Staff Grades by Ethnicity and Gender

| Job Type | Ethnicity | Female | Male | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Count | Count | Count | \% |
| Grade 1 - 4 | White | 1253 | 906 | 2159 | 84\% |
|  | BME | 197 | 147 | 344 | 13\% |
|  | Not known | 32 | 25 | 57 | 2\% |
|  | Count | 1482 | 1078 | 2560 |  |
|  | \% | 58\% | 42\% |  |  |
| Grade 5 and 6 | White | 893 | 721 | 1614 | 89\% |
|  | BME | 94 | 72 | 166 | 9\% |
|  | Not known | 15 | 19 | 34 | 2\% |
|  | Count | 1002 | 812 | 1814 |  |
|  | \% | 55\% | 45\% |  |  |
| Grade 7 | White | 322 | 332 | 654 | 92\% |
|  | BME | 18 | 26 | 44 | 6\% |
|  | Not known | 5 | 11 | 16 | 2\% |
|  | Count | 345 | 369 | 714 |  |
|  | \% | 48\% | 52\% |  |  |
| Grade 8 and 9 | White | 120 | 113 | 233 | 92\% |
|  | BME | 5 | 10 | 15 | 6\% |
|  | Not known | 1 | 4 | 5 | 2\% |
|  | Count | 126 | 127 | 253 |  |
|  | \% | 50\% | 50\% |  |  |
| Other Grades | White | 126 | 116 | 242 | 82\% |
|  | BME | 15 | 25 | 40 | 14\% |
|  | Not known | 2 | 10 | 12 | 4\% |
|  | Count | 143 | 151 | 294 |  |
|  | \% | 26\% | 74\% |  |  |

Table 6: BME Support Staff by Grade Band over Previous Three Years

| Job Type | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade $1-4$ | $13 \%(303)$ | $13 \%(306)$ | $14 \%(344)$ |
| Grade 5 \& 6 | $10 \%(158)$ | $9 \%(163)$ | $9 \%(166)$ |
| Grade 7 | $5 \%(28)$ | $5 \%(33)$ | $6 \%(44)$ |
| Grade 8 \& 9 | $6 \%(13)$ | $6 \%(14)$ | $6 \%(15)$ |

Table 7: Academic Promotions by Level and Ethnicity

| Promotion to | \% that Applied out of <br> Potential Applicants |  | Distribution of <br> Applicants |  |  | \% of Successful <br> Applications |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White | BME | White | BME | White | BME |  |
| Senior <br> Lecturer | $8 \%(974)$ | $5 \%(176)$ | $91 \%(80)$ | $9 \%(8)$ | $63 \%(50)$ | $50 \%(4)$ |  |
| Reader | $5 \%(479)$ | $11 \%(64)$ | $77 \%(23)$ | $23 \%(7)$ | $87 \%(20)$ | $71 \%(5)$ |  |
| Chair | $7 \%(662)$ | $9 \%(85)$ | $85 \%(46)$ | $15 \%(8)$ | $52 \%(24)$ | $63 \%(5)$ |  |
| All Levels | $7 \%(2115)$ | $7 \%(315)$ | $87 \%(149)$ | $13 \%(23)$ | $63 \%(94)$ | $61 \%(14)$ |  |

Table 8: Academic Promotions by Level and Gender

| Promotion to | \% that Applied out of Potential Applicants |  | Distribution of Applicants |  | \% of Successful Applications |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| Senior Lecturer | 8\% (649) | 7\% (541) | 56\% (49) | 44\% (39) | 49\% (24) | 77\% (30) |
| Reader | 6\% (352) | 6\% (197) | 65\% (20) | 35\% (11) | 70\% (14) | 100\% (11) |
| Chair | 6\% (495) | 9\% (265) | 56\% (30) | 44\% (24) | 43\% (13) | 67\% (16) |
| All Levels | 7\% (1496) | 7\% (1003) | 57\% (99) | 43\% (74) | 52\% (51) | 77\% (57) |

Table 9: 'Good Degree' Attainment of Home Students by Ethnicity Over Previous Four Years

|  | $2011 / 12$ | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UK - White | $78 \%(3313)$ | $79 \%(3490)$ | $82 \%(3390)$ | $83 \%(2888)$ |
| UK - BME | $67 \%(671)$ | $67 \%(696)$ | $72 \%(708)$ | $75 \%(726)$ |

Table 10: Disabled Staff

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Not known to be Disabled | $91.1 \%(11047)$ |
| Known* to have a Disability | $8.9 \%(1076)$ |

[^0]
## Table 11: Staff Disability Breakdown

The $11.6 \%$ known to have a disability are broken down as follows:

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Learning difficulty | $7.7 \%(83)$ |
| Cognitive impairment | $2.2 \%(24)$ |
| Long-standing illness or health <br> condition | $33 \%(355)$ |
| Mental health condition | $20.1 \%(216)$ |
| Physical impairment or mobility | $30.6 \%(329)$ |
| Deaf or hearing impaired | $3.4 \%(37)$ |
| Blind or visual impairment | $3 \%(32)$ |

Table 12: Disabled Students

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Not known to be Disabled | $88.4 \%(34330)$ |
| Known* to have a Disability | $11.6 \%(4506)$ |

*as of $20^{\text {th }}$ January 2016

## Table 13: Student Disability Breakdown

The $11.6 \%$ known to have a disability are broken down as follows:

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Learning difficulty | $37.8 \%(1704)$ |
| Blind/partial sight | $1.6 \%(71)$ |
| Deaf/partial hearing | $2.2 \%(99)$ |
| Wheelchair/mobility | $1.5 \%(69)$ |
| Mental health | $22 \%(992)$ |
| Unseen disability | $9.8 \%(443)$ |
| Multiple disabilities | $4.4 \%(198)$ |
| Other disability | $18.4 \%(827)$ |
| Autistic/Asperger Syndrome | $2.3 \%(103)$ |


[^0]:    *as of $14^{\text {th }}$ January 2016

